

Novichok and Theresa May's '45 Minute Moment'

By <u>True Publica</u> Global Research, March 15, 2018 <u>TruePublica</u> Region: <u>Europe</u>, <u>Russia and FSU</u> Theme: <u>Intelligence</u>, <u>Law and Justice</u>

On the 8th March we reported that the story of double agent Sergei Skripal was not what it seemed at first sight. We reported that there was a major difference between Alexander Litvinenko and Sergei Skripal, which is not being reflected in the media. Skripal is a traitor, a double agent who sold the identities of hundreds of Russian agents abroad to the UK, in exchange for hard cash. He is even a traitor to Britain. He may very well have caused the deaths of some of those Russian agents operating in conflict zones. Skripal had many, many enemies.

We also reported unlike the mainstream media (at the time) that Nerve agents including Sarin and VX are manufactured by the British Government in Porton Down, just 8 miles from where Sergei Skripal was attacked with a so-called Novichok.

Today, we have reported that an ex UK Ambassador has confirmed that the <u>Novichok Story</u> <u>Is Indeed Another Iraqi WMD Scam</u>

On 12th March we exposed what Skripal did for a living with confirmation from a former Russian intelligence officer who now lives in exile in Britain. He said Skripal was still working with Russian military intelligence.

"You have a Russian military intelligence officer working in the Russian diplomatic service, living after retirement in the U.K. working in cybersecurity and every month going to the [Russian] embassy to meet military intelligence officers."

Full work up – Just like Blair's Iraq

Security minister <u>Ben Wallace</u>, who mentioned Britain's "powerful allies" said the Government was ready to respond with "the full force of the United Kingdom's resources"

"There are lots of things that the United Kingdom can do," Mr Wallace threatened. "It is a powerful country with a powerful economy, powerful allies, powerful military and powerful other capabilities – and we shall look at all those."

Defence Secretary <u>Gavin Williamson</u> told MPs:

"Vladimir Putin has made it quite clear that he has hostile intent towards this country. We have to wake up to that threat and we have to respond to it."

The FT <u>reports</u> that the US believes that Russia is responsible for the nerve agent attack in Britain. US Secretary of State Rex Tillerson wades in, just prior to being sacked by the chaotic Trump administration. He told reporters the attack on Sergei and Yulia Skripal "clearly came from Russia" and "would have consequences."

<u>Nikki Haley</u>, the permanent US representative to the UN – "has blamed Russia for the attempted murder of double agent Sergei Skripal, saying it stands in "*absolute solidarity*" with Britain on the matter."

The mainstream media across the West are mobilised into frantic propaganda mode. The headlines are predictable:

- The Guardian: Russian spy attack: Johnson welcomes allies' support
- Evening Standard: <u>Rex Tillerson says Russia is 'clearly' behind spy poisoning and</u> <u>'must face serious consequences'</u>
- BBC: <u>Highly likely Moscow behind attack, says Theresa May</u>

The original 45 Minute Claim

In 2002 Tony Blair and his government was looking for a valid reason to join with the United States to invade Saddam Hussein's Iraq.



An intelligence report published No. 10's full approval stated that Iraq had weapons of mass destruction which posed a clear and present danger to the West. The report headlined the claim that Iraq could deploy and activate chemical weapons within 45 minutes of an order being given.

The result, Britain went to war in March 2003 with the general public and the rest of parliament hoodwinked. The document was eventually called "the dodgy dossier" or "September Dossier." After the war, nobody ever found any weapons of mass destruction. It then became obvious that the war and its terrible consequences that included a million Iraqi casualties had been justified by a government whose prime minister, Tony Blair was prepared to do anything for his own aims regardless.

Unauthorised war

Fast forward to August 2013 – <u>MPs reject UK military action</u> against Syrian President Bashar al-Assad's government to deter the use of chemical weapons. David Cameron said he would respect the defeat of a government motion by 285-272, ruling out joining US-led strikes. And then didn't. The MoD <u>admitted</u> UK personnel were already carrying out Syrian bombings, despite *Parliament not* authorising action.

November 2015: David Cameron has signalled he will ask MPs to approve Britain launching

air strikes on Isis targets in Syria by Christmas. George Osborne <u>confirmed</u> that the cost of extending air strikes against Islamic State into Syria would run in the "low tens of millions of pounds". It has since <u>spent nearly £2billion</u> of taxpayers money who have not given a mandate for more war in the Middle East. Search the Internet and poll after poll reveals that the British public are not in favour of more conflict in the Middle East.

America, Israel, Syria and Russia

Washington (CNN) March 13: America's top military officer, Gen. Joseph Dunford, spoke with his Russian counterpart Gen. Valery Gerasimov, on Tuesday, the same day Gerasimov threatened to target US forces in Syria should they retaliate against the regime's use of chemical weapons.

Gerasimov warned the US against any retaliatory strikes over Syria's chemical weapons use, claiming that anti-regime rebels were planning to stage a regime chemical weapons attack and saying any US strike against Damascus would threaten Russian troops.

Craig Murray – ex British Ambassador:

"while I am struggling to see a Russian motive for damaging its own international reputation so grieviously, Israel has a clear motivation for damaging the Russian reputation so grievously. Russian action in Syria has undermined the Israeli position in Syria and Lebanon in a fundamental way, and Israel has every motive for damaging Russia's international position by an attack aiming to leave the blame on Russia."

Will the US and Israel attack Syria together? The Kuwaiti daily <u>Al-Jarida</u> on Saturday reported that the US and Israel are working on a plan to attack Syria. According to the report, Jerusalem and Washington are disappointed in Russia's involvement. Sources close to Washington told <u>Al-Jarida</u> that the Trump administration may present such an attack as a response to claims of Syrian President Bashar al-Assad's recent use of <u>chemical weapons</u>.

Heightened conflict in Syria

Israel have grown weary of the Syrian conflict. They see Iran and Russia as a threat. America is weary of the Syrian conflict. Donald Trump wants this conflict over as soon as. The war in Syria has been raging now for seven years with no end in sight.

With Iran and Russia supported by China essentially fighting the US led coalition forces, the tension has now reached boiling point and with so many international forces operating in such close proximity, the danger now exists that any mistake could trigger a larger conflict outside Syria.

A final push to end the conflict begins a very dangerous stage. Allies on both sides have been called to arms.

Is This Theresa May's 45 minute moment

Knowing that David Cameron failed to obtain parliamentary approval for yet more war in Syria, Theresa May needs to provide the reason for entering the fray with none other than –

a Donald Trump who has Britain over a barrel with a trade deal.

In the meantime, Theresa May herself is in big trouble back home. Our report just two days ago "<u>The emerging picture of a desperate government</u>" highlighted how a desperate government was at work to save itself from a chaotic implosion.

We said – <u>The evidence that Novichok can only be from a state laboratory is false</u>. Just like Tony Blair's dodgy dossier, Novichok is not what the government is telling us.

We wrote "From cabinet chaos, bitter feuds and political backstabbing, where even the pro Conservative <u>Times</u> newspaper warned recently that Theresa May's government "has shown signs of tipping into anarchy."

We reported that – "Lord Bridges, former Brexit minister, was urging Mrs May <u>to get a</u> <u>grip</u> as civil servants, desperately seeking some kind of political lead, have tried to fill the policy vacuum as the government is engulfed in crisis."

Theresa May's government is in chaos, over a barrel with America, whilst Brexit negotiations with the EU27 go from dire to disastrous.

We said that given that the Organisation for the Prohibition of Chemical Weapons has taken the view the evidence for the existence of "Novichoks" is dubious, if the UK actually has a sample of one it is extremely important the UK presents that sample to the them. Indeed the UK has a binding treaty obligation to present that sample to OPCW. Russia has – unreported by the corporate media – entered a demand at the OPCW that Britain submit a sample of the Salisbury material for international analysis.

Yet Britain refuses to submit it to the OPCW. Why? Because if indeed it was in possession of that sample – it would have been presented as irrefutable proof.

We said three days ago that "it is just as likely that this is Theresa May's "<u>45 minute attack</u> <u>warning</u>" – just as it was for Tony Blair, which was one of the most fraudulent claims ever made by the British government, supported of course, by the mainstream media."

In the meantime, just three weeks ago <u>Reuters</u> reported that "Britain would consider joining U.S. military strikes against the Syrian government" as did all the other corporate news outlets. Is Britain off to war to save the government from all sorts of disasters back at home? Challenging a Prime Minister in the midst of an international conflict is always difficult – just look at the vitriol thrown at Jeremy Corbyn for doing so yesterday – who was proved right in the face of the same accusations with Blair.

Lastly, some let's not forget some awful facts and figures about the disaster in Syria.

- More than half of Syria's 20 million, pre-war population has been displaced.
- 5.5 million Syrians have fled abroad 95% of them in just five countries (Turkey, Lebanon, Jordan, Iraq and Egypt), according to humanitarian groups.
- 400,000 civilians are trapped in opposition-held suburbs of Syria's capital Damascus.
- Of Syria's estimated 10 million children, 8.6 million are in dire need of assistance, up from about a half-million after the first year of war. Nearly 6 million children are displaced or living as refugees, and about 2.5 million are out of school.

 About a third of Syria's housing and half of its educational and medical facilities have been destroyed, according to a 2017 World Bank report.

The original source of this article is <u>TruePublica</u> Copyright © <u>True Publica</u>, <u>TruePublica</u>, 2018

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: True Publica

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca