

A No-nukes Strategy or a No-win Reality

By <u>Kevin Martin</u> Global Research, February 04, 2022 <u>PeaceVoice</u> 29 January 2022 Theme: Militarization and WMD In-depth Report: Nuclear War

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the "Translate Website" drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), <u>click here</u>.

Visit and follow us on Instagram at @globalresearch_crg.

We have grown numb to the world resting on a razor's edge of destruction. Climate catastrophe, pandemics, cyberwar and endless wars seem "normal" by now. However, the far more likely way civilization ends in the near future is one that receives shockingly little attention in the media or in our daily conversation. Nuclear war.

We all know the scenario. Tens of millions die in the first 60 minutes of a nuclear exchange, followed by nuclear winter causing worldwide crop failure and famine. Infernos, radiation, starvation, perhaps a last-ditch effort for survival resulting in barbaric, tribal warfare before human civilization expires.

The Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists' Doomsday Clock remains a potent symbol with its message that if decisive action is not taken, destructive action moves the hands of the clock toward Armageddon. According to the Clock, we have 100 seconds to midnight.

Early in the Biden administration, the president committed to a review of the nation's "Nuclear Posture," the explicit strategy of how, when, and why the United States would use its nuclear weapons. Previous reviews invariably were reaffirmations of the existing nuclear doctrine of the United States.

President Biden, with his decades in government, knows nuclear weapons issues very well, perhaps better than any of his predecessors. He has consistently raised the point that nuclear arms should not be used offensively as a first resort. A recent statement by the Permanent Five members of the UN Security Council, all nuclear powers, repeated the Reagan-Gorbachev era statement that "a nuclear war can never be won and must never be fought."

Logically then, many expected a "No First Use" or "Sole Purpose" declaration might be included in his Nuclear Posture Review (NPR), a pledge that the U.S. would only use nuclear weapons to deter a nuclear attack or in response to a nuclear attack. Accordingly, conventional, biological, chemical, or cyber attacks would not initiate a nuclear response. Such a posture could bring an element of stability to a woefully unstable world where the chances of accidental nuclear war, or conventional war spinning into nuclear war, are perhaps at a most dangerous point. Taiwan, Ukraine, North Korea, Kashmir or Iran could be fatal, apocalyptic tipping points.

As a long-time arms control advocate in the Senate, some hoped Biden would initiate progress on arms reduction, perhaps even move toward President Obama's goal of a nuclear free world. Such hope grows dim.

Unsurprisingly, status quo resistance to change of the Dr. Strangeloves in the nuclear establishment is strong in the NPR process. President Biden nominated Leonor Tomero to oversee his nuclear posture review, but she was removed last year from her position by the Pentagon as part of a staff "reorganization." The military believes maintaining a vague and uncertain doctrine of when to use nuclear weapons is the proper strategy and, more concerning, presses the argument that the nation's nuclear arsenal must be modernized at a \$1.7 trillion cost over the next three decades.

The removal of Tomero by the Pentagon is indicative of the direction the Biden Administration may follow unless quick and clear action is taken. To stop the nuclear spiral and deescalate these arms races, we must act now and pressure Congress and President Biden to take the following steps:

- Reduce the fearmongering about China and Russia that is driving, or rationalizing, outdated policies and runaway spending on nuclear weapons.
- Engage in disarmament diplomacy with Russia, and later China, to avoid a prolonged new nuclear arms race. Stockpiles can be reduced by mutual agreement or reciprocal unilateral actions.
- End "hair trigger" or "launch on warning" alert status.
- Adopt a "No First Use" policy of nuclear weapons.
- Cut expenditures on nuclear weapons and the escalatory \$1.7 trillion for the nuclear weapons upgrade.
- Eliminate the ground-based missile leg of the "nuclear triad" (and in the interim postpone the planned \$100 billion plus Ground Based Strategic Deterrent).
- Block funding and deployment for new and "more usable" nukes such as (relatively) low yield nuclear warheads for the Ground and Submarine Launched Cruise Missile (GLCM & SLCM) and the Long Range Stand-Off Missile (LRSO).
- Block Star Wars (Missile Defense) Programs and nuclear weapons in space.
- Sign the Treaty on the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapons (TPNW).
- Take control of the Nuclear Posture Review to promote the above changes.

Our perverse reverence for nuclear weapons is not a "posture," but a deranged dance with death, a flirtation with omnicide. Using them would constitute humanity's (and most other species') final resting place. They are, to paraphrase Shakespeare, the country from which no traveler returns. Our international differences are man-made and so can be solved by men and women of good intention.

The hands of the Doomsday Clock continue to tick. It is up to us. A new arms race or even continuation of the status quo is unacceptable.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram,

@globalresearch_crg. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Kevin Martin, syndicated by <u>PeaceVoice</u>, is President of <u>Peace Action</u> Education Fund, the country's largest grassroots peace and disarmament organization with more than 100,000 supporters nationwide.

Brad Wolf, a former lawyer, professor, and community college dean, is co-founder of Peace Action Network of Lancaster, PA and writes for various publications.

Featured image is from Huffington Post

The original source of this article is <u>PeaceVoice</u> Copyright © <u>Kevin Martin</u>, <u>PeaceVoice</u>, 2022

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Kevin Martin

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

<u>www.globalresearch.ca</u> contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca