

Obama's Plan B: Military Confrontation with Iran? No Deal on Iran P5 +1 Nuclear Negotiations

By Stephen Lendman

Global Research, July 01, 2015

Region: Middle East & North Africa, USA

Theme: <u>US NATO War Agenda</u>

In-depth Report: IRAN: THE NEXT WAR?,

Nuclear War

Washington remains the obstacle to successfully concluding Iranian nuclear talks – so-called P5+1 negotiations. Instead of sticking to preliminary April Lausanne parameters for final consummation of unresolved issues, new ones were raised contrary to what was agreed on earlier.

Longstanding US hostility toward Iran remains unchanged. Current talks haven't improved relations. Washington wants Tehran's government toppled. It wants its sovereignty destroyed. It wants a puppet regime installed like under the Shah.

Years of nuclear talks reflect red-herring cover for America's real objective. Whatever may or may not be agreed on in current talks, hardline US policy toward Iran remains unchanged.

Millions of Iranians signed a petition supporting the principles listed below for a fair and equitable final nuclear deal. Over 17,000 lawyers endorsed them along with family members of Iranian nuclear scientists murdered by Israel's Mossad. Principles include:

- lifting all sanctions concurrently with the consummation of a deal, if achieved;
- preserving, protecting and strengthening all Iranian nuclear achievements;
- permitting nuclear R & D to continue unimpeded as well as no limitations on scientific and technical progress;
- monitoring nuclear sites should not exceed international laws, norms and standards;
- no inspections of military sites and security facilities;
- any agreement reached should be reversible if Washington or other P5+1 countries violate its principles or falsely accuse Iran of breaches.

Post-Lausanne, Washington kept raising the bar – making demands not agreed to in the first place. Iran justifiably balks. It's made far more concessions than benefits received in return.

Much going on behind closed doors remains secret. Reports indicate Washington keeps making unacceptable demands, new ones not agreed to before – including:

- wanting modifications in Iranian operating centrifuges and number permitted;
- R&D restrictions:
- drawn out sanctions relief instead of immediate with no assurance for followthrough; and
- unrestricted IAEA access to Iranian military sites and all other facilities

nationwide.

These and perhaps others coming prevent equitable resolution of talks satisfying all parties. Washington's idea of a deal is subjugation. Iran wants none of it justifiably.

Last weekend, its Foreign Minister Javad Zarif said "(w)e have come to Vienna to reach an agreement which fully respects the Iranian nation's interests and rights and will be a good agreement for the entire world."

We are sure that if the other side accepts to recognize the Iranian people's rights and acts upon its undertakings, that is to say it removes the sanctions against Iran and fulfills its part of the undertakings concurrent with Iran's measures and avoid raising excessive demands, we will certainly reach an agreement which will be beneficial to everyone.

He stressed the importance of achieving "a lasting and fair deal" – an "honorable one (without) excessive demands."

Last week, Ayatollah Ali Kmanenei warned about Americans wanting Iran's nuclear industry destroyed. Instead of negotiating fairly, they balked on immediate sanctions relief, and "lengthened negotiations by making repeated excessive demands and even threats to boost sanctions and (suggest) militarism..."

"Contemplation and study (of) demands made by the Americans shows they aim to uproot Iran's nuclear industry and the country's nuclear essence and change it to a caricature and a window without content."

"(T)he Americans mean to destroy Iran's nuclear industry...and somehow keep the pressures and sanctions at the same time."

Washington wants Iran reduced to colony status. It makes promises it intends breaking. It's all take and no give. It's hard imagining a fair, equitable and sustainable deal from ongoing talks. Expect Iran to be unfairly blamed for US deceitfulness.

Stephen Lendman lives in Chicago. He can be reached at lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net.

His new book as editor and contributor is titled "Flashpoint in Ukraine: US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III."

http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html

Visit his blog site at sjlendman.blogspot.com.

Listen to cutting-edge discussions with distinguished guests on the Progressive Radio News Hour on the Progressive Radio Network.

It airs three times weekly: live on Sundays at 1PM Central time plus two prerecorded archived programs.

The original source of this article is Global Research

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Stephen Lendman

About the author:

Stephen Lendman lives in Chicago. He can be reached at lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net. His new book as editor and contributor is titled "Flashpoint in Ukraine: US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III." http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html Visit his blog site at sjlendman.blogspot.com. Listen to cuttingedge discussions with distinguished guests on the Progressive Radio News Hour on the Progressive Radio Network. It airs three times weekly: live on Sundays at 1PM Central time plus two prerecorded archived programs.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca