

## No Breakthroughs in US-China Trade Negotiations. Imperial Showdown?

By <u>Stephen Lendman</u> Global Research, May 08, 2018 Region: <u>Asia</u>, <u>USA</u> Theme: <u>Global Economy</u>, <u>Law and Justice</u>

VISIT MY NEW WEB SITE:

stephenlendman.org

(Home - Stephen Lendman).

Contact at lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net.

Two days of talks between Chinese and US delegations last week ended with diplomatic language alone, indicating failure to accomplish anything significant, both sides holding firm in their demands.

First quarter 2018 US trade deficit with China was the highest on record at \$91.1 billion, up over \$10 billion year-over-year.

The comment period on Trump's announced \$50 billion in tariffs on Chinese goods expires at end of May. They're likely coming if some agreement between both countries isn't achieved in the next few weeks.

"This ever-expanding trade deficit is like the ghost of Trump trade promises past that is haunting the US negotiators (in talks with Beijing officials), trying to remedy the debacle of our China trade policy and those trying to conclude a NAFTA replacement deal that ends the outsourcing incentives and thus could win broad support," Global Trade Watch director Lori Wallach explained, adding:

"Expect ever-expanding trade deficits that eviscerate Trump's grand trade reform promises unless the administration transforms our failed China trade policy and removes NAFTA's job outsourcing incentives, adds strong labor and environmental standards, and thus achieves a NAFTA replacement that can get through Congress."

Chinese and US negotiators are deeply divided. Washington demands Beijing cut the trade deficit at least \$200 billion by end of 2020, along with halting state subsidies for companies under the "Made in China 2025" plan – and not retaliate against US exports to the country.

Beijing called on the Trump administration to halt its investigation of Chinese trade and industrial policies, along with lifting its restrictions on high-tech exports to the country.

A Chinese trade policy adviser called Washington "too demanding," adding (bilateral disagreements) cannot be solved merely by China without coordination from the US."

Talks will continue, including on the sidelines of later in the year international forums between Trump and Xi Jinping directly.

China is willing to allow increased imports of US goods, not reduce exports of its own to America. Nor will it halt subsidies to certain industries, longstanding practice by Washington to corporate favorites.

Both countries want trade war avoided. Beijing remains firm in its position, saying it won't bow to US pressure.

"We will not offer concessions on anything we consider to be a core interest," said one unnamed Chinese official, adding:

"There are too many issues that we may not be able to solve in one round. Both sides can continue the discussions in Beijing or in Washington. If the talks break down and the US side escalates (its) actions, we are also well prepared for it."

"In the event of a trade war, China's economy is going to be more resilient than America's."

Washington doesn't negotiate. It demands, able to enforce its will on most countries, including EU ones, not easily on China, intending to go along with nothing harming its longterm growth strategy.

Compromise by both sides is likely, whether enough to satisfy both countries another matter entirely.

Long-term US policy calls for regime change in China, replacing its sovereign independence with pro-Western puppet governance, wanting a strategic opponent of its aim for global dominance eliminated.

The bilateral trade dispute is a side show to Washington's greater imperial objectives – yet important enough to spark conflict between both countries if not resolved.

Mattis explained saying

"great power competition (is) the primary focus of US national security."

Washington called Russia and China "revisionist power(s)" hostile to US interests. An eventual imperial showdown with both countries is likely, risking unthinkable global war.

\*

Stephen Lendman is a Research Associate of the CRG, Correspondent of Global Research based in Chicago.

VISIT MY NEW WEB SITE: <u>stephenlendman.org</u> (<u>Home – Stephen Lendman</u>). Contact at <u>lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net</u>. *My newest book as editor and contributor is titled "Flashpoint in Ukraine: How the US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III."* 

http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html

Featured image is from Stansberry Churchouse.

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © <u>Stephen Lendman</u>, Global Research, 2018

## **Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page**

## **Become a Member of Global Research**

Articles by: Stephen Lendman	About the author:
	Stephen Lendman lives in Chicago. He can be reached at lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net. His new book as editor and contributor is titled "Flashpoint in Ukraine: US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III." http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html Visit his blog site at sjlendman.blogspot.com. Listen to cutting- edge discussions with distinguished guests on the Progressive Radio News Hour on the Progressive Radio Network. It airs three times weekly: live on Sundays at 1PM Central time plus two prerecorded archived programs.

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>

<u>www.globalresearch.ca</u> contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca