

New COVID at-home test dangerous and misleading: but everybody's doing it, so who cares, right?

By Jon Rappoport Global Research, January 03, 2022 blog.nomorefakenews.com Region: <u>USA</u> Theme: <u>Science and Medicine</u>

Recently, I've been writing fiction, satire, parody, to expose the insanity of the pandemic scam. This article is not that. This article is fact. Buckle up.

Since the beginning of the so-called pandemic, I've been attacking the value of the PCR diagnostic test from many angles. The primary angle, as my readers know, is: SARS-CoV-2 was never isolated, never proved to exist.

However, I've also ventured into the bubble world where a few billion people blindly accept the existence of the virus—and I've shown that even within that world, the internal contradictions and lies abound.

One again, now, I'm entering that bubble world, since the powers-that-be are widely promoting the use of an at-home test for the "virus." This test is self-administered. No doctor, nurse, or technician is present. What could possibly go wrong, as millions of people perform the test on themselves?

Well, let's lead off with DANGER.

The reference is an undated FDA document titled, <u>"BinaxNOWTM COVID-19 Antigen Self</u> <u>TEST."</u> The Binax test kit is manufactured by Abbott.

Here is the key quote: "The Reagent Solution [included in the test kit] contains a harmful chemical (see table below). If the solution contacts the skin or eye, flush with copious amounts of water. If irritation persists, seek medical advice..."

Then the FDA document lists that harmful chemical: sodium azide.

Just how harmful is it?

For an answer, let's look at a CDC document titled, <u>*"Facts About Sodium Azide."*</u> We find this statement:

"Sodium azide is a rapidly acting, potentially deadly chemical that exists as an odorless white solid."

Then there is this: "Sodium azide prevents the cells of the body from using oxygen. When this happens, the cells die. Sodium azide is more harmful to the heart and the brain than to other organs, because the heart and the brain use a lot of oxygen." Yes, as with all poisons, the degree of damage depends on the dosage, but you decide whether "potentially deadly," as the CDC describes sodium azide, signifies a significant risk.

If you read the FDA document I referenced above, you'll see that the reagent containing sodium azide is involved in the self-administered COVID test, and the whole test procedure is complex enough to allow fumbles and mistakes—such as a spill of the "deadly chemical."

Don't believe me? Try this FDA quote on for size: "To perform the test, an anterior nasal swab specimen is collected by the patient, then 6 drops of extraction reagent from a dropper bottle are added to the top hole of the swab well. The patient sample is inserted into the test card through the bottom hole of the swab well, and firmly pushed upwards until the swab tip is visible through the top hole. The swab is rotated 3 times clockwise and the card is closed, bringing the extracted sample into contact with the test strip. Test results are interpreted visually at 15 minutes based on the presence or absence of visually detectable pink/purple colored lines."

See what I mean? Good luck.

Now let's move on to the second problem with this at-home self-performed COVID test: it's grossly misleading. The results are ambiguous.

The FDA document I've been quoting contains this gem: "The BinaxNOW COVID-19 Antigen Self Test does not differentiate between SARS-CoV and SARS...CoV-2."

BOOM. In other words, a positive test, indicating infection, could mean nothing more than infection with the 2003 "SARS virus"—and that "epidemic" was a dud. But wait, there's more:

"Positive results do not rule out bacterial infection or co-infection with other viruses. The agent detected may not be the definite cause of disease."

Double trouble. The person who tests positive could simply be housing bacteria in his body, AND whatever germ the test is detecting may not even be causing disease.

Other than that, the at-home test is perfect.

This Abbott BinaxNow at-home COVID test is the market leader. About 75% of all retail testkit sales in the US come from Abbott.

Back in the early spring of 2020, I told you the test was the key to faking the pandemic. Now the government wants the population to perform the test-fakery on themselves. With, of course, the added danger of exposing themselves to a highly destructive chemical in the process.

But don't worry, be happy. On many days of every week, little Tony Fauci will appear on television and inform you that testing is necessary, and he'll omit grisly details. Trust him.

And then march forward, secure in the knowledge that lies and omissions keep us safe.



(To read about Jon's mega-collection, The Matrix Revealed, click here.)

Jon Rappoport

The author of three explosive collections, <u>THE MATRIX REVEALED</u>, <u>EXIT FROM THE MATRIX</u>, and <u>POWER OUTSIDE THE MATRIX</u>, Jon was a candidate for a US Congressional seat in the

29th District of California. He maintains a consulting practice for private clients, the purpose of which is the expansion of personal creative power. Nominated for a Pulitzer Prize, he has worked as an investigative reporter for 30 years, writing articles on politics, medicine, and health for CBS Healthwatch, LA Weekly, Spin Magazine, Stern, and other newspapers and magazines in the US and Europe. Jon has delivered lectures and seminars on global politics, health, logic, and creative power to audiences around the world. You can sign up for his free NoMoreFakeNews emails <u>here</u> or his free OutsideTheRealityMachine emails <u>here</u>.

The original source of this article is <u>blog.nomorefakenews.com</u> Copyright © <u>Jon Rappoport</u>, <u>blog.nomorefakenews.com</u>, 2022

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Jon Rappoport

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca