

Video: The Mysterious Death of Dr. David Kelly

By [Norman Baker](#) and [Richard Galustian](#)

Global Research, March 23, 2019

Region: [Europe](#)

Theme: [History](#), [Media Disinformation](#),
[Militarization and WMD](#)

Introduction by Richard Galustian

As we contemplate the 16th anniversary this week of the start of the Iraq war, we are forced to ponder on the insanity of that decision by the US and UK in anticipation very soon of a similar disaster developing, again no doubt for oil, this time though in Venezuela.

However what many will consider 'a side show' by comparison to the more than a million dead Iraqis and thousands of dead and wounded American and British soldiers, needs further investigation and reflection. That is the case of one of Britain's foremost scientists, Dr. David Kelly, who allegedly committed suicide.

The justification used by the US and UK, of the existence of WMDs in Saddam Hussein's Iraq was that Iraq posed an immediate and imminent threat to the West – now known to be an absolute falsehood; a complete lie.

We must never forget the demise of Dr. Kelly in 2003, whose mysterious death in woods near his home, as he was, amongst other things, one of the most senior weapons inspectors that visited Iraq on numerous occasions on behalf of the UN prior to its invasion.

Before giving more detail, two comments are important to mention at this point. First one by John Scurr, a world renowned vascular surgeon is reported as saying that he does not believe it possible that Dr Kelly died in the manner officially stated.

And second a report that a former British Ambassador, David Broucher was called to give evidence to the Hutton Inquiry into Dr. Kelly's death, after telling Foreign Office colleagues of an extraordinary remark Dr Kelly made to him shortly before he died. Dr Kelly told him he thought he would be 'found dead in the woods' if Iraq was ever invaded.

Some of the more outrageous 'conspiracy theorists' claim Kelly was assassinated by a foreign intelligence service, some name the CIA or Private Military Contractors at the behest of the CIA but predictably can provide no evidence.

It is worth revisiting again the narrative provided by the UK Government as regards the death of Dr. Kelly because of its potential relevance to a repeat of 'regime change' that might occur soon in Venezuela and the justifications that may follow such an action.

For the record Dr. Kelly worked at various MoD facilities in the UK and frequently at Porton Down, as head of microbiology.

Kelly worked with other scientists at Porton Down in Salisbury who have also died under

questionable circumstances, for instance, Dr. Richard Holmes, whose body was found in the same woods as Dr. Kelly, in 2012, two days after going for a walk, and one month after resigning from Porton Down; and to Vladimir Pasechnik's death in November 2001, another Russian defector, who allegedly died of a stroke. His death was not publicly announced until a month later. Dr. Kelly had been involved in his debriefing when Pasechnik left Russia.

I defer to Norman Baker who provides us with a more forensic examination of the facts as we know them today.

So below is a video of approximately one hour length presented by British MP, Norman Baker, who articulates brilliantly many specific inconsistencies related to the claims that Dr. Kelly killed himself.

The video speaks for itself and I hope stimulates readers to consider the many ramifications should it be proven Kelly was murdered.

This video was published on 1 Jun 2018 on YouTube.

Norman Baker, a UK Member of Parliament for many years and author of The Strange Death of David Kelly, explains why questions over the mysterious death of UN weapons inspector Dr David Kelly won't go away. The official 'Hutton Report' on his death was a whitewash and the conclusion that the government weapons inspector took his own life is not supported by the facts. Norman says: "Dr Kelly was a good man, who served the world well. We owe it him to get to the bottom of what happened that fateful day in July 2003."

*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

The original source of this article is Global Research

Copyright © [Norman Baker](#) and [Richard Galustian](#), Global Research, 2019

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Norman Baker](#)
and [Richard Galustian](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those

who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca