

MUNICIPAL DEMOCRATIZATION: A Corporate Versus a People's City Budget

By [Shamus Cooke](#)

Global Research, March 25, 2012
25 March 2012

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Poverty & Social Inequality](#)

How are government budgets created, and in whose interests? In Portland, Oregon the city recently held the second and last of its public budget forums, where the community could offer feedback to help craft the city's budget. Over 200 people attended the meeting at Cleveland High School, much more than city officials anticipated based on the lack of chairs, food, and electronic remote controls that were handed out to attendees to provide answers to survey questions (this writer was among the many not fortunate enough to receive a clicker or a chair).

Those who had remote controls responded to the demographic questions that began the event, and revealed that much of the city was vastly under-represented; the poor, minorities, and the largest working class neighborhoods of North and outer East Portland.

The attendees spent the first hour of the two-hour event being talked to. What we were told was as much ideology as fact. For example, city officials based their budget on the following premise: Because the recession has caused a major drop in tax returns, large cuts in services and jobs had to be made. There was no alternative. Zero mention was made of raising taxes on those who could afford it — the wealthy and corporations. There was also zero mention of using the city's large financial reserves to save jobs and prevent cuts. Shockingly, there was no mention of the layoffs the city was planning, or the immense need to create new jobs in a city that has a much higher unemployment rate than the nation's average. With a "cuts only" budget, creating jobs cannot be a topic of conversation.

After the "cuts only" solution was presented, much of the event was dedicated to discovering the community's "priorities," presumably with the intention of having the least prioritized services being cut, since cuts were mandatory. This inevitably pitted the different attendees against each other, with large sections of the crowd cheering for parks and recreation or transportation instead, in the hopes that their services or jobs wouldn't be cut. If one accepts the city's premise of a "cuts only" budget, this must be the sad outcome.

Why did the city limit its options so? Unfortunately, Portland is simply following a national trend on a city, state, and federal level where Democrats and Republicans have agreed that taxing the wealthy and corporations must not be an option in addressing the social crisis that resulted from the Great Recession, regardless of the vast inequality of wealth that has erupted over the last 30 years. Presumably governmental officials have chosen this route because their political parties depend on the wealthy for campaign contributions to ensure winning elections and staying in power.

Because politicians tell us that we cannot take money from the wealthy, money must be

taken instead from public workers through wage and benefit cuts or layoffs; or be taken from other working people in the form of fee increases, sales taxes, or cuts to services provided by public workers in the form of school, community center and park closures, transportation cuts (buses, trains and roads), crumbling infrastructure, library closings, etc.

Public comment at Portland's budget meeting was severely restricted. After we gave the Mayor our budget "priorities" via remote control, we were split into large groups to talk with city officials who led large departments — each were mobbed by dozens of attendees begging not to have their programs cut.

This writer joined a large contingent of city workers who pinned down Portland's Chief Accounting Officer, who was asked why the city refused to spend the hundreds of millions of dollars of reserve funds. The official revealed in coded language that much of the funds were needed to calm the fears of rich investors, who profited from buying Portland's Municipal Bonds. Without maintaining a large cash horde Portland's AAA bond rating could be threatened, and investors might worry about the return on their investment. This dynamic is present all over the U.S., as cities have chosen this "attract the wealthy" model of budgeting (so-called Urban Renewal), to the detriment of working and poor people.

On May 5th in Portland a coalition of community groups and labor unions are organizing a Community Assembly to create a People's Budget. This event will begin with the exact opposite premise as the Mayor's event; because the recession has caused a major drop in tax returns, we must raise revenue by taxing those who can afford it while using available reserve funds to save and create much needed jobs (the private sector has failed to solve the jobs depression; the public sector must step in to help relieve the crisis).

Instead of ignoring or blaming public workers for the recession, we plan to honor them and the services they provide to the public, while giving support to the various ongoing union campaigns that are fighting cuts. Instead of prioritizing a "cuts only" budget, we will prioritize our needs — for jobs and against cuts. Instead of hiding Portland's Urban Renewal scheme, we plan to bring it into the light, along with other ways that the city has shaped its policies with the rich investor first in mind.

Shamus Cooke is a social worker, trade unionist, and writer for Workers Action (www.workerscompass.org)

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Shamus Cooke](#), Global Research, 2012

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Shamus Cooke](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants

permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca