

Mueller Report: A Blueprint for Impeachment of Donald Trump?

By Stephen Lendman

Global Research, April 25, 2019

Theme: <u>History</u>

Region: USA

There's plenty about Trump to criticize, indisputable just cause to impeach him – for the right reasons, not the wrong ones, for high crimes of war and against humanity, for numerous other offenses harmful to the general welfare, for what his predecessors and vast majority of past and current congressional members are guilty of, not for anything in the Mueller report.

Anti-Trump "undemocratic" Dems and hostile media never explain that Russiagate was and remains a colossal hoax – cooked up by Obama's Russophobic CIA director John Brennan, one of the most shameful chapters in US political history.

Mueller never should have been appointed special counsel in the first place. The probe lacked legitimacy — a colossal waste of time and millions of dollars spent proving nothing related to his mandate.

The exercise was a sinister plot to delegitimize Trump for triumphing over media darling Hillary, failing to prove an improper or illegal connection between him and Russia, putting an exclamation point on Russian Federation US election meddling that never happened.

Not a shred of evidence suggests it. In a legitimate court of law, charges are dismissed without proof beyond a reasonable doubt, the gold standard for getting convictions.

No evidence exists about Russian US election meddling nor about illegal or dubious Trump ties to Moscow.



Russiagate is as phony as Watergate. Long knives

wielded by dark forces cooked it up to remove Richard Nixon from office on trumped up charges.

It was all about his social, environmental and geopolitical agenda – world's apart from how Republicans and undemocratic Dems operate today. Nixon threatened entrenched military/industrial/security and other interests, that was why he was marked for removal.

Trump was supposed to lose, not win, why Dems and vast majority of establishment media want him either too weakened to be reelected or impeached and removed from office.

The self-styled newspaper of record NYT called him "demonstrably unfit for office." The same characterization applies to "dangerous" Hillary, and the majority of other past and current presidential aspirants, contemptuous of rule of law principles.



Russiagate should have been Hillarygate,

a Dem standard bearer by primary election theft and other dirty tricks.

She and the DNC supported former MI6 spy Christopher Steele's doggy dossier on Trump – filled with unverified accusations and allegations, an effort with no credibility.

Hillary and Mueller (left)

The Clinton crime family pursued and endorsed endless wars of aggression during their time in office, state terror on a global scale. Hillary endorsed first-strike use of nuclear weapons she called peacekeeping deterrents.

She's pushing for Trump's impeachment, saying

"I certainly think that the roadmap, as some call it, of the Mueller report raises so many serious questions in part one about what the Russians did (sic), which is beyond debate (sic), and in part two about all of the evidence about obstruction (sic)."

"I think there is enough there (sic) that any other person who had engaged in those acts would certainly have been indicted (sic)" - referring to Trump.

Her anti-Russia/anti-Iran rage could have launched WW III by now or earlier.

Unthinkable nuclear war remains possible, even likely with numerous other bipartisan hardliners infesting Washington. No other nation threatens humanity like the US. It shows in executive, congressional, and judicial actions.

No case that would hold up in a court of law exists for impeaching Trump on obstruction of justice charges related to the Mueller probe.

His report includes 11 instances of possible obstruction of justice by Trump and his campaign staff – short of accusing anyone of this crime because no evidence proves it.

Trump and his staff didn't obstruct Mueller's probe. They cooperated with it. DLT waived

executive privilege. According to Law Professor Jonathan Turley,

"(h)e took no actual obstructive acts. To charge him would have amounted to a virtual thought crime."

Dems and supportive media are reinterpreting and using the Mueller report to continue vilifying Trump for the wrong reasons.

Dems are divided, some wanting to go for the jugular by impeaching him in the House they control despite virtually no chance of a GOP-controlled Senate conviction.



Following release of the Mueller report, the anti-Trump NYT said

party's standard bearer defeat him in 2020.

"(i)n a functional country, we would be on the road to impeachment."

A separate times piece posed the question: "Impeach Donald Trump?" Despite no evidence of obstruction of justice, the Times pronounced him guilty, saying he "doesn't deserve to be president," calling for his impeachment.

Separately, the Times said

"(t)here's a bigger prize than impeachment. Keeping Trump in office will destroy the Republican Party."

The Washington Post went both ways as well, so far more against than for going this far.

No US president was ever removed from office by impeachment. House members impeached Andrew Johnson and Bill Clinton, both subsequently acquitted by the Senate.

The Constitution's Article II, Section 4 states:

"The President, Vice President, and all civil Officers of the United States shall be removed from Office on Impeachment for, and conviction of, Treason, Bribery, or other High Crimes and Misdemeanors."

No US president was ever charged with crimes of war or against humanity, none for serving monied interests at the expense of the general welfare, none for breaching the public trust or repeatedly lying.

Andrew Johnson and Clinton were impeached for political reasons. In Johnson's case, a southerner from Tennessee, it was largely over his loyalty to the union during the civil war.

He was accused of violating the Tenure of Office Act for removing Secretary of War Edwin Stanton. By that standard, most or all of his successors would share guilt.

In Clinton's case, it was over lying under oath about sex and related charges (Monica Lewinsky), his legitimate impeachable offenses ignored.

How will things turn out for Trump? Impeachment is possible but unlikely. If it occurs, the GOP-controlled Senate most likely would acquit him.

What are his chances for reelection in 2020? It's way too early to tell, of course. However, the historical record shows sitting presidents have a distinct advantage over challengers.

In US history, only five incumbents failed to get a second term - William Taft, Herbert Hoover during the Great Depression, Gerald Ford, Jimmy Carter and GHW Bush.

Will Trump be No. 6? The fullness of time will tell.

*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Award-winning author Stephen Lendman lives in Chicago. He can be reached at lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net. He is a Research Associate of the Centre for Research on Globalization (CRG)

His new book as editor and contributor is titled "Flashpoint in Ukraine: US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III."

http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html

Visit his blog site at silendman.blogspot.com.

Featured image is from YourNewsWire

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © Stephen Lendman, Global Research, 2019

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Stephen Lendman

About the author:

Stephen Lendman lives in Chicago. He can be reached

at lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net. His new book as editor and contributor is titled "Flashpoint in Ukraine: US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III." http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html Visit his blog site at sjlendman.blogspot.com. Listen to cuttingedge discussions with distinguished guests on the Progressive Radio News Hour on the Progressive Radio Network. It airs three times weekly: live on Sundays at 1PM Central time plus two prerecorded archived programs.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca