

# Motion to US District Court to Release Chelsea Manning from Punitive Incarceration

By [Stephen Lendman](#)

Global Research, February 20, 2020

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Law and Justice](#)

*Like Julian Assange, Chelsea Manning is a victim of police state injustice.*

*She's been imprisoned since last spring and fined \$1,000 daily for invoking her constitutional right to remain silent — refusing to give grand jury testimony against Assange that could be self-incriminating.*

The right to remain silent is constitutionally guaranteed. It's affirmed by the European Convention on Human Rights. Legal systems of other nations affirm it.

It's what Miranda rights are all about in the US. Police detainees can invoke the right to refuse answering questions asked.

Its purpose is to avoid self-incrimination, along with the right to be represented by counsel for guidance when interrogated.

The US grand jury system is flagrantly unconstitutional, what the Supreme Court should have ruled against long ago for violating the First, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Eighth, and Fourteenth Amendments.

The system lets prosecutors manipulate proceedings to get indictments. Their career advancement depends on them.

Americans can be prosecuted for claimed association with "undesirable group(s)."

They're subjected to unreasonable searches and seizures by unchecked surveillance powers of the state, their privacy compromised.

Due process, habeas rights, and equal justice under law greatly eroded. All of the above are hallmarks of police state rule.

Manning's First, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, and Eight Amendment rights were violated. The same goes for Julian Assange, UK actions against him based on Trump regime orders.

Subjecting Manning to unreasonable searches and seizures violated her Fourth Amendment rights.

Her Fifth Amendment rights of due process, protection from self-incrimination, and possible double jeopardy were violated.

So was her Sixth Amendment right of a public trial represented by counsel, an impartial jury, and nature of charges against her. Silence is not a crime.

Subjecting her to cruel and unusual punishments violated her Eight Amendment rights.

Demanding she testify before a grand jury in secret without counsel violated her Fifth and Sixth Amendment rights.

In a February 19 [motion to the US District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia](#), the same one to try Assange if he's extradited to the US, Manning's attorney Moira Meltzer-Cohen petitioned the court for her release from punitive incarceration, a procedure called a Grumbles motion, saying:

"A witness who refuses to cooperate with a grand jury subpoena may be held in contempt of court, and fined or incarcerated."

"The only permissible purpose for sanctions under the civil contempt statute is to coerce a witness to comply with the subpoena."

"If compliance is impossible, either because the grand jury is no longer in existence, or because the witness is incoercible, then confinement has been transformed from a coercive into a punitive sanction, and thus is in violation of the law."

"Over the last decade, Chelsea Manning has shown unwavering resolve in the face of censure, punishment, and even threats of violence."

"As Ms Manning's resolve not to testify has been unwavering, and as her moral conviction has become only more developed since her confinement, her incarceration is not serving its only permissible purpose."

"The key issue before Judge (Anthony) Trenga is whether continued incarceration could persuade Chelsea to testify."

"Should (he) agree that Chelsea will never agree to testify, he will be compelled by the law to order her release."

"She reiterated her refusal to cooperate with the grand jury process before this court, and has now reiterated that refusal every day for more than 11 months."

"There is no reason to believe she will at this late date experience a change of heart; there is a profusion of evidence that she will not."

Detaining her indefinitely for remaining silent constitutes "cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment."

A statement by Manning said she refuses to give grand jury testimony because of her "longstanding belief that (they they're) used by federal prosecutors to harass and disrupt political opponents and activists through secrecy, coercion, and jailing without trial," adding:

"No matter how much you punish me, I will remain confident in my decision."

"I have been separated from my loved ones, deprived of sunlight, and could not even attend my mother's funeral."

"It is easier to endure these hardships now than to cooperate to win back some comfort, and live the rest of my life knowing that I acted out of self-interest and not principle."

Manning proved she's incoercible. She can't be pressured, harassed or punished into doing what she believes violates her moral and ethical code of conduct.

Last November, UN Rapporteur on Torture Nils Melzer called for her immediate release in a letter to the Trump regime.

Calling her imprisonment unjustifiable, he said disproportionate fines against her should be cancelled, the amount exceeding \$235,000, the figure increasing by \$1,000 daily.

After a personality assessment of Manning by clinical/forensic psychologist Sara Boyd, she said the following that's included in Meltzer-Cohen petition for her release, saying:

“Manning exhibits longstanding personality features that relate to her scrupulousness, her persistence and dedication, and her willingness to endure social disapproval as well as formal punishments.”

She cannot be coerced into doing what she believes is fundamentally wrong.

She's willing to endure constitutionally prohibited punishment and possible financial ruin rather than compromise her core beliefs.

For the past decade, she proved that no matter how harshly she's punished, she remains undaunted and steadfast to her principles.

\*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

*Award-winning author Stephen Lendman lives in Chicago. He can be reached at [lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net](mailto:lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net). He is a Research Associate of the Centre for Research on Globalization (CRG)*

*His new book as editor and contributor is titled “Flashpoint in Ukraine: US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III.”*

*<http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html>*

*Visit his blog site at [sjlendman.blogspot.com](http://sjlendman.blogspot.com).*

*Featured image is from Massoud Nayeri*

The original source of this article is Global Research  
Copyright © [Stephen Lendman](#), Global Research, 2020

---

**[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)**

**[Become a Member of Global Research](#)**

Articles by: [Stephen Lendman](#)

### About the author:

Stephen Lendman lives in Chicago. He can be reached at [lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net](mailto:lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net). His new book as editor and contributor is titled "Flashpoint in Ukraine: US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III."

<http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html> Visit his blog site at [sjlendman.blogspot.com](http://sjlendman.blogspot.com). Listen to cutting-edge discussions with distinguished guests on the Progressive Radio News Hour on the Progressive Radio Network. It airs three times weekly: live on Sundays at 1PM Central time plus two prerecorded archived programs.

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)