

Monsanto Secretly Gave Money to Farmer Caught Contaminating Organic Farms with GMOs

By Christina Sarich

Global Research, April 30, 2015

Natural Society

Region: Oceania

Theme: Biotechnology and GMO, Law and

<u>Justice</u>

Natural Society reported on <u>organic farmer Steve Marsh's dilemma</u> with GMO cross pollination of his organic farms a while back, but some shocking news <u>has recently bubbled</u> to the surface about Monsanto's involvement.

One of the secrets of monopolizing Monsanto is <u>that it contributed</u> big bucks to the defense of the Australian farmer whose GMO canola crop infected Steve Marsh's organic fields. If you aren't privy, a <u>ruling by</u> the Supreme Court of Western Australia had no sympathy for Steve Marsh's plight, and sided in favor of Michael Baxter and Monsanto.

"An organic farmer in Western Australia lost his bid to claim damages from a neighbour after genetically modified (GMO) canola seed heads blew on to his property, causing him to lose his licence as an organic grower."

Not only did Steve Marsh lose his organic certification, but he was going against another farmer who he thought someone came up with AUD 800,000 in legal fees on his own. To add insult to injury, the judge even ordered Marsh to pay the GM farmer AUD 800,000. So Marsh not only lost his organic farm, and lost his case, but he then had to pay his neighbor for contaminating his crops with his GM seed.

When Marsh appealed the decision, the court ordered Baxter to disclose any financial arrangements with Monsanto and the Pastoralists and Graziers Association, of which Baxter is a member. His lawyer denied that Baxter has received any questionable payments from either entity.

Only after a court order did Monsanto reveal that it had provided assistance towards Baxter's legal defense, refusing to disclose exactly how much the corporation contributed.

Of the AUD 800,000 that Baxter magically came up with to win over Marsh in court, how much do you think Monsanto provided? More information will be reported as it becomes available. One thing is for certain though, Monsanto and its dirty secrets will *always* be exposed.

Follow us: <u>@naturalsociety on Twitter</u> | <u>NaturalSociety on Facebook</u>

The original source of this article is <u>Natural Society</u> Copyright © <u>Christina Sarich</u>, <u>Natural Society</u>, 2015

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Christina Sarich

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: $\underline{publications@globalresearch.ca}$