

MIT Study Suggests Six Foot Social Distancing, Limited Occupancy Rules Are Completely Pointless

After over a year, scientists have determined that social distancing and limited occupancy rules may be totally useless.

By Tom Pappert

Region: <u>USA</u>

Global Research, April 26, 2021

Theme: <u>Intelligence</u>, <u>Science and Medicine</u>

National File 24 April 2021

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the "Translate Website" drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

A new study conducted by MIT scientists and released this week reveals that the six foot social distancing and limited occupancy guidelines made law in most of the civilized world have done little to slow the spread of COVID-19, and suggests the only way to reduce the spread of COVID-19 is to limit exposure to highly populated areas and areas where people are physically exerting themselves, such as gyms, or areas where people are singing or speaking, such as churches.

The study reveals that the social distancing guidelines employed throughout much of the world for over a year have done nothing to limit the spread of COVID-19, suggesting that the adaption of the guidelines did not stop the spread of the of the China-originated virus, and it can only be slowed with the employment of severe lockdowns. Paradoxically, states and cities that have engaged in severe lockdowns have seen the largest spikes of COVID-19.

"We argue there really isn't much of a benefit to the 6-foot rule, especially when people are wearing masks," MIT professor Martin Z. Bazant said, as reported by NBC. "It really has no physical basis because the air a person is breathing while wearing a mask tends to rise and comes down elsewhere in the room so you're more exposed to the average background than you are to a person at a distance."

In other words, widespread mask wearing may simply change the physical vectors of transmission within a given room rather than stop it, effectively making six foot distancing rules pointless.

In their study, Bazant and the other researchers declare,

"Adherence to the Six-Foot Rule would limit large-drop transmission, and adherence to our guideline, [of limiting time spent in densely populated areas], would limit long-range airborne transmission." In the guideline, the researchers write, "To minimize risk of infection, one should avoid spending extended periods in highly populated areas. One is safer in rooms with large volume and high ventilation rates. One is at greater risk in rooms where people are

exerting themselves in such a way as to increase their respiration rate and pathogen output, for example, by exercising, singing, or shouting."

Bazant also told the media,

"What our analysis continues to show is that many spaces that have been shut down in fact don't need to be. Often times the space is large enough, the ventilation is good enough, the amount of time people spend together is such that those spaces can be safely operated even at full capacity and the scientific support for reduced capacity in those spaces is really not very good." He added, "I think if you run the numbers, even right now for many types of spaces you'd find that there is not a need for occupancy restrictions."

This comes on the heels of a study that suggests the Pfizer vaccine could cause <u>severe</u> <u>neurodegenerative diseases</u> caused by brain prions created by the mRNA-style vaccine. National File reported,

"'The current RNA based SARSCoV-2 vaccines were approved in the US using an emergency order without extensive long term safety testing,' the report declares. 'In this paper the Pfizer COVID-19 vaccine was evaluated for the potential to induce prion-based disease in vaccine recipients.' Prion-based diseases are, according to the CDC, a form of neurodegenerative diseases, meaning that the Pfizer vaccine is potentially likely to cause long term damage and negative health effects with regards to the brain."

*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above or below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums, etc.

Featured image is from National File

The original source of this article is <u>National File</u> Copyright © <u>Tom Pappert</u>, <u>National File</u>, 2021

<u>Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page</u>

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Tom Pappert

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance

a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca