

The Ministry of Truth - Revisited

Protecting the interests of the Oligarchy on Digital Platforms

By [Jacob Nordangard](#)

Global Research, June 19, 2023

[The Pharos Chronicle – Jacob Nordangård, PhD](#) 18 June 2023

Theme: [Police State & Civil Rights, United Nations](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name.

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

The United Nations Policy Brief [Information Integrity on Digital Platforms](#) (a part of the Secretary-General's vision for the future of global cooperation – Our Common Agenda) was released on June 12th. The report prescribes an Orwellian future with tight control of information to tackle the “existential threats” they label as Hate Speech and Disinformation.

Guterres refers to the problem that Internet is flooded with rubbish that is disguised as trustworthy sources.

One tactic, among others, has been to publish content to fake cloned versions of news sites to make articles seem like they are from legitimate sources

It can of course be argued that this is the case. A lot of ridiculous claims and theories are spreading like wildfire all over the Internet. But as it is a common strategy to highlight a problem in order to get public acceptance for the prescribed solution a legitimate question can be asked. Who is really behind all these bogus sites that manufacture outrageous stories?



Behind this rhetoric we find a much deeper agenda than just cleaning up smelly garbage from the information highway. Secretary-General António Guterres states that:

The present policy brief is focused on how threats to information integrity are having an impact on progress on global, national and local issues.

In the end this is a part of a war against the critical voices who dare to question United Nations Agenda 2030 with its 17 Sustainable Development Goals. Guterres calls for a restoration of trust in the official narrative and the global institutions.

He also mentions the importance and respect for human rights, but it is made clear that “freedom of opinion and expression” only apply as long as you follow the directives from these “high priests” and don’t question their dogma.

...disinformation can negatively impact the enjoyment of human rights and fundamental freedoms, as well as the attainment of the Sustainable Development Goals.

Internet shall thus be more safe from the risk of being exposed for views differing from those expressed by the United Nations. According to the UN, it is a human right to be guarded from dangerous opinions that question their “global scientific consensus”. This especially applies to the two subjects that form the foundation for the UN agenda: health and climate. This is also reflected in their analysis on how mis- and disinformation affects the seventeen SDGs. Below are two examples.



During the coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic, an infodemic of related mis- and disinformation undermined public health measures and vaccination drives. The threat to children’s health and well-being resulting from exposure to harmful content persists.



Climate disinformation, and the inertia that it encourages, is undermining efforts to take urgent action to address the climate crisis, including by impeding the crucial shift from polluting fossil fuels to renewable energy and urgent investments in climate resilience.

Because the United Nations, according to Under-Secretary-General for Global Communications Melissa Fleming, “own the science” they “know” what is right and can distinguish right from wrong. The wrongdoings of the deniers that don’t take heed of the UN decrees have to be countered. This problem was discussed during the Nobel Prize Summit 2023 in Washington D.C. with Fleming as a speaker.


Fleming presented her opinion about the things she sees as problematic in her speech Healing our troubled information ecosystem. To communicate her message and gain sympathy for the cause, she told the story of when she was diagnosed with breast cancer and started to search the internet for information:

One of the most prominent findings or pages that popped up was a site called Truth About Cancer. And the truth about cancer gave the following advice: don’t treat your cancer with chemotherapy, there are natural remedies instead. If I had taken their

advice I would not be standing here with you today.

And the reason I raise this is because that same group is still going strong on Facebook, Twitter and other platforms with the Truth About Vaccines. They are trying to convince people to refuse life saving vaccines and during the COVID-19 pandemic the [Center for Countering Digital Hate](#) found that the couple behind both of these pages were part of what they coined the disinformation dozen – just twelve accounts that were responsible for 65 % of the disinformation that were spreading across the world on social media. Affecting the minds of millions.

Why is this relevant to the UN? Well when we finally got the vaccines to other parts of the world, in Africa in particular, which had publics that were very vaccine positive we were finding people refusing to take them. They had been so affected by these conspiracies that they weren't only refusing the COVID-19 vaccines but also childhood vaccines as well.



She also talked about attacks against climate scientists and activists.

As we have heard by many other speakers social media is also being harnessed to undermine, distort and abuse those who are promoting the science around climate change. The goal to silence the scientists and the activists.

Below is a video from Center for Countering Digital Hate that urges Facebook to act and label "climate misinformation".

To combat all these "misdeeds" United Nations (with Fleming as a leading information warrior) want to give us "improved critical thinking skills" that "can make users more resilient against digital manipulation."

In order to safeguard the "critical mind" against the emergence of wrong ideas and conclusions, UN bodies like [The United Nations Verified Initiative](#) has been launched in collaboration with Guterres, Fleming and WEF Young Global Leader Jeremy Heimans (from the social impact agency [Purpose](#)) to give warnings "about falsehoods" before the users encounter them.

UNs definition of "critical thinking" thus means that you only are allowed to be critical of topics that has their stamp of approval. *Don't ever think for yourself without the validation from the Digital Political Commissar!*

But this brave new world also needs a set of guiding rules or principles. In order to battle the “darker side of the digital ecosystem” Guterres proposes a:

...code of conduct that will help to guide Member States and the digital platforms to make the digital space more inclusive and safe for all, while vigorously defending the right to freedom of opinion and expression, and the right to access information.

The main objective is to create a United Nations Code of Conduct for Information Integrity.

This code does initially look promising with obligations to ensure that member state responses to disinformation and hate speech shall not “block any legitimate expression of views and opinions” as well as upholding a “free, viable and plural media landscape with strong protection for journalists and independent media”.

But this becomes totally shattered in the following Orwellian paragraphs. Suggestions include:

1. Establish “independent” factchecking organisations.
2. Identify and respond to mis- and disinformation and hate speech.
3. Report the origins, spread and impact.
4. Form broad coalitions between local organisations and tech companies.
5. Ensure that advertising is free from disinformation.
6. Invest in human and AI content moderation systems in all languages.

To oversee the implementation of the code Guterres writes about the possibility to create an “independent” observatory with “recognized experts” that can review the measures taken.

This opens up for censorship of everything that United Nations and their faithful servants Guterres and Fleming label as disinformation.

Welcome to the Ministry of Truth



Those who run the United Nations have no regard for people who raise concerns about serious side effects (even when [reported by Pfizer themselves](#)), restrictions and mask mandates, or those who dare question the climate alarmism. Such people are instead labeled “deniers” and “conspiracy theorists” and are seen as evil people spreading “disinformation” and “hate speech”.

To conclude, it seems that the UN “vigorously defends” primarily the interests of Big Pharma, Big Tech, and the “trustees of the material universe for future generations” at the World Economic Forum, as well as their mega rich owners disguised as “benevolent philanthropists” caring for the wellbeing of humanity and the planet. It is obvious that the main purpose of the United Nations is to protect these powerful groups against all those who are finally waking up from their long fear-induced coma.

But it is ultimately a war the scaremongers cannot win. All they can do is try to buy some more time before the arrival of the inevitable moment when truth exposes their lies and corrupted machinations. *Truth* is the existential threat they really fear. It is like sunlight to a vampire.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global

Research articles.

All images in this article are from the author

The original source of this article is [The Pharos Chronicle – Jacob Nordangård, PhD](#)
Copyright © [Jacob Nordangard](#), [The Pharos Chronicle – Jacob Nordangård, PhD](#), 2023

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Jacob Nordangard](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca