

Mexico's Unfolding Political Crisis: Denationalization and the Privatisation of Oil and Electricity

By Prof. James Petras

Global Research, September 10, 2013

Region: Latin America & Caribbean

Theme: Global Economy

Introduction

The privatization of Mexico 's state petroleum and electrical enterprises has profound negative political consequences, both internally and in terms of its foreign policy.

Most critical scholars and journalists have focused on the negative economic consequences of privatization and denationalization, drawing attention to the loss of revenues, profits, employment and control over national resources ("dependency"). In defense of public ownership, numerous experts, journalists and academics refute the charge of "inefficiency" by demonstrating that PEMEX ,the state owned petroleum company has lower costs of production per barrel than most private MNC and by pointing to the greater capacity to grow if the public enterprise was allowed to reinvest a greater percentage of their profits in new techniques and operations, instead of being raided to compensate for a regressive income tax system.

While the economic arguments against privatization and denationalization of PEMEX and the electrical system are formidable, there is, in addition, powerful political, geo-political ad geo-economic reasons.

The Political Implications of Privatization and Denationalization

The Mexican government's decision to "privatize" the public oil and electrical industry means, effectively to 'denationalize' them, as the state is in negotiation with the foreign multi-national corporations lined up to submit their bids.

Most of the major US oil multi-nationals are the most likely beneficiaries of the sell-off. As a result, major US oil corporations with powerful links to the US state will play a major role in shaping Mexico 's policy to its primary export sector in favor of Washington 's global strategic interests.

It is important to remember, that multi-national corporations (MNC) are not only economic units but political actors. MNC have played a strategic political role in numerous contexts, always to the detriment of the "host country". Numerous historical examples illustrate this fact. In 1961 when Cuba decided to import Soviet oil to counter US export restrictions, Texaco acting under orders from the White House refused to refine it and Havana was forced to nationalize the refineries. In recent times Venezuela increased the royalty payments of the oil companies to finance social programs. Several US MNCs refused to cooperate, in line with Washington 's plans to destabilize the Chavez government. They were nationalized. Similarly in Argentina , Repsol, the Spanish petrol MNC refused to fulfill its investment obligations in order to pressure the Kirchner government to modify it policies

and was nationalized. The Spanish government intervened and set in motion an international judicial process.

During the early 1950s in Iran , British Petroleum and major US petroleum companies worked with the CIA in successfully overthrowing the elected Mosaddegh government to prevent nationalization and to secure lucrative concessions. Mexico's history is replete with examples of US military and diplomatic interventions in Mexican politics in order to secure control and favorable concessions for oil companies prior to Lázaro Cárdena's nationalization. Throughout history US policy toward Mexico was 'made' by the US mining and oil companies in Mexico .

Multi-national corporations combine economic interests with political leverage based on their links with political leaders inside of Mexico and the United States. Once an 'economic opening' is established, the MNC, can extend and deepen their penetration of the economy to related economic sectors and can use their political power to secure subsidies and tax exemptions at the expense of the Mexican treasury.

MNC have a two way relation with the US imperial state: they exert pressure on Washington to intervene in any dispute with the Mexican state; and the imperial state can use the strategic position of the petroleum MNC to secure political co-operation from the Mexican state to oppose nationalists in OPEC, Venezuela and the Middle East .

MNC became deeply involved in the internal politics of a country, aligning themselves with the most reactionary, anti-labor, anti-national classes. In Colombia ,MNC use their economic resources to finance the electoral campaigns of right-wing politicians, parties and paramilitary groups. MNC are frequently involved in laundering illicit profits, paying bribes and corrupting politicians. In other words, the privatization and denationalization of strategic industries converts sovereign nations into semi-colonies, with reduced political influence in regional associations and the international system.

Foreign owned MNC sharply reduce the political options of states in deciding marketing, and undermine invitations to become members of national and regional integration organizations like ALBA.. US petroleum corporations are integrated through a productive chain with their global subsideries in an imperial economy. In contrast in Mexico they function as economic enclaves, limiting backward and forward linkages with other sectors, while subordinating satellite industries.

Conclusion

The denationalization of strategic sectors of the Mexican economy increases national vulnerability to imperial pressures, isolates Mexico from regional alliances and creates a class of collaborator politicians whose primary loyalty is to the MNC – not to the citizens of the country.

Within the current world disorder, Washington is continually engaged in wars in the Middle East, North Africa and South Asia.Ownership of the Mexican petroleum industry serves as a US strategic reserve in the face of boycotts and war-induced shortages. In any global conflict, Mexico, as a strategic supplier of petrol to Washington, will become an object or target of numerous US adversaries. The political costs to Mexico of denationalization of strategic economic sectors (like oil and electricity) in the context of growing political links to a highly militarized state, like the US, are high and have no commensurate benefits.

Mexico effectively surrenders its political independence, isolates itself from its Latin American neighbors, fragments its national economy and deepens the colonial character of its state and economy.

This essay was published in the Mexican Electrical Workers Union magazine "Lux"

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © Prof. James Petras, Global Research, 2013

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Prof. James Petras

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: $\underline{publications@globalresearch.ca}$