

# On Medicare's 54th Birthday, Another Year Closer to Winning Medicare for All

By [Kevin Zeese](#) and [Margaret Flowers](#)  
Global Research, August 06, 2019

Region: [USA](#)  
Theme: [Police State & Civil Rights](#)

*In 1965, President Lyndon Johnson signed the Medicare Act. Within a year, and without the aid of computers, the United States provided more than 19 million seniors with health coverage. Before the law existed, over half of the elderly in the United States did not have health insurance. Medicare, which now includes people with disabilities, celebrated its 54th birthday this week.*

Today, the US is on the verge of another transformation. Thirty million people do not have health insurance and 30,000 people die annually because of that sad fact. The healthcare crisis is also demonstrated by the [separate but unequal reality](#) that [wealthy people in the US live 15 years longer than poor people](#).

Momentum for National Improved Medicare for All is growing. That is being reflected in Congress and the presidential elections. As of last week, more than half the Democrats in the US House of Representatives have signed on to HR 1384, the [Medicare for All Act of 2019](#). Medicare for All was also a major topic in the most recent Democratic Presidential Debates.

Image on the right: Rally for Medicare's birthday in Oakland, CA, July 2015. From [Happening-Here.Blogspot.com](#).



## Medicare For All Is Central In The 2020 Elections

National improved Medicare for all (NIMA) has become a litmus test issue in the Democratic Party primary for president. While [corporate Democrats funded by Wall Street](#), the insurance and pharmaceutical industries are trying to stop progress, Democratic Party voters are showing the momentum may not be stoppable.

The two leading Medicare for all candidates, Sen. Bernie Sanders, and Sen. Elizabeth Warren, have [received the most donations of all the other candidates](#). One out of three donors to the Democratic primaries donated to Sanders. This broad base of support is consistent with polls that show Democratic Party voters have reached a consensus: NIMA is essential. Democratic voters have the power to nominate candidates who support Medicare for all if they insist on it. This consensus is the result of years of work by single payer advocates. This is a movement that [will not compromise](#) in [support of false solutions](#).

The Medicare for All Act not only expands health coverage to everyone from birth to death, but also improves Medicare for seniors by including more benefits such as dental, vision, hearing and long term care. And, it does this without requiring premiums, co-pays or deductibles, [saving people more than \\$300 billion annually](#) in out-of-pocket costs.

All doctors, hospitals and other providers will be in a Medicare for all system so people will have complete choice of health services. Patients will not be limited by the narrow insurance industry networks, which often exclude cancer and other specialty centers – places people go when they are ill – to avoid paying for health care. Medicare for all means complete coverage, complete choice and freedom to change jobs or quit a job without fear of losing health coverage.

[Research shows these changes are affordable](#) because one-third of health-related expenditures are for administrative costs caused by the complex web of insurance plans. In addition to insurance company overhead, which ranges from [12.4 percent](#) to [17.8 percent](#) while Medicare has administrative costs of only 1.4 percent, [doctors](#), hospitals and other providers also have high administrative costs due to interacting with thousands of different insurance plans. Having [one-payer dramatically reduces the bureaucracy](#) of healthcare. [Research shows there could be \\$504 billion in yearly administrative savings](#) with a single-payer system.

Improved Medicare for all [creates hundreds of billions of savings](#) that more than offset the increased costs of covering everyone and eliminating out-of-pocket expenses. In addition to reducing administrative waste, Medicare for all allows the federal government to negotiate with pharmaceuticals and providers to bring down the prices of care.

There are [many ways to pay for Medicare for all](#). Congress routinely goes into debt to fund wars and militarism, so it is strange that for something as essential as healthcare cost is an issue. If increased taxes are needed, there have been a variety of proposals for progressive taxes. These proposals show that [all but the wealthiest will pay less for healthcare under improved Medicare for all](#). Households [earning under \\$130,000 per year would save the most](#) money.

Currently, the [US spends 18 percent of its GDP on healthcare](#) and spending is rising faster than inflation and wages. This is an unsustainable expenditure that will be reduced with an improved Medicare for all system. Other wealthy countries with single payer health systems generally [spend less than 11.5 percent of their GDP on healthcare](#).

Medicare for all is [good for businesses](#) because they will no longer be subject to unpredictable increases in insurance costs. It is also good for the economy. Warren Buffett says our current healthcare system is a [tapeworm on the US economy](#) and describes [health care as a real problem for US businesses](#).

## People Will Not Be Fooled By False Proposals

The strategy of the industries that profit from healthcare is to [confuse people with false information and false proposals](#) that sound like Medicare for All. They create front groups to create the illusion of support for their proposals and donate to politicians who advocate for their interests. These false proposals, like [the one promoted by](#) the so-called Center for American Progress, are designed to [protect the industry](#), not fix the healthcare crisis. The [Democratic leadership is addicted to insurance](#), pharmaceutical, and healthcare dollars. The people must be organized to defeat the industry and put in place the system we need.

This week, Sen. Kamala Harris put forward a terrible policy proposal, which she called Medicare for all. The proposal has two major flaws. First, it requires a ten-year transition to improved Medicare for all. This is unnecessary as the Medicare system already exists and we are already spending enough on health care to cover everyone. There is no need for long delays. Second, she allows insurance industry theft of the Medicare for all system by including “Medicare Advantage” plans (these are private insurance plans). Medicare Advantage is a [heavily marketed scam on the elderly](#) that [costs the government more money](#) than traditional Medicare and has the same flaws as private insurance. This proposal is [bad policy and bad politics](#) and should result in the defeat of Sen. Harris.

The most common false proposal is some form of a ‘public option.’ Mayor Pete Buttigieg, one of Wall Street’s favorite candidates, calls this Medicare for those who want it. We call it Medicare for some, not Medicare for all. [A public option does not fix the system](#), it makes it worse by adding another insurance plan to an already too complex system. It foregoes 84 percent of the savings that a single payer system would achieve.

Former Vice President Biden, the [biggest recipient of donations from the industry](#), is another who refuses to advocate for what Democratic voters want. Biden continues to [put forward false arguments against Medicare for all](#). He is stuck in the past and focused on saving the Affordable Care Act (ACA). The [ACA is fundamentally flawed](#) because it is based on the corrupt and expensive private insurance system. Biden is fading in the polls for a variety of reasons, but his refusal to support improved Medicare for all should result in the end of his campaign. Democrats must know that the public understands the issue and [insists on improved Medicare for all](#).

Neither Republicans nor Libertarians are putting forward any healthcare plan, which resulted in Republicans losing in 2018. The Green Party has advocated for single payer health care since the start. Ralph Nader ran on a platform that included Medicare for All as early as 2000.

## Congress Must Do More

In addition to stopping the false non-solution promoted by corporate Democrats, the movement must push to improve both the House and Senate Medicare for all bills. The Senate bill, SB 1129, sponsored by Sanders and 14 Senators, is [flawed in very serious ways](#). It needs to expand coverage of long term care, provide global budgeting for hospitals and end the massive insurance loopholes of managed care structures like Accountable Care Organizations (ACO’s), which function like insurance plans.

The [House bill is better](#) but still needs improvement. Both the House and Senate bills need to end commodification of health care by eliminating for-profit hospitals and other facilities.

The for-profits can be purchased by the healthcare system using a [Treasury Bill financed over 15 years](#) at a cost of one percent of total health spending. If the for-profits are kept in and regulated, as the House bill does, it is likely the owners will sell them or convert them to profit-making entities like condominiums as is [happening in Philadelphia](#). [The House bill](#) needs to shrink the transition from two years to one year, and the Senate Bill needs to shrink the four-year transition currently proposed.

The movement must insist on the [best possible improved Medicare for all bill](#) so people get the healthcare they need, businesses can thrive and the economy is not drained by the cost of healthcare. The US cannot afford to continue the insurance-dominated for-profit system it has; we must put in place improved Medicare for all.

### On The Precipice Of Winning Improved Medicare for All

There are many signs that we are on the verge of winning the urgent and essential policy change of national improved Medicare for all. The single payer [movement has the power to win](#) improved Medicare for all if it doesn't back down. The closer we get to victory, the more the profiteering industries will fight us. In the [Popular Resistance School for Social Transformation](#), we describe this push back as part of the process of winning, and we teach how movements can defeat the strategies of those who seek to maintain the status quo.

There are still hurdles before us, but if the movement continues to work to educate voters as well as to organize and mobilize, we will create a political environment where politicians across the political spectrum must support health care as a human right as embodied in an improved Medicare for all health system.

\*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above or below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

*Kevin Zeese and Margaret Flowers co-direct Popular Resistance where [this article](#) was originally published.*

*Featured image is from M-molly Adams from Flickr.*

The original source of this article is Global Research

Copyright © [Kevin Zeese](#) and [Margaret Flowers](#), Global Research, 2019

---

**[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)**

**[Become a Member of Global Research](#)**

Articles by: [Kevin Zeese](#) and  
[Margaret Flowers](#)

not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)