

Medical Journal Calls for Mandatory COVID Vaccine: 'Noncompliance Should Incur a Penalty'

Suggests that "employment suspension or stay-at-home orders" should be used to force people to get a jab

By [Steve Watson](#)

Global Research, May 01, 2020

[Summit News](#) 2 October 2020

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Police State & Civil Rights](#), [Science and Medicine](#)

A paper published in the New England Journal of Medicine has called for mandating a coronavirus vaccine, and outlined strategies for how Americans could be FORCED to take it.

[The paper](#) warns that an immediate mandate for the vaccine would spark too much resistance and backlash, so the writers suggest that at first it should be voluntary.

However, it suggests that if not enough people are willing to get the vaccine within the first few weeks of it's availability, it should be transformed into an obligation, with penalties put into place for refusal.

The paper outlines "six trigger criteria" that need to be met before the vaccine is made mandatory, and that it should be rolled out to specific demographics of the population first.

"Only recommended groups should be considered for a vaccination mandate," initially, according to the paper, which cites "high risk groups" as the first set of people.

"[T]he elderly, health professionals working in high-risk situations or working with high-risk patients...persons with certain underlying medical conditions," as well as those in "high-density settings such as prisons and dormitories" should be mandated to get the jab, the paper says.

It also suggests that active-duty military service members should be among the first that are forced into the vaccination.

The paper proclaims that "noncompliance should incur a penalty" and notes that it should be a "relatively substantial" one.

It suggests that "employment suspension or stay-at-home orders," should be issued, but that fines should be discouraged because they can be legally challenged, and "may stoke distrust without improving uptake."

The paper also suggests that government health authorities should avoid making public their close relationship with vaccine manufacturers, to quell public mistrust.

Just coincidentally, the authors of the paper reside at [Yale](#) and [Stanford](#), institutions that have received substantial funding from the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation for vaccine development.

[The prospect of denying freedom of movement](#) to those who refuse to vaccinate has been floated recently in the UK, where government health officials have [also suggested that the jab should be made mandatory](#).

In the US, [calls have been made to make any vaccination mandatory](#) with the likes of the New York Times expressing concern that half of Americans would refuse to take it.

In Canada, [a poll recently revealed](#) that 60 per cent think that when a vaccine for coronavirus becomes available it should be made mandatory.

In addition, Canada's current Chief Public Health Officer [appeared in a recently resurfaced 2010 documentary](#) in which she advocated using mandatory "tracking bracelets" for people who refuse to take a vaccine after a virus outbreak.

*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above or below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

The original source of this article is [Summit News](#)
Copyright © [Steve Watson](#), [Summit News](#), 2020

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Steve Watson](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca