

Media Deceit Tries to Make Opponents of a Syria Attack Think They Are a Minority

By Jeremy R. Hammond

Global Research, September 06, 2013

Jeremy R. Hammond 28 August 2013

Region: Middle East & North Africa, USA

Theme: Media Disinformation, US NATO

<u>War Agenda</u>

In-depth Report: **SYRIA**

How *Time* magazine <u>headlined</u> the poll:

More Americans Have Supported Syrian Air Strikes Than Opposed

Forty-nine percent of Americans back strikes by cruise missiles and drones that don't risk U.S. lives, the Quinnipiac poll found, with 38 percent opposed

The <u>actual headline</u> from the poll:

American Voters Say 2-1 Stay Out Of Syria

How *Time* reports on the poll in its lead:

A plurality of Americans supported potential air strikes on Syrian government installations in a poll this summer by <u>Quinnipiac University</u>.

How the poll's first paragraph reads:

American voters say 61 – 27 percent that it is not in the national interest to be involved in Syria and oppose 59 – 27 percent providing arms and military supplies to anti-government groups, according to a Quinnipiac University national poll released today.

How Time then contradicts itself and hints at its own lie:

But majorities of Americans say it is not in the interest of the United States to involve itself in the Syrian conflict and are opposed to providing military aid to the Syrian opposition.

How can it be true that a majority of Americans say the U.S. shouldn't get involved and at the same time be true that a plurality of Americans support air strikes on Syria? Obviously,

both can't be true. And they're not. *Time's* headline and lead paragraph are not just misleading, they are a *lie*. *Time* doesn't bother to try to explain the self-contradiction to its readers.

See, what *Time* doesn't inform its readers is that the poll question it is referring to was not a question about whether the people polled supported or opposed military action against Syria. Rather, it was a question that assumed the U.S. would attack Syria and asked under that assumption whether those surveyed would prefer the U.S. to use weapons that risked American lives or weapons that don't. Here is the actual question:

Do you think the United States should or should not use weapons which don't risk American lives, such as drones and cruise missiles, to attack Syrian government targets?

There are only to possible answers to this question: (a) I think the U.S. should use weapons that risk American lives, or (b) I think the U.S. should not use weapons that risk American lives. The answers given absolutely in no way show that Americans *support* attacking Syria. For a plurality of those polled to have answered (b) does *not* imply that they (c) actually support attacking Syria. And, of course, the poll, as already noted, in fact showed that a majority are opposed to such action. That was actually the very next question.

The *Time* reporter, Zeke J Miller, could not possibly have not been aware of what the poll actually said. Incompetence simply can't explain this. He made a willful decision to attempt to deliberately deceive his readers, knowing that many would see the headline and not read past it, that many more would not pick up on his self-contradiction, and that fewer still would bother to go to the source to see what the poll actually said (yes, I'm one of those few who does that). So he thought he could get away with it.

Which he no doubt will. Miller probably has a bright career ahead of him. He knows how to play the game to get ahead. He knows that leaving the job of actual journalism and instead becoming a hack for the establishment will get him places. He understands that joining the priesthood for the state religion and playing the role of propagandist to manufacture consent for criminal U.S. foreign policies might open doors for him that being a real journalist and reporting the truth just would not.

The original source of this article is <u>Jeremy R. Hammond</u>
Copyright © <u>Jeremy R. Hammond</u>, <u>Jeremy R. Hammond</u>, 2013

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Jeremy R.

<u>Hammond</u>

not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca