

Martial Law: Police State America - We're So Close Now

Special Report: Katrina/Rita Fallout Part One

By Steve Watson

Region: <u>USA</u>

Global Research, September 28, 2005

Theme: Police State & Civil Rights
In-depth Report: Hurricane Relief

infowars.com 28 September 2005

For years we have warned that the police state is coming, how Martial Law will become the norm, how ancient laws, rights and freedoms are being overturned and replaced with repressive mechanisms of control.

For years we have presented the evidence, the Army War College documents, the domestic military takeover drills, the draconian legislation, officials left right and centre calling for more centralized military control domestically.

For years people refuted the evidence, or passed it off as being intended for something else, or simply refused to believe it. Now everything we warned you about is happening.

In the wake of the recent natural disasters on American soil, dangerous precedents have been set. We have been forced to watch how in times of crisis we must submit and follow the orders of Federal Commanders, no matter whether they deny us basic human rights or not.

Whether it be a state attack, a terrorist attack, an accident or a natural disaster matters not anymore, the outcome will be the same. We are just one event, ANY event away from Martial Law.

Getting rid of Posse Comitatus - Setting the precedent

"In a police state the police are national, powerful, authoritarian. Inevitably, national governments yield to the temptation to use the military to do the heavy lifting....once the military is used, however minor initially, the march toward martial law ... becomes irresistible." – Representative Ron Paul of Texas – June 25 1997.

The Posse Comitatus Act is a recognition that the framers of the Constitution had a deep distrust of centralized power, particularly centralized military power that might be used against the people. State militias were preferred over a national army. In times of major disaster or emergency, it has been state governors – not the president – who call out that state's contingent of the national guard.

We have witnessed over a long period of time how Posse Comitatus is systematically being erased. After 9/11 this was particularly accelerated. All the so called "experts" were calling for changes to long standing laws, in order to "protect" us. This led to scores of lower level Government officials pleading for exactly what the criminal elements higher up wanted all

along.

Take the following **AP report from November 2001**:

"Our way of life has forever changed," wrote Sen. John Warner, R-Va., in a letter last month to Defense Secretary Donald Rumsfeld. "Should this law now be changed to enable our active-duty military to more fully join other domestic assets in this war against terrorism?"

So let's get this right, "terrorists" attack us because they "hate our freedoms" and the answer is to get rid of our freedoms?



Here's **another mainstream report** from 2002 documenting a senators call to abolish Posse Comitatus and let troops arrest US civilians.

Here's **former Homeland Security dictator Tom Ridge** saying the same thing. **And here he is saying it again.**

But before the war on terror it was clear that there was preparation afoot for such eventualities. Nothing is under review, this has always been the agenda. They were erasing Posse Comitatus 14 Years Ago. In 911: The Road to Tyranny Alex Jones presents footage of troops training to put Americans into Concentration Camps. This footage includes interrogations and a retired Marine admitting that in 1988 he was kicking down doors in Norfolk, Virginia. The Marines would be ordered to the local Police station where they would don Police uniforms. They would then go to the local gun shop or dealers home and "Take Them Down."

In Alex Jones' feature <u>Police State 2000</u> he covers <u>Operation Urban Warrior</u> where actors posed as American citizens who were Unconstitutionally seized from their homes by the military and police. These Americans: were rounded up and confined behind barbedwire.



The actors were told to demand to be let free and state that they had rights. They were also told to demand food and water. The troops in turn were taught to ignore them and to order them to behave in an orderly fashion. "Civil disobedience will not be tolerated" was one of the many disturbing statements heard to emanate from the military's loud speakers.



Over the years there have been countless drills of this nature. The media simply reports them as training for dealing with foreign enemies, yet the volunteers and the participants are always told differently.

Here's a <u>report on another urban warfare drill</u> entitled "The Millennium Challenge 2002". This drill was conducted <u>secretly across 26 States in 2002</u>.

Earlier this year details of just one of many Military operations on American soil was exposed in the run up to Lord Bush's coronation. A secret counterterrorism program codenamed **Power Geyser**. A small group of super-secret commandos were hidden among

13000 police and troops and "stood ready with state-of-the-art weaponry to swing into action.".

There have been literally hundreds of these kind of operations in the planning and going on for years now. Many designed to use the military on the streets of American cities in direct violation of Posse Comitatus. The Pentagon has a full Command in operation working on these activities, the Joint Special Operations Command (JSOC).

Whilst Studying US COIN (Counter Insurgency) doctrine at University I was subjected to weekly showings of videos where military operations were carried out in purpose built mock American towns and cities. We were also informed of how future Counter Insurgency would be predominantly conducted from Military bunkers as they had developed the technology to map out entire cities to pinpoint accuracy from satellite images. The cities in the prototypes were of course all American cities.

I remember asking the lecturer what the point was in developing this technology for use in foreign insurgency wars in places such as the mountains of Afghanistan, and why were the drills and testing of the technology being carried out purely in American towns and cities. The answer was of course that in the event of a major terror attack on US soil these types of things would go into operation. The Pentagon does indeed have "War Plans" drafted in the event of any type of terror attack, which would be coordinated by Northcom in conjunction with the Department of Homeland Security and would involve Martial Law and thousands of troops on the streets.



According to respected <u>military affairs analyst William Arkin</u>, Northcom has defined three levels of operations, each of which triggers a larger set of authorized activities. The levels are "extraordinary", "emergency" and "temporary". During emergencies, the military can provide similar support, mostly in response to specific events such as the attacks on the World Trade Center. It is only in the case of extraordinary domestic operations that the unique capabilities of the Defense Department are deployed. These include not just such things as air patrols to shoot down hijacked planes or the defusing of bombs and other explosives, but also bringing in intelligence collectors, special operators and even full combat troops.

But as Arkin's article makes clear, Northcom is doing more than mere coordinating. "Under the banner of 'homeland security', the military and intelligence communities are implementing far-reaching changes that blur the lines between terrorism and other kinds of crises and will break down long-established barriers to military action and surveillance within the US."

He also said the Pentagon reviewed the Posse Comitatus Act and determined that it would not be a violation to deploy the National Guard to protect critical infrastructure in some circumstances. He said he expects more presidential directives in the future to expand the military's homeland defense role.

Then of course we have **General Tommy Franks stating** that a major terror attack on US soil would mean the Constitution will likely be discarded in favor of a military form of government.

Some say this is nothing new. In a speech to the Council on Foreign Relations in January,

former US senator Gary Hart said American founders "created such an army and called it the militia: citizen-soldiers under the immediate command of the various states that can be deployed in times of emergency. Since the late 19th century these militias have been known as the National Guard, and they were created and given constitutional status as the first responders and the first line of defense in the case of an attack on our homeland".

This is clearly an alteration of reality. The reason why the founding fathers employed militias and later created Posse Comitatus was that they knew a centralized national army could be used in a future environment to take over the country and take away the rights of everyone.

Police State infrastructure - Already in place (and in use)

We have further exposed how the infrastructure for a Martial Law Police State is already in place, and in many cases is in use. We have a **whole archive** on the revelations that FEMA has Concentration camps set up and ready to go in the event of any emergency that is deemed suitable for their use. As we have also seen recently, these camps need not have barbed wire and observation towers, they can simply be well guarded sports stadiums.

Detention centers are common features of any public protest event now, as we recently saw at the **Republican National Convention** and **G8 protests**.

We have also exposed the development of police State weapons that make Tasers look like water pistols. See this article on <u>The Pentagon's Secret Scream Sonic devices</u> that can inflict pain-or even permanent deafness. These type of devices that are routinely used in Iraq have <u>recently been in use in New Orleans</u> in the Katrina aftermath.

××

The use of Unmanned drone aircraft has also been <u>documented</u> recently in New Orleans. Again, such weapons are being used not only in foreign reconnaissance but also domestically for spying on "citizens" and controlling crowds. <u>Industry representatives</u> <u>are enthusiastic about the drones' potential.</u>

"I believe we will see Predator and Global Hawk unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) watching over major events, such as the Olympic Games and the Super Bowl, in the not-too-distant future," Brad Brown, then-president of the Association for Unmanned Vehicle Systems International wrote earlier this year.

Alex's most recent film <u>Martial Law: Rise of the Police State</u> also documents the use of huge corporate sponsored surveillance blimps. The Department of Homeland Security is developing 900 ft long so called <u>"Super Blimps"</u> for surveillance, intended to fly about 65,000 feet, well above commercial airliner traffic.



We have also documented the rise in use of the national guard to break up public events. On multiple occasions we have carried **stories**, **photos** and **video** of tanks and armed troops on the streets of America. We saw earlier this year how such deployments are used not only to break up protests, but even something as insignificant as a **rave in a field**.

Future technology under development includes <u>robot police that have the ability to</u> <u>run</u>. Its like we are living in the Twilight Zone or in a futuristic dystopian film. Yet when you

mention these kind of things to people they either don't believe you or simply laugh at you. Well here's a wake up call, IT'S THE YEAR 2005, not 1955, and these things are real.

Coming Next: Part Two, After Katrina...

The original source of this article is <u>infowars.com</u> Copyright © <u>Steve Watson</u>, <u>infowars.com</u>, 2005

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Steve Watson

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca