

## Lula Is Lying: The NATO-Russian Proxy War Isn't Being Fought "Over Small Things"

By <u>Andrew Korybko</u> Global Research, March 16, 2023 Region: <u>Europe</u>, <u>Latin America &</u> <u>Caribbean</u>, <u>Russia and FSU</u> Theme: <u>Intelligence</u> In-depth Report: <u>UKRAINE REPORT</u>

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name (desktop version)

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), click here.

Follow us on <u>Instagram</u> and <u>Twitter</u> and subscribe to our <u>Telegram Channel</u>. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

\*\*\*

If the PT's base was aware of the military-strategic dynamics that forced Russia to commence its special operation as a last resort for defending the integrity of its national security red lines in Ukraine after NATO clandestinely crossed them there, then they'd be against Lula's political support of Kiev. It would thus be self-evident to them that he's placing Brazil on a US-aligned grand strategic trajectory in the New Cold War, which could lead to them publicly pressuring him en masse to change his policy.

Brazilian President Lula proved that his <u>recalibrated worldview</u> in recent years is a lot more closely aligned with the US' than ever after downplaying the causes of the <u>NATO-Russian</u> <u>proxy war</u>. <u>According to him</u>, "In the 21st century, it shouldn't be possible that we have war over small things", which he uttered after declaring that he won't visit either Russia or Ukraine due to the <u>special operation</u>. This position serves as further proof that he endorses the US' narrative about the conflict.

Lula previously condemned Russia in a joint statement with Biden during his trip to DC in early February, after which Brazil <u>voted in support</u> of a fiercely anti-Russian UN Resolution demanding Moscow's full and immediate withdrawal without any preconditions from all the territory that Kiev claims as its own, which includes Crimea. Russian Permanent Representative to the UN Vasily Nebenzia reacted to the passing of that motion by describing it as pushing a "<u>militaristic Russophobic line</u>".

Removing any ambiguity about his government's stance, Lula then called Zelensky shortly afterwards to <u>reaffirm</u> that "Brazil defends Ukraine's territorial integrity". Despite these objectively existing and easily verifiable pieces of evidence documenting his political support of Russia's nemeses in Kiev, an <u>intense information warfare campaign</u> has been waged by <u>forces allied with the ruling party</u> to gaslight its base into falsely thinking that Lula isn't aligned with the US on this issue or others <u>like Nicaragua</u>.

Elite members of the Workers' Party (PT) fear that the rank and file might revolt upon becoming aware that their leader is moving Brazil closer to the US-led West's <u>GoldenBillion</u> than the <u>Sino-Russo Entente</u> or even the <u>Global South</u> of which it's apart amidst the <u>impending trifurcation of International Relations</u>. With a view towards preemptively averting the scenario of them publicly pressuring him en masse to change this grand strategic trajectory, they sought to manipulate their perceptions about Lula's policies.

This explains the intense information warfare campaign that's being waged against their minds at this pivotal point in the <u>global systemic transition</u>, which he himself is directly participating in upon downplaying the causes of the NATO-Russian proxy war in an attempt to justify his political support of Kiev. Lula wants his supporters to discount the evidence before their eyes and ears in favor of agreeing with the US' narrative that Russia supposedly "invaded" Ukraine for purely "imperialistic" purposes.

If the PT's base was aware of the military-strategic dynamics that forced Russia to commence its special operation as a last resort for defending the integrity of its national security red lines in Ukraine after NATO clandestinely crossed them there, then they'd be against his political support of Kiev. It would thus be self-evident to them that Lula is placing Brazil on a US-aligned grand strategic trajectory in the <u>New Cold War</u>, which could lead to them publicly pressuring him en masse to change his policy.

The following analyses explain the larger context within which the special operation is being waged:

- 15 March 2022: "Why Did U.S. Prioritize Containing Russia Over China?"
- 26 March 2022: "<u>Russia Is Waging an Existential Struggle in Defense of Its</u> <u>Independence & Sovereignty</u>"
- 24 December 2022: "Putin Explained Why He Had No Choice But To Protect The Russian Population In Ukraine"
- 22 February 2023: "Putin Reminded Everyone That Russia Is Using Force To End The War That The West Started"
- 22 February 2023: "Russia Would Be Torn To Pieces Exactly As Medvedev Predicted If It Ended Its Special Operation"

A summary of the abovementioned insight will now follow for the reader's convenience.

In brief, the US spent the preceding eight years between its successful <u>Color Revolution</u> in early 2014 and the start of the special operation in 2022 turning Ukraine into an anti-Russian bastion, the purpose of which was to degrade that targeted Great Power's strategic capabilities to defend itself from the US. This was to be done through a combination of <u>Hybrid War</u> means related to Kiev's support of information warfare- and terrorist-driven separatism as well as conventional ones connected to NATO.

The first half of this policy aimed to destabilize Russia from within through the cultivation of forces that could advance its "<u>Balkanization</u>" while the second intended to eventually employ biological weapons, clandestine NATO bases, and "missile defense" infrastructure to place it in a position of blackmail. The US envisaged forcing Russia into a never-ending series of unilateral concessions that would ultimately result in its geostrategic neutralization and thus facilitate the successful "containment" of China.

This plot to restore its declining unipolar hegemony was to begin with Kiev's NATOsupported reconquest of Donbass, which threatened to genocide that region's indigenous Russian population and ethically cleanse the survivors. That sequence of events was foiled by the special operation that was launched after President Putin realized that the West had no interest in discussing his country's security guarantee requests from December 2021 for politically resolving their security dilemma.

While the US prepared for the possibility of some kinetic response to its support of Kiev's imminent reconquest of Donbass, American policymakers <u>hadn't calculated</u> that President Putin would launch a preventive campaign across all of Ukraine to avert the impending scenario of Russia's strategic neutralization simultaneously with the preemptive one to stop the Donbass genocide. Had it been otherwise, then they'd have retooled the West's military-industrial complex well in advance.

This major miscalculation explains why the NATO chief admitted last month that his bloc is in a <u>"race of logistics"/"war of attrition"</u> with Russia, which wouldn't be the case if it truly expected a protracted conflict of this scale, nor would Kiev's forces be faring as badly as the Washington Post just revealed. President Putin regularly reminds everyone of the existential nature of this conflict, which places his decision to commence an <u>interconnected</u> <u>preemptive-preventive campaign</u> into context.

Returning to Lula's latest remarks that inspired this analysis, there's no doubt that he's well aware of these military-strategic dynamics that forced President Putin's hand, which thus means that he's deliberately downplaying them in order to manipulate his base. He can't claim ignorance after <u>over a year</u> of Russia explaining this at length, hence why it can now confidently be concluded that Lula politically aligned Brazil with the US in the most geostrategically significant conflict since World War II.

\*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

This article was originally published on <u>Andrew Korybko's Newsletter</u>.

Andrew Korybko is an American Moscow-based political analyst specializing in the relationship between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China's One Belt One Road global vision of New Silk Road connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

He is a regular contributor to Global Research.

Featured image is from the author

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © <u>Andrew Korybko</u>, Global Research, 2023

## Articles by: Andrew KorybkoAbout the author:Andrew Korybko is an American Moscow-based<br/>political analyst specializing in the relationship<br/>between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China's One<br/>Belt One Road global vision of New Silk Road<br/>connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare. He is a frequent<br/>contributor to Global Research.

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca