

Lula Just Became the First BRICS Leader to Publicly Condemn Russia's Special Operation

By [Andrew Korybko](#)

Global Research, January 25, 2023

Region: [Latin America & Caribbean](#), [Russia and FSU](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name.

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Newly re-elected three-time Brazilian leader Luiz Inácio Lula da Silva, who's popularly known as Lula, just shattered the foreign policy expectations of his [multipolar](#) base by becoming the first BRICS leader to publicly condemn Russia's [special operation](#). Unlike his predecessor Jair Bolsonaro who refused to do so and thus [received a lot of flak](#) in the US-led Western Mainstream Media (MSM) for his pragmatism, Lula crossed the line and even compared Russia's involvement in Ukraine to the US' in Venezuela.

In [remarks](#) that he made while in Buenos Aires on Monday, one of the literal founders of BRICS shockingly declared that "In the same way that I am against territorial occupation, as Russia did to Ukraine, I am against too much interference in the Venezuelan process." Quite clearly, he was implying that Russia's military efforts to [restore the integrity of its national security red lines](#) in Ukraine after NATO crossed them there are morally equivalent to the US' unprovoked aggression against Venezuela.

Lula is of course entitled to his opinion and has the legal right as the head of the Brazilian state to publicly express his views about what's [indisputably at this point become a proxy war](#) between the US-led West's [Golden Billion](#) and the jointly [BRICS](#)- & [SCO](#)-led Global South of which Russia is a part. That said, so too do observers also have the right to critique his unexpectedly sharp attack against Moscow's special operation, especially since it betrays the expectations of his multipolar base at home and abroad.

Brazil should seek to pragmatically balance between both de facto [New Cold War](#) blocs following the path [pioneered by fellow BRICS member India](#) over the past year instead of its leader inserting himself into the debate like Lula just did to make a hyper-partisan statement that'll obviously offend his Russian counterpart. There's little doubt that Lula is [domestically aligned](#) with the US' ruling Democrats' liberal-globalist ideology, but he still at least publicly claims to share Russia's [multipolarinternational one](#) too.

He could have expressed a much more balanced approach towards this conflict if he wanted to exactly as his counterparts in fellow BRICS members China, India, and South Africa have done over the past year. Instead, the same man who's famous for his masterful use of words chose to compare Russia's special operation in Ukraine to the US' [Hybrid War](#) on Venezuela, which makes one wonder whether this was a rare faux pas from that famous public speaker or a deliberately unfriendly statement.

Whatever his true intentions might have been, there's no denying that his remark sends mixed signals, especially since it came on the same day that he [declared Brazil's interest](#) in creating a common currency for BRICS. He'll soon be headed for the US early next month though to rub shoulders with his country's "frenemy" with whom it's locked in a relationship of complex economic and military interdependence and which was responsible for his jailing a few years back.

It's indeed possible that Brazil can pragmatically balance between the US-led West's Golden Billion and the jointly BRICS- and SCO-led Global South of which it's a part just like India has successfully done, but for that to happen, then Lula's rhetoric should replicate [Prime Minister Narendra Modi](#)'s wherein he declines to publicly condemn Russia's special operation, let alone compare it to naked US imperialism. Hopefully this was just a rare faux pas by Lula and not a signal of what's to come with his foreign policy.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

This article was originally published on [Andrew Korybko's Newsletter](#).

Andrew Korybko is an American Moscow-based political analyst specializing in the relationship between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China's One Belt One Road global vision of New Silk Road connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

He is a regular contributor to Global Research.

Featured image is from the author

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Andrew Korybko](#), Global Research, 2023

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Andrew Korybko](#)

About the author:

Andrew Korybko is an American Moscow-based political analyst specializing in the relationship between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China's One Belt One Road global vision of New Silk Road connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca