

List of 30 'Elites' That Support and Promote Worldwide Depopulation

By Michael Snyder and Alexander Light

Global Research, November 18, 2023

Soul:Ask 15 October 2018

Theme: Intelligence

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name.

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), click here.

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on <u>Instagram</u> and <u>Twitter</u> and subscribe to our <u>Telegram Channel</u>. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Of utmost relevance, this carefully research article was originally published in 2018.

There is a clear consensus among the global elite that overpopulation is the primary cause of the most important problems that the world is facing and that something desperately needs to be done about it. They truly believe that humans are a plague upon the earth and that we will literally destroy the planet if we are left to our own devices...

- ...The following are 30 population control quotes which show that the elite truly believe that humans are a plague upon the earth and that a great culling is necessary:
- 1. UK Television Presenter <u>Sir David Attenborough</u>: "We are a plague on the Earth. It's coming home to roost over the next 50 years or so. It's not just climate change; it's sheer space, places to grow food for this enormous horde. Either we limit our population growth or the natural world will do it for us, and the natural world is doing it for us right now"
- 2. <u>Paul Ehrlich</u>, a former science adviser to president George W. Bush and the author of "The Population Bomb": "To our minds, the fundamental cure, reducing the scale of the human enterprise (including the size of the population) to keep its aggregate consumption within the carrying capacity of Earth is obvious but too much neglected or denied"
- 3. Paul Ehrlich <u>again</u>, this time on the size of families: "Nobody, in my view, has the right to have 12 children or even three unless the second pregnancy is twins"
- 4. <u>Dave Foreman</u>, the co-founder of Earth First: "We humans have become a disease, the Humanpox."
- 5. <u>CNN Founder Ted Turner</u>: "A total world population of 250-300 million people, a 95% decline from present levels, would be ideal."

He was guoted saying: "We're too many people; that's why we have global warming."

Unfortunately for him and other fervent depopulationists, both the overpopulation myth and the man-made global warming hoax, have been repeatedly debunked.

- 6. <u>Japan's Deputy Prime Minister Taro Aso</u> about medical patients with serious illnesses: "You cannot sleep well when you think it's all paid by the government. This won't be solved unless you let them hurry up and die."
- 7. <u>David Rockefeller</u>: "The negative impact of population growth on all of our planetary ecosystems is becoming appallingly evident."
- 8. <u>Environmental activist Roger Martin</u>: "On a finite planet, the optimum population providing the best quality of life for all, is clearly much smaller than the maximum, permitting bare survival. The more we are, the less for each; fewer people mean better lives."
- 9. <u>HBO personality Bill Maher</u>: "I'm pro-choice, I'm for assisted suicide, I'm for regular suicide, I'm for whatever gets the freeway moving that's what I'm for. It's too crowded, the planet is too crowded and we need to promote death."
- 10. <u>MIT professor Penny Chisholm</u>: "The real trick is, in terms of trying to level off at someplace lower than that 9 billion, is to get the birthrates in the developing countries to drop as fast as we can. And that will determine the level at which humans will level off on earth."
- 11. <u>Julia Whitty</u>, a columnist for Mother Jones: "The only known solution to ecological overshoot is to decelerate our population growth faster than it's decelerating now and eventually reverse it at the same time we slow and eventually reverse the rate at which we consume the planet's resources.

Success in these twin endeavors will crack our most pressing global issues: climate change, food scarcity, water supplies, immigration, health care, biodiversity loss, even war. On one front, we've already made unprecedented strides, reducing global fertility from an average 4.92 children per woman in 1950 to 2.56 today — an accomplishment of trial and sometimes brutally coercive error, but also a result of one woman at a time making her individual choices. The speed of this childbearing revolution, swimming hard against biological programming, rates as perhaps our greatest collective feat to date."

- 12. <u>Colorado State University Professor Philip Cafaro</u> in a paper entitled "Climate Ethics and Population Policy": "Ending human population growth is almost certainly a necessary (but not sufficient) condition for preventing catastrophic global climate change. Indeed, significantly reducing current human numbers may be necessary in order to do so."
- 13. <u>Professor of Biology at the University of Texas at Austin Eric R. Pianka</u>: "I do not bear any ill will toward people. However, I am convinced that the world, including all humanity, WOULD clearly be much better off without so many of us."
- 14. <u>Detroit News Columnist Nolan Finley</u>: "Since the national attention is on birth control, here's my idea: If we want to fight poverty, reduce violent crime and bring down our embarrassing drop-out rate, we should swap contraceptives for fluoride in Michigan's

drinking water.

We've got a baby problem in Michigan. Too many babies are born to immature parents who don't have the skills to raise them, too many are delivered by poor women who can't afford them, and too many are fathered by sorry layabouts who spread their seed like dandelions and then wander away from the consequences."

- 15. <u>John Guillebaud</u>, professor of family planning at University College London: "The effect on the planet of having one child less is an order of magnitude greater than all these other things we might do, such as switching off lights. An extra child is the equivalent of a lot of flights across the planet."
- 16. <u>Democrat strategist Steven Rattner</u>: "WE need death panels. Well, maybe not death panels, exactly, but unless we start allocating health care resources more prudently rationing, by its proper name the exploding cost of Medicare will swamp the federal budget."
- 17. <u>Matthew Yglesias</u>, a business and economics correspondent for Slate, in an article entitled "The Case for Death Panels, in One Chart":
- "But not only is this health care spending on the elderly the key issue in the federal budget, our disproportionate allocation of health care dollars to old people surely accounts for the remarkable lack of apparent cost effectiveness of the American health care system. When the patient is already over 80, the simple fact of the matter is that no amount of treatment is going to work miracles in terms of life expectancy or quality of life."
- 18. <u>Planned Parenthood Founder Margaret Sanger</u>: "All of our problems are the result of overbreeding among the working class"
- 19. <u>U.S. Supreme Court Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg</u>: "Frankly I had thought that at the time Roe was decided, there was concern about population growth and particularly growth in populations that we don't want to have too many of."
- 20. <u>Planned Parenthood Founder Margaret Sanger</u>: "The most merciful thing that the large family does to one of its infant members is to kill it."
- 21. Salon columnist Mary Elizabeth Williams in an article entitled "So What If Abortion Ends Life?": "All life is not equal. That's a difficult thing for liberals like me to talk about, lest we wind up looking like death-panel-loving, kill-your-grandma-and-your-precious-baby storm troopers. Yet a fetus can be a human life without having the same rights as the woman in whose body it resides."
- 22. Alberto Giubilini of Monash University in Melbourne, Australia and Francesca Minerva of the University of Melbourne in <u>a paper published in the Journal of Medical Ethics</u>:

"[W]hen circumstances occur after birth such that they would have justified abortion, what we call after-birth abortion should be permissible. ... [W]e propose to call this practice 'after-birth abortion', rather than 'infanticide,' to emphasize that the moral status of the individual killed is comparable with that of a fetus ... rather than to that of a child.

"Therefore, we claim that killing a newborn could be ethically permissible in all the

circumstances where abortion would be. Such circumstances include cases where the newborn has the potential to have an (at least) acceptable life, but the well-being of the family is at risk."

- 23. <u>Nina Fedoroff</u>, a key adviser to Hillary Clinton: "We need to continue to decrease the growth rate of the global population; the planet can't support many more people."
- 24. Barack Obama's primary science adviser, <u>John P. Holdren</u>: "A program of sterilizing women after their second or third child, despite the relatively greater difficulty of the operation than vasectomy, might be easier to implement than trying to sterilize men.

The development of a long-term sterilizing capsule that could be implanted under the skin and removed when pregnancy is desired opens additional possibilities for coercive fertility control. The capsule could be implanted at puberty and might be removable, with official permission, for a limited number of births."

- 25. <u>David Brower</u>, the first Executive Director of the Sierra Club: "Childbearing [should be] a punishable crime against society, unless the parents hold a government license ... All potential parents [should be] required to use contraceptive chemicals, the government issuing antidotes to citizens chosen for childbearing."
- 26. Thomas Ferguson, former official in the U.S. State Department Office of Population Affairs: "There is a single theme behind all our work-we must reduce population levels. Either governments do it our way, through nice clean methods, or they will get the kinds of mess that we have in El Salvador, or in Iran or in Beirut. Population is a political problem. Once population is out of control, it requires authoritarian government, even fascism, to reduce it..."
- 27. <u>Mikhail Gorbachev</u>: "We must speak more clearly about sexuality, contraception, about abortion, about values that control population, because the ecological crisis, in short, is the population crisis. Cut the population by 90% and there aren't enough people left to do a great deal of ecological damage."
- 28. <u>Jacques Costeau</u>: "In order to stabilize world population, we must eliminate 350,000 people per day. It is a horrible thing to say, but it is just as bad not to say it."
- 29. Finnish environmentalist <u>Pentti Linkola</u>: "If there were a button I could press, I would sacrifice myself without hesitating if it meant millions of people would die"
- 30. <u>Prince Phillip</u>, husband of Queen Elizabeth II and co-founder of the World Wildlife Fund: "In the event that I am reincarnated, I would like to return as a deadly virus, in order to contribute something to solve overpopulation."

Bonus by <u>HumansAreFree.com</u>

31. Henry Kissinger, a top architect of the New World Order, is believed by many to be one of the biggest war criminals alive. He has worked hard to put his depopulation plans into action.

He was also quoted saying:

"Depopulation should be the highest priority of foreign policy towards the third world,

because the US economy will require large and increasing amounts of minerals from abroad, especially from less developed countries".

32. Bill Gates is possibly the biggest depopulationist alive. According to him, his father was the head of Planned Parenthood and has influenced his views on population control from an early age.

In a TEDx lecture, he explains that one way to lower the CO2 levels (which, by the way, are not a problem AT ALL, since the total CO2 level) by decreasing the human population:

"The world today has 6.8 billion people. That's heading up to about nine billion. Now if we do a really great job on new vaccines, health care & reproductive health services, we could lower that by perhaps 10 or 15 percent."

*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Featured image is from **SHTFplan.com**

The original source of this article is <u>Soul:Ask</u> Copyright © <u>Michael Snyder</u> and <u>Alexander Light</u>, <u>Soul:Ask</u>, 2023

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Michael Snyder and Alexander Light

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca