

# Gun Ownership in America: Laws in America Permit More Guns after Mass Shootings

By [Stephen Lendman](#)

Global Research, February 16, 2018

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Law and Justice](#), [Police State & Civil Rights](#)

Of relevance to this week's school shooting at Marjory Stoneman Douglas High School in Parkland, Florida, this article first published by Global Research on November 9, 2017 addresses the issue of Gun Ownership in America

VISIT MY NEW WEB SITE:

[stephenlendman.org](http://stephenlendman.org)

([Home - Stephen Lendman](#)).

Contact at [lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net](mailto:lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net).

*It's madness but true. America's Second Amendment is the Constitution's most misinterpreted and abused one, the nation's influential gun lobby bearing much of the blame.*

In District of Columbia v. Heller (2008), an amicus curiae submitted by 15 prominent academics and writers concluded as follows:

"Historians are often asked what the founders would think about various aspects of contemporary life. Such questions can be tricky to answer."

"But as historians of the revolutionary era, we are confident at least of this: that the authors of the Second Amendment would be flabbergasted to learn that in endorsing the republican principle of a well-regulated militia, they were also precluding restrictions on such potentially dangerous property as firearms, which governments had always regulated when there was 'real danger of public injury from individuals.' "

Law Professor David C. Williams earlier said Second Amendment interpretation reflects myths about America. The framers believed in unity, he explained.

Modern interpreters endorse distrust and disunity. The Second Amendment guarantees individuals the right to bear arms only as part of a united and consensual people, he stressed.

Yet gun ownership or possession in America is almost as easy as buying toothpaste. The framers had no such intention in mind. Constitutional revisionists claim otherwise.

In 1982, Chicago banned firearms not already registered with city police, forbidding the purchase of new ones.

In 2010, the ban ended after the Supreme Court struck it down in a 5-4 ruling. In 2012, the 7th Circuit Court of Appeals in Chicago struck down the state's ban on carrying concealed firearms as unconstitutional.

In 2013, Illinois lawmakers granted state residents the right to carry concealed weapons. New York, Los Angeles and San Francisco have some of the nation's strictest gun laws – maybe not much longer if future court rulings strike them down.

It's true enough to say people kill, not guns. Regulatory laxity makes it easier. In Chicago, anyone aged-21 or older can get a state-issued concealed carry license.

First, they must complete a 16-hour training course on a gun test range, along with demonstrating knowledge of gun safety.

They're subject to background checks and must pay a \$150 application fee. Anyone convicted of a crime can be denied a license.

Gun owners carrying them outside their residences must have a firearm owner's identification (FOID) card and concealed carry permit.

Obtaining permission to carry a concealed weapon in public is almost as easy as obtaining a driver's license – other than denying the right to convicted felons.

Illinois gun laws are stricter than most other states. Overall, they're loosening nationwide in response to mass shootings at Columbine High School, Virginia Tech, Orlando, Las Vegas, Sutherland Springs, TX and elsewhere – defying logic, going the other way.

In the last five years, over two dozen states loosened gun carry laws, permitting them in schools, houses of worship, college campuses and elsewhere.

Georgia allows carrying guns in airports up to federal TSA checkpoints. Tennessee permits them in vehicles without concealed carry permits.

Texas lets anyone over age-21 carry guns on university campuses, forbidding them in sports stadiums.

Florida loosened its “stand your ground” law, putting the burden of proof on prosecutors to determine if a gun owner acted unreasonably beyond a reasonable doubt.

Ohio permits weapons in airports and daycare centers.. A [Harvard Business School](#) study on “The Impact of Mass Shootings on Gun Policy” found loosened firearm laws followed mass shooting incidents.

On Tuesday, a Michigan Senate Committee on Government Operations sent legislation to the body's floor, letting gun owners carry concealed weapons in gun-free zones, provided they've successfully completed at least eight hours of advanced firearm training in the past five years, or are certified firearm instructors.

The measure will likely pass and enacted into law, perhaps in days.

Loosening gun restrictions in the wake of mass shootings fails to provide people with greater protection.

With more guns around, they're likely to be less safe.

VISIT MY NEW WEB SITE: [stephenlendman.org](http://stephenlendman.org) ([Home - Stephen Lendman](#)). Contact at [lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net](mailto:lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net).

*My newest book as editor and contributor is titled "Flashpoint in Ukraine: How the US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III."*

<http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html>

The original source of this article is Global Research  
Copyright © [Stephen Lendman](#), Global Research, 2018

---

**[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)**

**[Become a Member of Global Research](#)**

Articles by: [Stephen Lendman](#)

#### About the author:

Stephen Lendman lives in Chicago. He can be reached at [lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net](mailto:lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net). His new book as editor and contributor is titled "Flashpoint in Ukraine: US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III."  
<http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html> Visit his blog site at [sjlendman.blogspot.com](http://sjlendman.blogspot.com). Listen to cutting-edge discussions with distinguished guests on the Progressive Radio News Hour on the Progressive Radio Network. It airs three times weekly: live on Sundays at 1PM Central time plus two prerecorded archived programs.

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)