

Lake Huron Radioactive Waste Dump Proposal Should be Rejected

By [John LaForge](#)

Global Research, November 20, 2014

[Counter Punch](#)

Region: [Canada](#), [USA](#)

Theme: [Environment](#)

Maybe vampire fables are just metaphors for bad ideas that are hard to kill.

The proposed Deep Geological Repository for radioactive waste being considered by Canada's Joint Review Panel is such a bad idea that even pro-nuclear Republican US senators like Illinois' Mark Kirk are against it. Still, it's not dead yet.

The Southgate Michigan News-Herald reported last Sept. that

"some of the waste is highly radioactive and much of it will remain toxic for more than 100,000 years. The proposed site is less than a mile inland from the shore of Lake Huron and about 440 yards below the lake level. It is approximately 120 miles upstream from the main drinking water intakes for southeastern Michigan."

The language is from a Michigan state senate resolution against the dump which passed last year. Now the US Senate has taken up the fight in a similar bill introduced by Sen. Carl Levin, D-Mich., and co-sponsored by Sens. Debbie Stabenow, D-Mich., Kirk, and Tammy Baldwin, D-Wis.

Dozens of cities along the Great Lakes, Duluth included, have formally opposed the craziness of burying leaky tins of radiation in a watershed that serves H₂O to 40 million people. Dave Herndon, writing for the News-Herald reported this zinger. The Canadian government itself expressed identical complaints about radioactive waste in the 1980s. Back then, when the Energy Department surveyed sites for a waste dump in the US, Canada explicitly protested. Canada's resolution urges US officials to prevent such a dump from being built within the Great Lakes Basin.

Ontario's Joint Review Panel will make a recommendation to the federal government after studying the pros and cons. It has held two sets of formal hearings, and plenty of highly critical testimony is in the record. Not the least is that of former Ontario Power Generation scientist Frank Greening. OPG is the radioactive waste producer promoting the dump, and Greening worked for the company for 22 years.

Waster Generator's "Cavalier attitude" Toward Radiation

A PhD in chemistry, Greening testified this past September that OPG has adopted a "cavalier attitude" toward the long-lived hazards of radioactive wastes.

(I said likewise in 2013 when I testified that one particularly whimsical flyer from OPG said, "...even if the entire waste volume were to be dissolved into Lake Huron, the corresponding drinking water dose would be a factor of 100 below the regulatory criteria initially, and decreasing with time." This quip refers to 200,000 cubic meters of radioactive waste in over 50,000 containers.)

As evidence of his attack on OPG's proposal, Dr. Greening noted that the company estimated what radioactive materials, and in what proportions, would be in the waste — instead of taking samples. "Estimating is cheaper," Greening told the panel on Sept. 10. "OPG has chosen to skimp on the costs of properly characterizing these piles of radioactive waste, perhaps because the analysis of just one sample costs over a thousand dollars," Greening said.

After Greening pointed out OPG's gross mistakes, OPG said that errors resulted from its use of "available data" in 2010.

"This is simply not true," Greening charged. "OPG did not use available data, but used fabricated data instead."

Last April, Greening reported that materials to be placed in dump are hundreds of times more radioactive than the company reported to the panel.

Greening's report devastated the company's (OPG's) shoddy analysis. "You got these numbers wrong up front for when you put these materials in the ground," he said. "Perhaps your numbers can't be trusted on what happens if any of this is released back aboveground. Why would I trust your numbers now?" With one type of radioactive material, cesium-137, OPG's numbers "are 1,000 times lower" than the actual radioactivity level, Greening said.

Of course if the vampire has friends in high places, even well placed wooden stakes, holy water and crucifixes will miss their mark. Replying to Greening's crucial testimony, JRP Chair Stella Swanson warned that some of the material he presented was "new to the panel," and as such contrary to its rules. Information was supposed to be given to the JRP in advance of the formal hearing.

Swanson said the panel will rule later on whether all Greening's information would be accepted.

Louder and more robust objections to OPG's Lake Huron dump need to be organized if Canadian authorities are to do the right thing. Michigan's Senator Stabenow made a start when she told the press, "Canada's proposed nuclear waste dump ... puts our Great Lakes at risk of radioactive contamination that could have devastating consequences for future generations. I have expressed my strong objections to the Canadian government directly, and (this) resolution puts additional pressure on the Canadians to stop this plan."

John LaForge works for Nukewatch and lives on the Plowshares Land Trust near Luck, Wisc.

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [John LaForge](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca