

Killing the TPP: Trump's Executive Action

By [Dr. Binoy Kampmark](#)

Global Research, January 28, 2017

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Global Economy](#)

One of the conspicuous absences from the sound and fury last weekend's protests was the impending executive act of President Donald Trump affirming the US exit from the Trans-Pacific Partnership Agreement. Not that that was much in doubt: it had not been ratified nor voted upon in Congress, and that particular body had been cooling towards it.

It was a blow in favour of the populist platform, sharpened by debates on the Left and Right of the political spectrum. It was more than a generous nod to the collective campaigns that had effectively reshaped and kitted out the anti-globalisation effort with teeth. While Trump would have little trouble with rapacious business practices, he wishes them to be unilaterally smothering with an American ideal.

As Trump proclaimed in June, "Not only will the TPP undermine our economy, but it will undermine our independence." The twin elements here are important: the potential damage to the worker, and the constrictions of a collective deal on sovereignty.

But such commentators as Alana Semuels see in the TPP a blueprint in advancement on a range of fronts, one that would have "established stringent labour and environmental laws in other countries that could have driven up the cost of labour there, thus making American workers more appealing." [1] This wishful thinking has prevailed in quarters which have assumed a progressive goal behind the TPP, despite its prolonged secrecy and restriction to corporate interests. Free trade deals continue to attract faithful adherents, with faith taking the place of empirical thinking.

Then came the geopolitical barb in the agreement, which was always sharply directed against the trading prowess of China. Excluding China would effectively mean a rival, countering bloc in the Asia Pacific, enabling, as President Obama kept insisting upon, a monopoly on the part of the US over the drafting of trade rules.

As is in the nature of the Trump manner, not all of this is progressively rosy. (Trump did, in the same signing ceremony, also impose a federal hiring freeze and an order prohibiting funding to NGOs internationally who provided abortion advice.)

Trump may well have summarily shot the TPP into oblivion, but in so doing, he is offering his own vision of bullying negotiations, one where the United States has full swing and movement. "We're going to have trade, but we're going to have one-on-one. So if somebody misbehaves, we're going to send them a letter of termination, 30 days. And they will either straighten out, or we're gone. No one of these deals where you can't get out of them, it's a disaster. We're going to have plenty of trade. But TPP wasn't the right way."

This freedom of action is precisely the sort that sets such trading powers as Japan on edge. Long immunised against deep penetration from US products, any tariff war initiated by the

Trump administration is bound to be half baked in effect.

Aged veterans of the trade wars of the 1980s would be aware of the various techniques of coping with protectionism. The clever Japanese approach here was to build manufacturing bases in the US to evade protectionism. Not only did Japan come through the Gold Door – it entrenched itself in the market.

Unilateral, patriotic assertions in the field of trade and manufacture often tend towards brawling. Immediately prior to Trump's inauguration, Shinzo Abe's government ratified the TPP agreement, seeing it as panacea for a crawling domestic economy and a change to lure the US deeper into the Asia-Pacific. China's shadowing influence in the region remains a key concern.

The obituary of rampant free trade ideology is by no means written, though it has received crippling pneumonia of sorts courtesy of Trump's signing hand. It was the outcome favoured by Sanders and Clinton herself, and in that, there can be little complaint.

In the immediate aftermath of Trump's move, Sanders even went so far as to express approval. "For the last 30 years, we have had a series of trade deals – including the North American Free Trade Agreement, permanent normal trade relations with China and others – which have cost us millions of decent paying jobs and caused a 'race to the bottom' which has lowered wages for American workers." [2]

He proceeded to hand out a branch of cooperation, suggesting that he would be "delighted to work" with the President provided Trump was "serious about a new policy to help American workers". Again, the worker, and more precisely working families, were the populist themes.

Similarly, various rustbelt Democrats joined the approving chants. Sen. Robert P. Casey Jr. (D-Pa.), in expressing agreement for Trump's decision, also claimed that the TPP "would have cost jobs and hurt income growth, which is why I voted against fast tracking the deal of 2015." [3]

They were far from the only ones, with Clinton having vanished into the shadows and Obama sliding into the night. Democrats fear that their traditional constituencies will get away from them in the festive lynching neoliberalism has encouraged.

How the Trump vision squares with assisting the sainted US worker will be more than basic curiosity. The Chinese now have the chance to embrace a collective free trade deal as an alternative, filling the vacuum left by Trump's America First populism. From looking to Washington, the 11 trade powers may well start sauntering over to Beijing, if only tentatively. It is with some historical irony that a communist state should be the new standard bearer for free trade, but geopolitics is a funny business. As is America First.

Dr. Binoy Kampmark was a Commonwealth Scholar at Selwyn College, Cambridge. He lectures at RMIT University, Melbourne. Email: bkampmark@gmail.com

Notes

[1] <https://www.theatlantic.com/business/archive/2017/01/trump-tpp-dead/514154/>

[2] <https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/powerpost/wp/2017/01/23/sanders-praises-trump-for-nixi>

[ng-tpp-delighted-to-work-with-him-on-pro-worker-policies/?utm_term=.14ee448c1ca6](https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/powerpost/wp/2017/01/23/sanders-praises-trump-for-nixi-ng-tpp-delighted-to-work-with-him-on-pro-worker-policies/?utm_term=.14ee448c1ca6)

[3] https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/powerpost/wp/2017/01/23/sanders-praises-trump-for-nixi-ng-tpp-delighted-to-work-with-him-on-pro-worker-policies/?utm_term=.14ee448c1ca6

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Dr. Binoy Kampmark](#), Global Research, 2017

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Dr. Binoy
Kampmark](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.
For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca