

# Chinese Drones for Ukraine. Is Beijing “Militarily Neutral” in the NATO-Russian Proxy War?

By [Andrew Korybko](#)

Global Research, July 04, 2023

Region: [Asia](#), [Europe](#), [Russia and FSU](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#)

In-depth Report: [UKRAINE REPORT](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author’s name.

To receive Global Research’s Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

\*\*\*

*Kiev’s foreign patrons would have certainly been aware of their proxy clandestinely procuring drones from China via its volunteers’ purchases, yet they turned a blind eye out of military convenience while simultaneously pushing false claims about that country arming Russian forces and thus not being qualified to mediate.*

The West has falsely claimed since around the start of this year that the Chinese state is secretly supplying Russia with military equipment, which thus disqualifies it from [mediating a political resolution](#) to the [NATO-Russian proxy war in Ukraine](#) since it isn’t neutral. That allegation has now inadvertently been disproven by none other than Kiev, whose Deputy Defense Minister told local media that [volunteers procure Chinese drones](#) for their forces since they can’t do so directly due to US pressure.

This admission doesn’t mean that the Chinese state is arming Ukraine against Russia just like similar claims from the Mainstream Media over the past year about Russian volunteers procuring the same for their own country’s forces doesn’t mean that the Chinese state is arming Russia against Ukraine. What it shows is that private Chinese drone suppliers are selling their wares to similarly private buyers who in turn clandestinely pass them along to the most direct participants in this proxy war.

It’s not realistic to hold these companies responsible for whatever the ultimate end user does since they have no way of even know who they’ll be after processing any given transaction. As the world’s leaders in this industry, imposing sanctions against them would also be counterproductive from the West’s perspective since that de facto [New Cold War](#) bloc’s companies can’t fill the resultant void. For this reason, these drone channels remain in place, at least for the time being.

Kiev’s foreign patrons would have certainly been aware of their proxy’s clandestine drone procurement efforts from China, yet they turned a blind eye out of military convenience

while simultaneously pushing false claims about that country arming Russian forces and thus not being qualified to mediate. Likewise, the Chinese state itself obviously knew that it wasn't arming either of the direct participants in this proxy war, which is another reason why relations with the West plummeted earlier this year.

Objectively observers can now know beyond any reasonable doubt that Chinese officials were indeed [telling the truth](#) this entire time when they denied those claims from their Western counterparts, whose words have now turned out to have been [bald-faced lies](#) as advertently proven by Kiev's own admission. No Western official or media will likely be held to account for their role in this months-long anti-Chinese disinformation campaign, but hopefully average Westerners will still hear about it and learn the truth.

\*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

*This article was originally published on [Andrew Korybko's Newsletter](#).*

*Andrew Korybko is an American Moscow-based political analyst specializing in the relationship between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China's One Belt One Road global vision of New Silk Road connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research.*

*He is a regular contributor to Global Research.*

*Featured image is from the author*

The original source of this article is Global Research  
Copyright © [Andrew Korybko](#), Global Research, 2023

---

**[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)**

**[Become a Member of Global Research](#)**

Articles by: [Andrew Korybko](#)

#### About the author:

Andrew Korybko is an American Moscow-based political analyst specializing in the relationship between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China's One Belt One Road global vision of New Silk Road connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)