

## The Campaign against Ivermectin: WHO's Chief Scientist Served with Legal Notice for Disinformation and Suppression of Evidence

On 25 May 2021, the Indian Bar Association (IBA) served a 51-page legal notice on Dr Soumya Swaminathan, the Chief Scientist at the World Health Organisation (WHO), for "her act of spreading disinformation and misguiding the people of India, in order to fulfil her agenda."

By <u>Colin Todhunter</u> Global Research, June 17, 2021 Theme: <u>Law and Justice</u>, <u>Media</u> <u>Disinformation</u>, <u>Science and Medicine</u>

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the "Translate Website" drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

Visit and follow us on Instagram at @crg\_globalresearch.

\*\*\*

First published on June 3, 2021

On 25 May 2021, the Indian Bar Association (IBA) served a 51-page legal notice on Dr Soumya Swaminathan, the Chief Scientist at the World Health Organisation (WHO), for "her act of spreading disinformation and misguiding the people of India, in order to fulfil her agenda."

The Mumbai-based IBA is an association of lawyers who strive to bring transparency and accountability to the Indian justice system. It is actively involved in the dissemination of legal knowledge and provides guidance and support to advocates and ordinary people in their fight for justice.

The legal notice says Dr Swaminathan has been:

"Running a disinformation campaign against Ivermectin by deliberate suppression of effectiveness of drug Ivermectin as prophylaxis and for treatment of COVID-19, despite the existence of large amounts of clinical data compiled and presented by esteemed, highly qualified, experienced medical doctors and scientists,"

and

"Issuing statements in social media and mainstream media, thereby influencing the public against the use of Ivermectin and attacking the credibility of acclaimed bodies/institutes like ICMR and AIIMS, Delhi, which have included 'Ivermectin' in the 'National Guidelines for COVID-19 management'."

The IBA states that legal action is being taken against Dr Swaminathan in order to stop her

from causing further damage to the lives of citizens of India.

The notice is based on the research and clinical trials carried out by the '<u>Front Line</u> <u>COVID-19 Critical Care Alliance</u>' (FLCCC) and the <u>British Ivermectin Recommendation</u> <u>Development (BIRD) Panel</u>. These organisations have presented an enormous amount of data that strengthen the case for recommending Ivermectin for the prevention and treatment of COVID-19.

The IBA says that Dr Swaminathan has ignored these studies and reports and has deliberately suppressed the data regarding the effectiveness of Ivermectin, with an intent to dissuade the people of India from using it.

However, two key medical bodies, the Indian Council for Medical Research (ICMR) and the All India Institute of Medical Sciences (AIIMS) Delhi, have refused to accept her stand and have retained the recommendation for Ivermectin, under a 'May Do' category, for patients with mild symptoms and those in home isolation, as stated in 'The National Guidelines for COVID-19 management'.

It is interesting to note that the content of several web links to news articles and reports included in the notice served upon Dr Swaminathan, which was visible before issuing the notice, has either been removed or deleted.

It seems that the vaccine manufacturers and many governments are desperate to protect their pro-vaccine agenda and will attempt to censor information and news regarding the efficacy of lvermectin.

The legal notice can be read in full on the <u>website of the India Bar Association</u>.

Readers are also urged to look at the article '<u>COVID Deaths Plunge after Major World City</u> <u>Introduces Ivermectin</u>', which recently appeared on the World Net Daily News Center website.

The article '<u>COVID Vaccines: A Faltering Framework</u>' on the OffGuardian' website is also recommended. The author argues that for the Pfizer vaccine, 119 people must be injected for it to reduce a 'COVID case' in one person; the other 118 receive no benefit whatsoever but placed themselves at genuine (potential) risk from the vaccine itself. The Lancet suggests that 119 number might be even higher.

\*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, @crg\_globalresearch. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Colin Todhunter is a frequent contributor to Global Research and Asia-Pacific Research.

Featured image is from Wikimedia Commons

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © <u>Colin Todhunter</u>, Global Research, 2021

## **Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page**

## **Become a Member of Global Research**

Articles by: Colin Todhunter	About the author:
	Colin Todhunter is an extensively published independent writer and former social policy researcher. Originally from the UK, he has spent many years in India. His website is www.colintodhunter.com https://twitter.com/colin_todhunter

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>

<u>www.globalresearch.ca</u> contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca