

Israel Cannot Wage a War against Iran without a “Green Light” from the US

Preparing for a Confrontation with Iran: Beefing Up Israel's Missile Defense

By [Prof. Michel Chossudovsky](#)

Global Research, December 23, 2011

23 December 2011

Region: [Middle East & North Africa](#)

Theme: [US NATO War Agenda](#)

Turning point in the Structure of Israel's Air Defense System

In late December 2008, coinciding with the onslaught of Israel's “Operation Cast Lead” directed against Gaza, the Pentagon dispatched some 100 military personnel to Israel from US European Command (EUCOM) to assist Israel in setting up a new sophisticated X-band early warning radar system as part of a new and integrated air defense system. (See defense.gov/news/, December 30, 2011). The project had been approved by Secretary of Defense Robert Gates in September 2008.

With media attention focussing on Gaza, this crucial military initiative in the area of US-Israel Air Defense passed virtually unnoticed. The official story was that Tel Aviv had requested this aid “to help defend against a potential missile attack from Iran”.

What was at stake, however, was a new threshold, a fundamental turning point in the structure of Israel's Air Defense system and its relationship to the US global missile detection system.

Casually heralded as “military aid”, the project consisted in strengthening the integration of Israel's air defense system into that of the US, with the Pentagon rather than Israel calling the shots:

“Once fully operational, the system will be capable of tracking and identifying small objects at long distance and at very high altitude, including space, according to U.S. Missile Defense Agency officials. **It also will integrate Israel's missile defenses with the U.S. global missile detection network.**

This, he said, will greatly enhance Israel's defensive capabilities. **“There is a growing ballistic missile threat in the region, particularly from Iran,”** Morrell said. “And no one in the region should feel more nervous about that threat than the Israelis. And they clearly do, and they have asked for our assistance.” (DefenseTalk.com, January 6, 2009, emphasis added.)

The new X-band radar system ‘permits an intercept soon after launch over enemy instead of friendly territory’ (Sen. Joseph Azzolina, [Protecting Israel from Iran's missiles](#), Bayshore News, December 26, 2008).

The X-band radar would “integrate Israel’s missile defenses with the U.S. global missile detection network, which includes satellites, Aegis ships on the Mediterranean, Persian Gulf and Red Sea, and land-based Patriot radars and interceptors.” (Ibid) .



According to a July 2008 report by Stratfor, the use of the more advanced X-band radar system would enable Israel to be more effective in the use of its Arrow and Patriot missiles (in comparison to its Super Green Pine radar system).

“Meanwhile, integration with the U.S. early warning system would further give the Israelis access to the Defense Support Program’s space-based infrared launch detection satellites, and perhaps even to feeds from U.S. BMD-capable Aegis-equipped warships operating in the Persian Gulf. ... As a whole, these two developments would ultimately further harden Israeli airspace from ballistic missile attack, thus further undermining the threat of Iranian Shahab-3 medium-range ballistic missiles.” ([Stratfor](#), July 30, 2008)

“This is our system and not that of Israel”

The Pentagon asserted –in no uncertain terms– its central role in overseeing Israel’s air defense system. US officials were clear as to the nature and ownership of the X-band radar project. “This is and will remain a U.S. radar system,” Pentagon spokesman Geoff Morrell said. ‘So this is not something we are giving or selling to the Israelis and it is something that will likely require U.S. personnel on-site to operate.’” (Quoted in [Israel National News](#), [January 9, 2009](#), emphasis added).

In practice, since 2009, the US military controls Israel’s Air Defense system, which is integrated into the US global missile defense system. Under these circumstances, Israel cannot launch a war against Iran without the consent of Washington and the involvement of the Pentagon.

This Israeli-US integration in the area of air defense is part of an evolving process. It is part of a pattern of bilateral military cooperation which has unfolded since the launching of the joint US-Israel Arrow Weapon System (AWS) in 1986.

The AWS is a cooperative venture between Israel's Defense establishment and the U.S. Missile Defense Agency. It was developed as a joint venture initiative between Israel's Aerospace Industries (IAI) and Boeing. Ikt is, however, largely funded by the the United States.



The stated purpose of the AWS is "to provide Israel a contingency missile defense capability and provide the U.S. technical benefits.... The U.S. and Israel are cooperatively developing the Arrow Interceptor, which is designed to help Israel defend its territory [against Iran]." ([Missile Defense Agency](#), 2011)

2011 "Military Aid" to Israel's Missile Defense

There has been a significant hike in US military aid to Israel. In fact much of this so-called military aid constitutes a veiled increase in the US Defense budget.

Large shipments of US ordinance to Israel were ordered at the very outset of the Obama administration. A large part of these shipments, while officially tagged as "military aid to Israel", are part of a broader weapons arsenal under the (direct or indirect) control of the Pentagon. This reinforcement of Israel's missile defenses combined with the large shipments of US weapons should be viewed as part of ongoing war plans directed against Iran.

According to [a report by Israel's National News](#) (December 23, 2011), the US Senate and House of Representatives Appropriations Committees have approved a massive increase in US military aid to Israel. This aid is officially earmarked for the development of Israel's anti-missile system.

"The United States will double the special aid it gives Israel for the development and implementation of anti-missile systems, the Globes financial newspaper reported on Thursday.

According to the report, the House and Senate's Committees on Appropriations approved the aid following a request by the U.S. Administration to approve aid totaling \$106.1 million for the Arrow 3 anti-ballistic long-range air defense system, for the program to improve the basic capabilities of the Arrow systems, and for the David's Sling mid-range anti-missile system.

Both Appropriations Committees went far beyond the request, the report noted, and raised the amount of aid from \$129 million to \$235.7 million in 2012

Steve Rothman, the U.S. Representative for New Jersey's 9th congressional district and a member of the United States House Appropriations Subcommittee on Defense, said that the Israel's anti-missile programs advance U.S. interests in the Middle East." (Ibid)

This latest allocation is casually identified as military aid to Israel, when in fact its ultimate objective is to reinforce US-NATO-Israel's strike capabilities directed against Iran.

Barack meets Barak behind Closed Doors at a Jewish Community Center: "No Option can be taken off the Table."



Barack meets Barak, Barack Obama and Israel's Defense Minister Ehud Barak December 16, 2011 (White House photo)

On December 16, President Barack Obama is reported to have held a private meeting behind closed doors with Israel's Defense Minister Ehud Barak under the auspices of a Jewish community conference. The issue of an attack on Iran was discussed. "No Option can be taken off the Table."

The two were only expected to shake hands following Obama's speech, however in light of recent events in the Middle East - particularly the Iranian nuclear threat - Obama and Barak retired to a nearby conference room and spoke for nearly half an hour.

The meeting was held without any advisors and delayed Obama's speech. After their meeting, Obama spoke before the 71st General Assembly of the Union for Reform Judaism, reassuring that "the cooperation between our militaries has never been stronger," as he thanked Barak "for his leadership and his lifelong commitment to Israel's security and the quest for a just and lasting peace."

Obama also remarked that Israel and the US see eye to eye from an


intelligence point of view.

Barak refused to say whether Obama requested full cooperation on the Iranian issue in order to prevent an independent Israeli military strike. The defense minister only responded that both countries agree that a nuclear Iran is "unacceptable."

The defense minister assured that "we are all determined to stop Iran from going nuclear, including world leaders and the president of the United States. No option can be taken off the table."

Barak mentioned that at this point in time the world is focusing on taking diplomatic measures against Iran, especially by increasing the sanctions on Tehran. Meanwhile, the Obama administration has reached an understanding with Congress in regards to carrying out sanctions against Iran's Central Bank.

Referring to Iran's nuclear program, Obama repeated his pledge in his Friday speech that he would take "no options" off the table when it comes to forcing the Islamic Republic to stop its ambition to acquire weapons of mass destruction. ([YNet News](#), December 17m 2011)



The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Prof Michel Chossudovsky](#), Global Research, 2011

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Prof Michel Chossudovsky](#)

About the author:

Michel Chossudovsky is an award-winning author, Professor of Economics (emeritus) at the University of Ottawa, Founder and Director of the Centre for Research on Globalization (CRG), Montreal, Editor of Global Research. He has taught as visiting professor in Western Europe, Southeast Asia, the Pacific and Latin America. He has served as economic adviser to governments of developing countries and has acted as a consultant for several international organizations. He

is the author of 13 books. He is a contributor to the Encyclopaedia Britannica. His writings have been published in more than twenty languages. In 2014, he was awarded the Gold Medal for Merit of the Republic of Serbia for his writings on NATO's war of aggression against Yugoslavia. He can be reached at crgeditor@yahoo.com

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca