

Was Isaac Newton a 9/11 “Conspiracy Theorist”?

By [Robin Davis](#)

Global Research, September 10, 2019

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [History](#), [Intelligence](#), [Law and Justice](#), [Terrorism](#)

This article was originally published in September 2011.

Strange isn't it? To be labelled a 9/11 "conspiracy theorist" you don't even need to have a theory. It's enough to express any doubt about the official version of events.

Stranger still, those who consider themselves too wise to entertain such “nonsense” forget that they, too, are conspiracy theorists. They either believe the official conspiracy theory or they have no view. But having no view doesn't let them off the hook. The 9/11 events had to be caused by a conspiracy of some sort. So, just to acknowledge that 9/11 happened is to be a conspiracy theorist.

So, what's really going on here? Could it be that those dismissive of alternative views are so short on knowledge and the inclination to acquire it that they have nothing to contribute but ridicule? Could it be that they simply don't care? Could it be that alternative views are so scary that it's safer to stifle debate? Could it be simply easier to go with the flow than to risk the discomfort inflicted upon those who doubt the status quo?

My doubt and discomfort began as it happened, while I watched the towers come down on TV.

I'm not a physicist, but I can do simple maths. Simple maths tells me that a building can't fall at close to free fall speed unless all but the tiniest resistance posed by the structure below has first been removed.

I wonder if they called Isaac Newton a conspiracy theorist when that apple hit him on the noggin and he started babbling about something called gravity? Probably.

Ask yourself: Could the aircraft impacts and jet fuel fires really render the structures so feeble that they offered little more resistance than air? If common sense doesn't provide the answer, do a little research and you'll find that it couldn't. And if it couldn't, the whole official narrative falls apart as quickly as the buildings.

If, since 2001, you haven't watched a video of the three towers (yes, three) coming down, do so again. Just watch. Really watch. Use your stopwatch if you like. Do some simple maths (the acceleration of gravity is 9.81 metres per second/per second).

Consider the structures – marvels of architectural engineering. Picture the thousands of tonnes of steel beams and girders that held those buildings up for decades. Watch those thousands of tonnes of steel beams and girders offering next to no resistance as the buildings come down, defying the laws of physics if the official explanation is to be believed

- not once, but three times in one day.

There's more, much more, and the implications are horrific. Just how horrific will be all too obvious when future generations marvel at how easily and eagerly so many were deceived.

Some of us would rather not wait for the bright light of hindsight. Call us "conspiracy theorists" or "thruthers" or nut cases if you like, but know that all we want is the truth, because without truth there can be no justice. Anything less dishonours the people killed on that day and the millions killed, maimed, demonised, kidnapped, imprisoned, tortured, widowed, orphaned, traumatised, and made homeless in the wars raging still in their name and ours.

*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above or below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

This article was originally published on [Countercurrents](#).

Robin Davis is a freelance writer who lives in Victoria, Australia.

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Robin Davis](#), Global Research, 2019

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Robin Davis](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca