

Is Trump Making America Safe or Unsafe? A Scorecard

By <u>Stephen Lendman</u> Global Research, February 06, 2017 Region: <u>USA</u> Theme: <u>Terrorism</u>, <u>US NATO War Agenda</u>

The short answer is it's too soon to tell. His Office of the Press Secretary claims otherwise, saying "(i)n only two weeks, President Trump delivers on his promise to make America safe again."

It cites Iranian sanctions along with putting Tehran on notice "for provocative action in violation of its international obligations."

Fact: Its week ago ballistic missile test referred to was entirely legitimate, not provocative. Like all countries, it's within its rights to develop and test weapons for self-defense. Iran poses no threat to any nation. Its military preparedness is vital to protect against clear external threats.

Fact: Actions by America, NATO and Israel threaten humanity, including possible thermonuclear annihilation. How does that reality keep the nation safe or any others?

Fact: US and Israeli hostility toward Iran threatens regional and world peace if it's targeted by their belligerent action.

Trump's press secretary office (PSO below) cited various presidential actions, speeches, White House meetings, approved administration appointees, and conversations with foreign leaders "promot(ing) an America first foreign policy" as ways he's keeping the country safe.

Fact: All of the above largely constitute routine executive day-to-day business all heads of state engage in everywhere.

PSO: Trump signed an EO to enforce immigration laws, a separate one to suspend access to America from seven designated Muslim countries – on the pretext of protecting the nation "from terrorist attacks by foreign nationals..."

Fact: It's unclear why an EO was needed to enforce current immigration laws. Banning the right of nationals from some countries to travel to America, not others, has nothing to do with public safety, everything to do with keeping Muslims the nation's enemy of choice – the pretext for endless imperial wars.

PSO: An EO ordered the defense secretary to develop a plan to defeat ISIS.

Fact: America created and supports the terrorist group along with likeminded ones. It's been US policy at least since the 1980s in Afghanistan.

Is Trump ending it? Is he sincere about defeating ISIS? Will he target or maintain support for

likeminded groups, used as imperial foot soldiers?

Does he want to defeat international terrorism or is his EO and public comments hyperbole – intending to continue US imperial policies while pretending otherwise?

It's too soon to know, though early signs aren't encouraging – including irresponsibly challenging China and Iran, bashing Russia's Ukraine policy, one-sidedly supporting Israel, threatening Palestinians, continuing drone wars, staging provocative military exercises on Russia's border, and massacring Yemeni civilians.

PSO: Trump's EO "establish(ed) the organization of the National Security Council."

Fact: It's been around since 1947 during the Truman years. It advises the president on national security and foreign policies. It coordinates them with various government agencies. Trump's geopolitical agenda won't be known until it more fully unfolds.

PSO: He held a cybersecurity meeting to discuss how to deal with possible threats.

Fact: So did his predecessors, nothing unusual about this. It's standard practice in the modern age.

PSO: Trump spoke to 16 foreign leaders, including hosting UK Prime Minister Theresa May at the White House.

Fact: It's his job, not related to keeping America safe except when agreements on national security are reached.

Netanyahu is coming in mid-February. Other leaders will follow. Putin and Trump will likely meet later this year at a neutral venue.

All of the above ignores the most important way to keep America safe. The nation's only enemies are ones it invents.

End US imperial madness and none will exist. World peace and stability will break out all over – including domestically if Trump serves all America's equitably, not just its privileged few alone, standard practice throughout the nation's history, unlikely to change.

Stephen Lendman lives in Chicago. He can be reached at <u>lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net</u>.

His new book as editor and contributor is titled "Flashpoint in Ukraine: How the US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III."

http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html

Visit his blog site at <u>sjlendman.blogspot.com</u>.

Listen to cutting-edge discussions with distinguished guests on the Progressive Radio News Hour on the Progressive Radio Network.

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © <u>Stephen Lendman</u>, Global Research, 2017

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Stephen Lendman	About the author:
	Stephen Lendman lives in Chicago. He can be reached at lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net. His new book as editor and contributor is titled "Flashpoint in Ukraine: US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III." http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html Visit his blog site at sjlendman.blogspot.com. Listen to cutting- edge discussions with distinguished guests on the Progressive Radio News Hour on the Progressive Radio Network. It airs three times weekly: live on Sundays at 1PM Central time plus two prerecorded archived programs.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

<u>www.globalresearch.ca</u> contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca