

Is the U.S. Trying to Torpedo Syria Peace Talks?

By <u>Washington's Blog</u> Global Research, September 11, 2013 <u>Washington's Blog</u> Theme: <u>United Nations</u>, <u>US NATO War</u> <u>Agenda</u> In-depth Report: <u>SYRIA</u>

Demands Syrian Government Be Blamed for Something that Hasn't Been Proven

Yesterday was a roller coaster. First, the U.S., Syria and Russia agreed to avoid war if Syria placed control over its chemical weapons <u>under international control</u>.

Then, the State Department <u>backed away from a deal</u>, and we worried that the U.S. was still going to attack.

When Obama <u>said he'd accept</u> a negotiated diplomatic settlement, things looked good.

But now, the United Nations resolution being drafted by the Senate <u>would say</u> that the Syrian *government* was responsible for the August 21st chemical weapons attack.

Syria would never agree to such a UN resolution. And Russia has already said <u>it would veto</u> the resolution.

Please remember:

- The U.S. government itself admits that it <u>doesn't have clear evidence</u> that Assad was behind the chemical weapons attack
- High-level intelligence officials think it <u>might have been the *rebels*</u> who used such weapons
- Congress members who have seen the classified intelligence from the U.S. government are <u>not impressed</u>, and top chemical weapons experts are <u>skeptical</u>
- The manipulation of intelligence to justify war against Syria is arguably <u>greater</u> than with the Iraq war

But the U.S. is attempting to do an end-run around the lack of evidence by having the UN *assign* guilt to the Syrian government ... or to torpedo peace talks entirely.

Moreover, the U.S. is sending mixed signals – <u>even now</u> – about attacking Syria.

The original source of this article is <u>Washington's Blog</u> Copyright © <u>Washington's Blog</u>, <u>Washington's Blog</u>, 2013

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Washington's Blog

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

<u>www.globalresearch.ca</u> contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca