

Iraq War: How Secret Bush-Blair Memo Set the Stage for Dodgy Dossier

By Prof. Ibrahim Al-Marashi

Global Research, May 04, 2022

Middle East Eye 20 January 2022

Region: Europe, USA

Theme: Intelligence, Media Disinformation

In-depth Report: **IRAQ REPORT**

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the "Translate Website" drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), click here.

Visit and follow us on <u>Instagram</u>, <u>Twitter</u> and <u>Facebook</u>. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Portions of my work were plagiarised in the infamous 'intelligence dossier' that presaged the disastrous 2003 invasion - its roots can be traced back to a meeting in Texas a year earlier

*

Last week, Middle East Eye published <u>a secret memo</u> about an April 2002 meeting between former British Prime Minister Tony Blair and former US President George W Bush in Crawford, Texas.

What is revealing about the memo is how determined both leaders were to invade <u>lrag</u> at that point, abandoning all pretences at seeking a diplomatic solution. They also acknowledged how important it was to win over public opinion for an invasion.

It was a meeting in which Iraq's fate was sealed. It was also a meeting that would propel me to <u>infamy</u> in February 2003. From Texas, a series of events transpired that landed me in the British parliament in June 2003, where I testified against Blair and this very campaign.

Even nearly two decades after the <u>Iraq War</u> was launched, this memo is revelatory. The role of the media was crucial for the US government and American domestic opinion during the Vietnam War, the 1991 Gulf War, and the conflicts in Somalia and Bosnia in the 90s.

This memo acknowledges the importance of the media battle. Yet, by the 21st century, the arena had evolved. The political theatre, managed by both the US and UK, involved global public opinion, 24-hour news cycles, the internet, Al Jazeera, the UN, and me, an Oxford student whose doctoral research was <u>plagiarised</u> in the rush to go to war.

'The PR aspect'

David Manning, then Blair's chief foreign policy adviser, accompanied the British leader to

the US president's ranch in Crawford in 2002 and wrote up a memo of what transpired. While the two heads of state appeared to have made up their minds to wage war on Iraq close to a year before the 2003 invasion, the rationale was not explicit. The memo makes no mention of securing oil, establishing a democratic Iraq, or using the invasion as a means to end terrorism.

They did not even broach top-secret military strategy. A small group within US Central Command had been secretly exploring options for invading Iraq.

While the decision to go to war had been made before the military aspects were planned, the media battleground had to be handled. "Bush accepted we needed to manage the PR aspect of all this with great care," the memo stated, adding that both leaders agreed the public relations strategy must highlight "the risks of Saddam's WMD [weapons of mass destruction] programme and his appalling human rights record".

The Blair government ultimately released a <u>pair of "intelligence dossiers"</u> to this end, aiming to sway public opinion, both domestic and international, and the UK parliament's vote for war. The <u>first</u> was released in September 2002, focusing on Iraq's alleged WMD programme.

In that April 2002 meeting, Blair had expected Saddam to stymie the efforts of UN weapons inspectors who would be dispatched months later. Inspections were more spectacle than genuine disarmament: "If, as [Blair] expected, Saddam failed to [cooperate], the Europeans would find it very much harder to resist the logic that we must take action to deal with an evil regime that threatens us with its WMD programme," the memo noted.

The threatening nature of Iraq's WMD programme was exaggerated in the September 2002 dossier, which claimed that such munitions could be prepared and launched in <u>45 minutes</u> against British interests. This claim would generate <u>significant controversy</u> in July 2003, claiming the life of a government scientist, David Kelly, who was engulfed in a media storm and eventually found dead in an apparent suicide.

Architects of war

The second dossier focused on the myriad of secret police and spy agencies that violated the human rights of Iraqis and took part in WMD concealment. Blair had given that dossier to then-US Secretary of State Colin Powell in <u>January 2003</u>, and Powell referenced it during his February 2003 presentation to the UN on Iraq's alleged WMD programme.

The issuance of these dossiers and the British and American attempts to sway the UN can be traced back to the Crawford meeting. The memo states, referring to the PR campaign: "The PM said this approach would be important in managing European public opinion and in helping the President construct an international coalition."

The dossiers were not secret, but were meant to be UK "intelligence reports" released to media organisations and the public. The UN was key to the goal to "construct an international coalition".

Following Powell's presentation, <u>Jon Snow</u> of Channel 4 News <u>revealed</u> that whole sections of the 2003 dossier were copied off the internet by the British government from an online article I published, based on my Oxford PhD – including my grammatical mistakes. Today, the memo from 2002 has provided me with a glimpse of how these events unfolded.

When Channel 4 released the plagiarism story, it was assumed that UK intelligence services, such as MI6, had produced the dossier. In fact, it was <u>produced</u> under the aegis of Blair's then-communications director, <u>Alastair Campbell</u>.

The memo's emphasis on the need to win over the media and public opinion explains why Campbell's office was involved. This unit in the Blair administration had crafted and molded "intelligence", and during the rush of meeting the 24-hour news cycle, mistakes were made.

The memo released by MEE underscores that the case for war was not based on an unaltered intelligence analysis, which mistakenly assessed that Iraq had WMDs. From the onset of the Crawford meeting, the architects of the war had planned for a media campaign devoted to the appearance of WMDs in Iraq to legitimise an invasion. If intelligence or UN inspections would later support their claim, all the better. Regardless, the determination to go to war had been decided in Texas.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, Twitter and Facebook. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Ibrahim al-Marashi is associate professor of Middle East history at California State University San Marcos. His publications include Iraq's Armed Forces: An Analytical History (2008), The Modern History of Iraq (2017), and A Concise History of the Middle East (forthcoming).

The original source of this article is <u>Middle East Eye</u> Copyright © <u>Prof. Ibrahim Al-Marashi</u>, <u>Middle East Eye</u>, 2022

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Prof. Ibrahim Al-

Marashi

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca