

# Iran May be Next Target of Misguided Military Adventurism

By [Chris Gelken](#)

Global Research, February 18, 2010

[Global Times](#) 4 February 2010

Region: [Asia](#)

In-depth Report: [IRAN: THE NEXT WAR?](#)

In early 2008 a mobile air-defense unit set up camp in a wooded area just across the road from my Tehran apartment. Suddenly, we began to take the recent speculation of a possible air attack on Iran very seriously. My wife and I even considered putting sticky tape on the windows to prevent glass fragmentation in the event of an explosion.

The war of words with the US and its allies over Iran's nuclear program had been ratcheted up with then-President George W. Bush threatening to "finish the job" with Iran before he left office. Some evenings my wife and I would stare out of our living room window at the dark silhouette of the Alborz Mountains to the north, at any moment expecting to see bright arcs of antiaircraft fire probing the night sky for attacking bombers.

Former Chinese ambassador to Tehran Hua Liming isn't convinced by the threats of war. "In Chinese we have a saying: A barking dog never bites." On my show, the ambassador said there have been a lot of threats from the Israeli side, "But if you review history, when the Israelis really plan to do something, they usually do it quietly and quickly, they don't signal their intentions."

He cited the 1981 Israeli strike against the Iraqi nuclear reactor at Osirak. "There had been no threats, no advance warnings," he said.

Consequently, the ambassador is convinced the Israeli rhetoric is nothing more than a bluff. But to what end?

Picking up on the theme, Iranian-American foreign policy analyst and writer Soraya Ulrich says the bluff isn't aimed at the Iranians.

"Whenever Israel wants the US to do something," Soraya Ulrich argues, "they first threaten to do it themselves.

"They are very clever at this. By repeatedly threatening Iran they are forcing the US to impose crippling sanctions on Tehran. America is doing everything that is contrary to its own national interests."

The sanctions, Ulrich says, are costing the US thousands of jobs and billions of dollars, which is entirely aimed at preventing a preemptive strike by Israel against Iran's nuclear facilities.

US President Barack Obama is on record as saying that if by the end of his first year in office there has been no substantial progress in diplomatic initiatives to resolve the Iranian

nuclear issue he would have no choice but to reassess Washington's options. We are at that point, and according to Secretary of State Hillary Clinton, diplomacy has essentially achieved nothing.

There are only so many deadlines that Obama can impose – and subsequently extend – without losing credibility both domestically and overseas. There is no reason to doubt that time for a diplomatic solution is running out.

To date there has been no irrefutable evidence that Iran is engaged in activities to weaponize its domestic nuclear program, or even has any plans to do so. That fact notwithstanding, the oft-repeated “threat” of a nuclear-armed Iran is the cornerstone of Western arguments to support sanctions, and even the possibility of war.

Some speculate that ulterior motives lie behind the push to action. Hua said it was more about maintaining regional military superiority than any alleged threat from Tehran.

“Even the Israelis themselves do not believe that one day Iran will launch a nuclear attack against them. The fact is, Israel is currently the sole nuclear power in the Middle East and they do not want to see any changes to that. That is the core of the problem.”

After Iraq and the continuing struggle in Afghanistan, the Western public is tired of war. Despite the unwavering support for Israel among Washington's neo-conservative power elite, even they would be unable to sell the idea of another protracted and expensive conflict based only on Israel's inability to deal with Hamas militants in Gaza and the Lebanon-based Hezbollah. That simply won't happen.

So to support Israel's desire to send the strongest possible message to Tehran to stop supporting Palestinian resistance movements, it became necessary to create a monster, one scary enough to galvanize a war-weary American (and European) public. Iran's nuclear program was an absolute gift.

Is Iran next? Well, if you still believe in Iraqi WMDs, the 45-minute threat, and Saddam's links to Al Qaeda, then there is a very real possibility that it could be.

*Chris Gelken is the host and co-producer of 'Today' – two hours of news, current affairs and opinion broadcast live Monday to Friday by China Radio International. [forum@globaltimes.com.cn](mailto:forum@globaltimes.com.cn)*

The original source of this article is [Global Times](#)  
Copyright © [Chris Gelken](#), [Global Times](#), 2010

---

**[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)**

**[Become a Member of Global Research](#)**

Articles by: [Chris Gelken](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)