

# In the Wake of the Boston Bombings: America's War on Islam 2.0

By [Stephen Lendman](#)

Global Research, April 22, 2013

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Media Disinformation](#), [Religion](#),  
[Terrorism](#)

*Waging war at home or abroad requires enemies. America creates them when none exist.*

Post-9/11, Muslims were targeted for political advantage. Post-Boston bombings, America's war on Islam continues.

Muslims are "war on terror" scapegoats. Washington's Middle East, North Africa and Central Asia wars rage.

It's the wrong time to be Muslims in America. They're persecuted for their faith and ethnicity. At times it's for their activism, prominence, and/or charity.

They're dehumanized, spied on, set up as patsies, hunted down, rounded up, held in detention, kept in isolation, denied bail, restricted in their right to counsel, tried on secret evidence, convicted on bogus charges, given long sentences, and treated harshly as political prisoners.

The term "Islamofascism" was popularized. Some call it Islamic radicalism or jihadism. The New Oxford American Dictionary calls it "a controversial term equating some modern Islamic movements with the European fascist movements of the early twentieth century."

The Urban Dictionary says it "refers to the notion that Islam is not so much a religion as it is a political ideology that in many ways resembles 'fascism.' "

"An Islamofascist can either be an Islamic fundamentalist, or someone who uses violence or bullying tactics to impose Islamic principles on others...."

It's used to describe groups like Al Qaeda. In 2008, [Fairness & Accuracy in Reporting](#) (FAIR) headlined "The Dirty Dozen - Who's who among America's leading Islamophobes."

FAIR called David Horowitz its "premier promoter." His 2007 "Islamofascism Awareness Week" attracted leading Muslim haters.

Author Robert Spencer was called a prominent Islam-basher. He publishes the "notoriously Islamophobic website" "Jihad Watch."

Daniel Pipes founded the Middle East Forum think tank. He defends racially profiling Arab Americans. He calls their presence a "true danger" for Jews.

Michael Savage hosts "The Savage Nation." It's a nationally syndicated radio talk show. He

relentlessly uses hateful language. He once endorsed killing a hundred million Muslims. He did so on air.

Pat Robertson calls Islam violent and irrational. It's "not a religion," he says. It's a "worldwide political movement." It's "meant to subjugate all people under Islamic law." It's a "bloody, brutal type of religion."

Others on FAIR's list included Fox News' Sean Hannity and Bill O'Reilly, conservative political commentator Mark Steyn, self-styled Islamic terrorist expert Steven Emerson, conservative blogger/commentator Michelle Malkin, former Fox News host Glenn Beck, and political commentator Debbie Schlussel.

Connect the dots. The Boston bombings connect eerily to 9/11. Muslims were blamed both times. America's war on terror targets them. It continues at home and abroad.

Dzhokhar Tsarnaev's a naturalized US citizen. Senators Lindsey Graham (R. SC), John McCain (R. AZ) and Kelly Ayotte (R. NH), as well as Rep. Peter King (R. NY) want him held as an "enemy combatant." They want him denied fundamental rights.

King chairs the House Homeland Security Committee. He's also an Intelligence Committee member. He wants more surveillance. "It keeps us ahead of the terrorists who are constantly trying to kill us," he said.

Big Brother no longer is fiction. Total surveillance is possible. New York, Chicago, Los Angeles, Washington, Boston, and other cities use growing numbers of video cameras.

They monitor streets, commercial areas, airports, highways, public and private transportation, shopping malls, government and business buildings, as well as other places where people congregate, work, reside, recreate, or inhabit for any reason.

King and others want more. Drone surveillance may be expedited. Legislation authorized eye in the sky spying. Civil libertarians call all forms of surveillance an unregulated privacy threat.

In his April 20 [weekly address](#), Obama suggested perhaps what's coming. He called Monday's incident "an act of terror....But in the days since....Americans refuse to be terrorized."

"....Boston's spirit remains undaunted. America's spirit remains undimmed....(T)hat's what makes us strong. That's why we endure."

"In the days to come, we will remain vigilant as a nation." Expect the worst to follow.

Tamerlan and Dzhokhar Tsarnaev are called Islamic terrorists.

Round-the-clock coverage pronounced guilt by accusation. What's most important to know is suppressed. Managed news misinformation substitutes.

Both brothers were set up as patsies. One's dead. The other's seriously wounded. He remains hospitalized. He's at Boston's Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center. He's under heavy guard.

He'll be interrogated when able to respond. He won't be read his Miranda rights. On April 20, the [ACLU](#) headlined a "Statement on Miranda Rights of Boston Bombings Suspect," saying:

"The American Civil Liberties Union reacted to the apprehension of the suspect in the Boston Marathon bombing and statements from federal officials that he would be questioned without being read his Miranda rights."

"Every criminal defendant is entitled to be read Miranda rights. The public safety exception should be read narrowly. It applies only when there is a continued threat to public safety and is not an open-ended exception to the Miranda rule."

"Additionally, every criminal defendant has a right to be brought before a judge and to have access to counsel. We must not waver from our tried-and-true justice system, even in the most difficult of times. Denial of rights is un-American and will only make it harder to obtain fair convictions."

The [Center for Constitutional Rights](#) headlined "CCR Condemns Miranda Exception in Boston Marathon Suspect Case," saying:

"The Miranda warnings were put in place because police officers were beating and torturing 'confessions' out of people who hadn't even been formally accused of a crime."

"We cannot afford to repeat our mistakes. If officials require suspects to incriminate themselves, they are making fair trials and due process merely option and not a requirement. To venture down that road again will make law enforcement accountable to no one."

"Like Obama's expanded killing program and his perpetuation of indefinite detention without trial at Guantanamo, this is yet another erosion of the Constitution to lay directly at the President's feet."

"Obama's Justice Department unilaterally expanded the 'public safety exception' to Miranda in 2010 beyond anything the Supreme Court ever authorized."

"Each time the administration use this exception, it stretches wider and longer. However horrific the crime, continuing to erode constitutional rights invites continued abuse by law enforcement, and walks us down a dangerous path that becomes nearly impossible to reverse."

Media straightaway convicted both brothers. They did so in the court of public opinion. Misreporting continues. FAIR's [Peter Hart](#) calls it a "rush to misjudgment," saying:

"We've seen reporting and commentary that talked about the Muslim or jihadist character of the bombs themselves: pressure cookers, ball bearings or nails used."

"These are things that are not unique to al-Qaeda or al-Qaeda-inspired terror attacks."

"We saw references to the Times Square bombing, trying to put this in the context of Islamic terror or previous instances of what the media like to refer to as Islamic terror."

"We even saw references to the fact that two bombs were there. It must have been a

follow-up attack trying to hit the rescue workers when they show up – again, a Middle Eastern trait, we’re told.”

“This is actually what the United States government has done in places like Iraq and in drone strikes in Pakistan.”

“So we have seen this rush to characterize this bombing, without necessarily saying precisely that we know who the perpetrators are, but to put it in this context.”

“And I think that creates a climate of fear and suspicion, particularly directed toward certain communities.”

“We read headlines, you know, block letters across the front page of the USA Today, the day afterwards, “Terror Has Returned.”

“This idea that the United States has not seen a terrorist attack since 9/11. This is a unique event right now.”

On April 19, [Media Matters](#) headlined “Boston And The Right-Wing Media’s Collapse,” saying:

Glenn Beck’s web site (The Blaze) got it wrong saying a Saudi national student was “absolutely involved.” He called him a “dirt bag, possibly the ringleader.”

Fox News host Sean Hannity claimed he previously was “involved with a terrorist or terror activity.”

Media Matters said “too many players opted to just make stuff up. Prompting witch hunts, they cast innocents as would-be killers and then couldn’t be bothered with apologies.”

Murdoch’s New York Post “seemed committed to getting as many stories wrong about the Boston attack as possible.”

Before an arrest was made, CNN’s breaking news headlined “Sources: Arrest made in bombings case.” Reporter John King erroneously called him “dark-skinned.”

NAACP President Benjamin Todd Jealous responded, saying:

“Our concern is that CNN used an overly broad, unhelpful and potentially racially inflammatory categorization to describe the potential suspect. History teaches us that too often people of color are unfairly targeted in the aftermath of acts of terrorism.”

Post-Boston bombings, media misreporting was deplorable. It didn’t surprise. “Rush to misjudgment” is commonplace. [Washington Post](#) editors headlined “In pursuit of terrorists.”

Official reports were accepted as fact. The Tsarnaev brothers were blamed. A Chechen “extremist,” “Al Qaeda” or other “foreign group” connection was suggested.

“Much as some in Washington might wish it, the war against terrorism is not over....The Tsarnaevs....may have intended more mayhem: They were reportedly carrying more bombs when they were cornered Thursday.”

Note: Media misreporting said at least older brother Tamerlan wore an explosives vest.

Photos of his body showed him riddled with bullets and shrapnel head to toe. All limbs were intact. He wasn't blown to pieces.

[New York Post](#) editors headlined "The unfinished war," saying:

"...These have been scary days. (It was) chilling to see how successfully the suspects, Chechen Muslim immigrants, were – not just in allegedly killing people, but in instilling widespread fear, especially in the Northeast."

"The week served as a painful reminder that this nation remains in an ongoing fight against terror – and that the homeland is still vulnerable."

"The war on terror isn't over. Alas, far from it."

[Boston Globe](#) editors headlined "Boston after the bombings: a show of character," saying:

"(L)aw-enforcement officials and political leaders....put aside turf wars and expressions of egotism to focus on the killers...."

"...Boston's character needs no further validation. The city is strong, and getting stronger."

[Chicago Tribune](#) editors headlined "Accomplishing....nothing," saying:

"...Boston bombing suspects (may) have had no motivation more complex than joyful cruelty."

"This level of preparation, though, suggests that they had some motive more sophisticated than merely marauding through the lives of innocents."

"The Boston massacre no doubt will help authorities worldwide rethink security preparations for mass events."

Numerous print and broadcast reports highlighted a Chechen Muslim connection. The [New York Times](#) was typical headlining "Boston Attacks Turn Spotlight on Troubled Region of Chechnya," saying:

Possible motivations of both brothers aren't yet known, said The Times. "Yet, with at least one brother talking of Chechen nationalism on the Internet, their reported involvement in the marathon attack throws a spotlight on one of the darkest corners of nationalist and Islamic militancy...."

"Whether the Boston bombing was tied to it is still unclear, but a generation of young Chechen men have never known a peaceful homeland (and have had) difficulties finding a place abroad."

America's war on terror continues. Perhaps last week's Boston bombings advanced it. Muslims remain America's enemy of choice. Expect Obama and Congress to take full advantage. Expect the worst of times to follow.

*Stephen Lendman lives in Chicago. He can be reached at [lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net](mailto:lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net).*

*His new book is titled "Banker Occupation: Waging Financial War on Humanity."*

<http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanII.html>

Visit his blog site at [sjlendman.blogspot.com](http://sjlendman.blogspot.com).

*Listen to cutting-edge discussions with distinguished guests on the Progressive Radio News Hour on the Progressive Radio Network.*

*It airs Fridays at 10AM US Central time and Saturdays and Sundays at noon. All programs are archived for easy listening.*

<http://www.progressiveradionetwork.com/the-progressive-news-hour>

<http://www.dailycensored.com/americas-war-on-islam/>

The original source of this article is Global Research  
Copyright © [Stephen Lendman](#), Global Research, 2013

---

**[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)**

**[Become a Member of Global Research](#)**

Articles by: [Stephen Lendman](#)

### About the author:

Stephen Lendman lives in Chicago. He can be reached at [lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net](mailto:lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net). His new book as editor and contributor is titled "Flashpoint in Ukraine: US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III."

<http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html> Visit his blog site at [sjlendman.blogspot.com](http://sjlendman.blogspot.com). Listen to cutting-edge discussions with distinguished guests on the Progressive Radio News Hour on the Progressive Radio Network. It airs three times weekly: live on Sundays at 1PM Central time plus two prerecorded archived programs.

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)