

I Thought NATO "Liberated" Libya in 2011?

By Steven MacMillan

Global Research, August 06, 2016

New Eastern Outlook 4 August 2016

Region: Middle East & North Africa, USA

Theme: Media Disinformation, US NATO

<u>War Agenda</u>

In-depth Report: NATO'S WAR ON LIBYA

"Operation Unified Protector is one of the most successful in NATO's history... We have done this together for the people of Libya, so they can take their future firmly and safely into their own hands. Libyans have now liberated their country. And they have transformed the region. This is their victory" – Former NATO Secretary General, Anders Fogh Rasmussen, speaking in October, 2011.

"In Libya, the death of Muammar al-Qaddafi showed that our role in protecting the Libyan people, and helping them break free from a tyrant, was the right thing to do" – US President, Barack Obama, speakingin October, 2011.

"I am proud to stand here on the soil of a free Tripoli and on behalf of the American people I congratulate Libya. This is Libya's moment, this is Libya's victory, the future belongs to you" – Former US Secretary of State and Democratic Candidate for President, Hillary Clinton, speaking in October, 2011.

On August the 1st, US warplanes bombed Islamic State (IS/ISIS/ISIL) targets in the Libyan city of Sirte, almost exactly five years after Western imperialists declared NATO's 2011 war in Libya a complete success.

These strikes are not the first conducted by the US in Libya this year, in a broader campaign that is officially aimed at defeating an enemy that the US had a major hand in creating in the first place (I'm sure the military-industrial complex isn't complaining however).

Peter Cook, the Pentagon's Press Secretary, said in a <u>statement</u> released on the 1st of August in relation to the strikes that:

"Today, at the request of the Libyan Government of National Accord (GNA), the United States military conducted precision air strikes [which is Orwellian/Pentagon-speak for dropping bombs (not uncommonly on civilians)] against ISIL targets in Sirte, Libya, to support GNA-affiliated forces seeking to defeat ISIL in its primary stronghold in Libya... The U.S. stands with the international community in supporting the GNA as it strives to restore stability and security to Libya."

Wait a minute though, have I missed something? I thought Libya was "liberated" in 2011 and the country is now a beacon of 'freedom and democracy' for the Middle East and North Africa? Are the Libyan people not enjoying being "free from a tyrant;" similar to the liberty the Syrian people will experience if Assad the 'tyrant' is overthrown and the country is handed over to al-Qaeda?

Since the future belonged to the Libyan people in 2011, is the country not a vibrant and prosperous democracy today? Is Libya not one of the major hubs of the Mediterranean, with trade booming and flocks of tourists travelling from across the world to sample the delights of the country – from the fascinating culture of the indigenous people to the stunning (I must admit) Roman ruins?

I thought the standard of living for the average Libyan was much higher than it was before the tyrant was deposed? Is Libya not helping to build the African continent up to try and alleviate the millions of people who live in poverty?

I thought the "most successful" campaign in NATO's history meant that terrorism could not gain a foothold in the country, considering the Western alliance spends the majority of its time (after antagonising Russia that is) talking about fighting terrorism? I thought NATO's love-bombs only hit the baddies, and never killed or maimed any civilians?

Are the Libyan people not enjoying the fruits of another Western foreign policy success story? Are the Libyan people not enjoying the stability that always follows a Western war of aggression? I thought the Libyan "kinetic military action" was yet another triumphant imperial endeavour, just like Afghanistan, Iraq and the numerous other countries that were lucky to be the targets of Western 'humanitarian' forces?

Steven MacMillan is an independent writer, researcher, geopolitical analyst and editor of <u>The Analyst Report</u>, especially for the online magazine <u>"New Eastern Outlook"</u>.

The original source of this article is <u>New Eastern Outlook</u> Copyright © <u>Steven MacMillan</u>, <u>New Eastern Outlook</u>, 2016

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Steven MacMillan

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca