

Humans First: A Manifesto for the Age of Robotics

A review of Frank Pasquale's 'New Laws of Robotics'

By Peter Burt

Theme: <u>Science and Medicine</u>

Global Research, January 28, 2021

Drone Wars UK 26 January 2021

All Global Research articles including the **E-Book can be read in 27 languages by activating the "Translate Website"** drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

In 2018, the hashtag #ThankGodlGraduatedAlready began trending on China's Weibo social media platform. The tag reflected concerns among Chinese students that schools had begun to install the 'Class Care System', developed by the Chinese technology company Hanwang. Cameras monitor pupils' facial expressions with deep learning algorithms identifying each student, and then classifying their behaviour into various categories - "focused", "listening", "writing", "answering questions", "distracted", or "sleeping". Even in a country where mass surveillance is common, students reacted with outrage.

There are many technological, legal, and ethical barriers to overcome before machine learning can be widely deployed in such ways but <u>China</u>, in its push to overtake <u>the US as world's leader</u> in artificial intelligence (AI), is racing ahead to introduce such technology before addressing these concerns. And China is not the only culprit.

Frank Pasquale's book 'The New Laws of Robotics: Defending Human Expertise in the Age of Al' investigates the rapidly advancing use of Al and intelligent machines in an era of automation, and uses a wide range of examples – among which the 'Class Care System' is far from the most sinister – to highlight the threats that the rush to robotics poses for human societies. In a world dominated by corporations and governments with a disposition for centralising control, the adoption of Al is being driven by the dictates of neoliberal capitalism, with the twin aims of increasing profit for the private sector and cutting costs in the public sector.

Pasquale's book vividly demonstrates how the use of immature technology and crude algorithms for these purposes is shattering privacy rights, undermining workplace protections, ignoring diversity, and reinforcing discrimination, power imbalances, and wealth differences.



A modern panopticon: Hanwang's 'Class Care System' uses Al and facial recognition technology to constantly monitor Chinese school students. Image credit: Sixth Tone.

Pasquale argues that another future is possible, and that AI can be adopted for the benefit of humanity – promoting prosperity and providing meaningful work – by striking a balance between the ingenuity and creativity of humans and the precision and analytical capacity of machines. To do this, he proposes four new laws of robotics, extending the influential <u>laws</u> of robotics outlined by Isaac Asimov in his <u>'I Robot'</u> science fiction stories. Pasquale's four laws are:

- Robotic systems and AI should complement professionals, not replace them.
- Robotic systems and AI should not counterfeit humanity.
- Robotic systems and AI should not intensity zero-sum arms races.
- Robotic systems and AI must always indicate the identity of their creator(s), controller(s), and owner(s).

The book identifies how these laws can be applied in areas where the use of AI is rapidly expanding: health and social care, education, automated media, the legal and judicial system, and warfare, and applies them to real-life ethical dilemmas which the egregious application of AI has exposed. In places the writing is sometimes a little prosaic, but the book draws on a wealth of examples to show the risks which arise from poorly thought-out applications of AI and out-of-control robots – which Pasquale describes as "as dangerous as unregulated bioengineering of viruses". He is not afraid to call out the tech giants as serial offenders in this respect: Facebook for peddling fake news and conspiracy theories and failing to regulate user content to safeguard its users, and Google for failing to moderate untransparent search algorithms which have at times promoted racist and anti-Semitic content and flagged disturbing spoof videos of cartoon characters abusing each other as 'child friendly'.

'New Laws of Robotics' is not, however, a mere horror-show, cataloguing irresponsible technical decisions: it is firmly focused on providing solutions intended to harness the algorithm and design a future where humans and machines work together and the use of machines will enrich and enlighten humanity.

Frank Pasquale is a Professor of Law at Brooklyn Law School, specialising in the law of AI, algorithms, and machine learning, so it's perhaps not surprising that his solutions for delivering algorithmic accountability are based on regulation and legal measures. However, in the current neoliberal political climate, how likely are his ideas to gain momentum? In the United Kingdom, where the Johnson government is keen to exploit the economic benefits of AI but uninterested in regulation, the Centre for Data Ethics and Innovation has been set up to advise on the governance of AI and data-driven technology. To date, the Centre's work has had limited impact and Ministers have yet to take forward any of its recommendations. At the same time, senior military figures are rushing to embrace the prospect of 'robot soldiers' before the UK has fully considered the ethical and practical implications of this – unlike the US Department of Defence, which has published a set of ethical principles to guide its use of military AI. Many would argue that in less liberal countries such as Russia and China the likelihood of an ethical approach to the adoption of AI is even less likely than in the UK.

Among the measures that Pasquale suggests to keep algorithms under control is putting an end to decision-making on the adoption of Al and robotics by technologists and mangers – "tech evangelists", as Pasquale describes them – and instead democratising decisions in this field. He gives a number of suggestions on how to increase public engagement to do this. He also proclaims the need for a sea-change in what he calls 'the political economy of automation' – a move away from the mindset that automation should be pursued as an end in itself, and instead advocates an approach which aims for 'better Al' rather than 'more Al'.

What would perhaps also be welcome in Pasquale's manifesto for the future is a louder wake-up call to those who have not yet appreciated the changes and risks that society is facing as a result of advances in Al and robotics. Civil society, the press, and activists have a duty to help educate the public in this respect. Perhaps the first place to start is in the trade union movement, by working with unions to force managers to introduce automated systems which genuinely improve conditions in the workplace and services to the public, rather than just improve the bottom line on the balance sheet. This might empower us to deliver the much-needed controls on the technologies of the future which Pasquale has elegantly articulated.

*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above or below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

The original source of this article is <u>Drone Wars UK</u> Copyright © <u>Peter Burt</u>, <u>Drone Wars UK</u>, 2021

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Peter Burt

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca