

How the Gates Foundation Seeded America's COVID-19 Policy Catastrophes

By [Jordan Schachtel](#)

Global Research, February 19, 2021

[The Dossier](#) 16 February 2021

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Politics](#), [Science and Medicine](#)

New York Governor Andrew Cuomo is finally facing the heat for his botched and negligent coronavirus response policies, yet no one seems to be asking why Cuomo and select governors made the fateful decisions that led to the excess deaths — and the coverup campaigns — of tens of thousands of senior citizens in New York and elsewhere across the United States.

After being awarded an Emmy and writing a book on his supposedly heroic response to the pandemic, Cuomo is finally receiving the very necessary inquiries into his handling of the crisis. Cuomo is perhaps the most egregious example of abuse and neglect (given his refusal to use the Javits Center or a Navy hospital ship), he is far from the only governor who executed the “[nursing home death warrants](#).” Governor Cuomo was accompanied by the governors of California, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Michigan, and elsewhere.

The common thread seen in the United States is the delegation of state policy to prediction modeling forecasts from the Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME), a Washington State-based institution that is wholly controlled and funded (to the tune of [hundreds of millions](#) of dollars) by The Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation.

In March and early April, politicians were informed by the modeling “experts” at Gates-funded IHME that their hospitals were about to be completely overrun by coronavirus patients. Modelers from IHME claimed this massive surge would cause hospitals to run out of lifesaving equipment in a matter of [days](#), not weeks or months. Time was of the essence, and now was the time for rapid decision making, the modelers claimed.

The Nursing Home Death Warrants [@JordanSchachtel](#) [@Medium](#)
<https://t.co/EZWe9YwQE3>

— Janice Dean (@JaniceDean) [July 20, 2020](#)

On two separate April 1 and April 2 press conferences, Cuomo made clear that his policy decisions were based off of the IHME model.

“There is a group that is funded by the Gates Foundation. Thank you very much Bill Gates,” Cuomo said on April 1 in discussing ICU needs and how he was using Gates models to make other healthcare policy decisions.

Live on [@MSNBC](#): NY Gov. Cuomo provides latest coronavirus updates.

pic.twitter.com/onCtv2aikw

— MSNBC (@MSNBC) [April 1, 2020](#)

“There’s only one model that we look at that has the number of projected deaths which is the IHME model which is funded by the Gates Foundation,” Cuomo [said](#) on April 2, adding, “and we thank the Gates Foundation for the national service that they’ve done.”

In an April 9 briefing, Michigan Governor Gretchen Whitmer [referred](#) to the IHME model in order to project deaths and the PPE resources needed for the supposed surge.

It was the same story with the government of Pennsylvania. The PA Health Department [exclusively](#) uses IHME models to forecast coronavirus outcomes.

Governor Phil Murphy, another nursing home death warrant participant, [used](#) IHME models to navigate the state’s policy response.

It wasn’t just state governors relying on this data, federal bureaucrats Dr. Anthony Fauci and Dr. Deborah Birx, [both](#) of whom have [substantial](#) ties to the Gates network, used the IHME COVID-19 forecasting models (which Birx [endorsed specifically](#) as the best prediction modeling outfit) to make policy recommendations to states. In her White House briefings, Birx, who simultaneously had a seat on the [board](#) of a Gates-funded institution, almost exclusively relied on IHME models to project outcomes.

Some people defending Dr. Birx haven’t followed this debacle from the beginning. Birx, tied to IHME propaganda operation, should’ve been fired after presenting Murray and Ferguson models to Trump in late March. She and Fauci told him to shut down economy: <https://t.co/hwpBklaHsr>

— Julie Kelly 🇺🇸 (@julie_kelly2) [December 24, 2020](#)

These models, and the policy decisions that were made by relying on them, set off a chain of events that led to indefinite lockdowns, complete business closures, statewide curfews, and most infamously, the nursing home death warrants.

States across the nation went to extremes, resorting to full bunker mode while waiting for bodies to start dropping in the streets, but the IHME modeling never panned out. Hospital capacity was never threatened. Most states that had created “surge capacity” pop-up health care centers never even used these facilities. IHME, for its part, regularly “adjusts” its models, and has never acknowledged their routine failures to forecast outcomes.

Bill Gates has never discussed the catastrophic failures of his prized “health metrics” forecasting organization, and how it has contributed to the suffering of millions of Americans. Instead, he has seamlessly washed his hands of COVID mania, and has moved on to demanding that the western world sacrifice itself in the name of the latest “crisis” that is climate change.

NEW – Bill Gates on the global green reset: “It’s an all-out effort, like a world

war, but it's us against greenhouse gases." pic.twitter.com/1ZhWOB5Xg

— Disclose.tv 🇺🇸 (@disclosetv) [February 15, 2021](#)

Bill Gates: Rich nations should shift entirely to synthetic beef
In his new book, "How to Avoid a [#Climate](#) Disaster," Microsoft cofounder lays out tech breakthroughs and sweeping policies we'll need to take on global warming. [#vegan](#) [#animals](#) [#sentientism](#) <https://t.co/cMU1OwSbs0>

— 🇺🇸🇺🇸 Secretary Pete's Mask Patrol 🇺🇸🇺🇸 (@AI4Pete) [February 15, 2021](#)

In December, however, Melinda Gates [acknowledged](#) that "we hadn't really thought through the economic impacts " of demanding that people stay locked in their houses indefinitely, among other policy requests demanded by Gates Inc.

The IHME models that demanded lockdowns and other insane restrictions relied entirely on sketchy COVID-19 data coming from the city of Wuhan, China. The early statistics concerning deaths, hospitalizations, and overall age stratification have not come close to matching the actual data on the virus. For example, IHME used a 3+% death rate when the real number *from* COVID-19 is only around 0.1%. IHME's risk projections, which they presented as sound science, were all incredibly overinflated.

The buck does indeed stop with the elected leaders who made the fateful decisions to send sick COVID patients into nursing homes, lock down their states, and mask up their citizens in perpetuity, but that's only half of the story. The bad data they used almost exclusively came from the Gates network, which has trafficked in pseudoscience and has demonstrated complete incompetence and reckless forecasting since the beginning of last year.

The original source of this article is [The Dossier](#)
Copyright © [Jordan Schachtel](#), [The Dossier](#), 2021

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Jordan Schachtel](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted

material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca