

How the CDC Is Manipulating Data to Prop-up "Vaccine Effectiveness"

New policies will artificially deflate "breakthrough infections" in the vaccinated, while the old rules continue to inflate case numbers in the unvaccinated.

By <u>Kit Knightly</u> Global Research, May 24, 2021 <u>OffGuardian</u> 18 May 2021 Region: <u>USA</u> Theme: <u>Media Disinformation</u>, <u>Science and</u> <u>Medicine</u>

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the "Translate Website" drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

Visit and follow us on Instagram at @crg_globalresearch.

The US Center for Disease Control (CDC) is altering its practices of data logging and testing for "Covid19" in order to make it seem the experimental gene-therapy "vaccines" are effective at preventing the alleged disease.

They made no secret of this, announcing the policy changes on their website in late April/early May, (though naturally without admitting the fairly obvious motivation behind the change).

The trick is in their reporting of what they call "breakthrough infections" – that is people who are fully "vaccinated" against Sars-Cov-2 infection, but get infected anyway.

Essentially, Covid19 has long been shown - to those willing to pay attention - to be an entirely created pandemic narrative built on two key factors:

- 1. False-postive tests. The <u>unreliable PCR test</u> can be manipulated into reporting a <u>high number of false-positives</u> by altering the cycle threshold (CT value)
- 2. Inflated Case-count. The incredibly <u>broad definition</u> of "Covid case", used all over the world, lists anyone who receives a positive test as a "Covid19 case", even *if they never experienced any symptoms*.

Without these two policies, *there would never have been an appreciable pandemic at all*, and now the CDC has enacted two policy changes which means they no longer apply to vaccinated people.

Firstly, they are lowering their CT value when testing samples from suspected "breakthrough infections".

From the CDC's instructions for state health authorities on handling "possible breakthrough infections" (uploaded to their website in late April):

For cases with a known RT-PCR cycle threshold (Ct) value, submit only specimens with Ct value ≤ 28 to CDC for sequencing. (Sequencing is not feasible with higher Ct values.)

Throughout the pandemic, CT values in excess of 35 have been the norm, with labs around the world going into the 40s.

Essentially labs were running as many cycles as necessary to achieve a positive result, despite experts warning that this was pointless (even <u>Fauci himself</u> said anything over 35 cycles is meaningless).

But NOW, and only for fully vaccinated people, the CDC will only accept samples achieved from 28 cycles or fewer. That can only be a deliberate decision in order to decrease the number of "breakthrough infections" being officially recorded.

Secondly, asymptomatic or mild infections will no longer be recorded as "covid cases".

That's right. Even if a sample collected at the low CT value of 28 can be sequenced into the virus alleged to cause Covid19, the CDC will no longer be keeping records of breakthrough infections *that don't result in hospitalisation or death*.

From their <u>website</u>:

As of May 1, 2021, CDC transitioned from monitoring all reported vaccine breakthrough cases to focus on identifying and investigating only hospitalized or fatal cases due to any cause. This shift will help maximize the quality of the data collected on cases of greatest clinical and public health importance. Previous case counts, which were last updated on April 26, 2021, are available for reference only and will not be updated moving forward.

Just like that, being asymptomatic – or having only minor symptoms – will no longer count as a "Covid case" but only if you've been vaccinated.

The CDC has put new policies in place which effectively created a tiered system of diagnosis. Meaning, from now on, unvaccinated people will find it much easier to be diagnosed with Covid19 than vaccinated people.

Consider...

Person A has *not* been vaccinated. They test positive for Covid using a PCR test at 40 cycles and, despite having no symptoms, they are officially a "covid case".

Person B *has* been vaccinated. They test positive at 28 cycles, and spend six weeks bedridden with a high fever. Because they never went into a hospital and didn't die they are NOT a Covid case.

Person C, who was also vaccinated, did die. After weeks in hospital with a high fever and respiratory problems. Only their positive PCR test was 29 cycles, so they're not officially a Covid case either.

The CDC is demonstrating the beauty of having a "disease" that can appear or disappear depending on how you measure it.

To be clear: If these new policies had been the *global approach* to "Covid" since December 2019, there would never have been a pandemic at all.

If you apply them only to the vaccinated, but keep the old rules for the unvaccinated, the only possible result can be that the official records show "Covid" is much more prevalent among the latter than the former.

This is a policy designed to continuously *inflate* one number, and systematically *minimise* the other.

What is that if not an obvious and deliberate act of deception?

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, @crg_globalresearch. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Featured image is from OffGuardian

The original source of this article is <u>OffGuardian</u> Copyright © <u>Kit Knightly</u>, <u>OffGuardian</u>, 2021

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Kit Knightly

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca