

How America's Media Is Covering the Trump Campaign. Portraying Donald Trump as a Russian Agent

By [Eric Zuesse](#)

Global Research, July 29, 2016

[Strategic Culture Foundation](#) 27 July 2016

Region: [Russia and FSU](#), [USA](#)

Theme: [Media Disinformation](#)

America's press covers the Trump campaign with barely concealed hostility toward it, and with an obsessive emphasis upon the candidate's positions regarding Russia; they're attacking Trump as being (wittingly or unwittingly) an agent of Russia – and portraying Russia as being America's enemy.

It's not only Republican news media that are apparently agreeing with the Republican Mitt Romney's [famous statement](#) made on 26 March 2012, about «Russia, this is, without question, our number one geopolitical foe». (Romney, who was the star at the last Republican National Convention, hates Trump so much as to have refused even to attend this Republican National Convention.)



Thus, on Friday, 22 July 2016, right after the Thursday-night end of the Republican National Convention and Donald Trump's acceptance speech there, the top of the homepage of *Huffington Post* was [this](#):

AMERICAN NIGHTMARE

DARK... H.W. Speechwriter: 'Very Dark And Frightening'... Garry Kasparov: Sounded Straight Out Of Russia... David Duke: 'Couldn't Have Said It Better!'... Speech Riddled With Inaccuracies... Andrew Sullivan: 'Massive Lies And Distortions, Crammed With Incoherence'... Republicans Have Made A World-Historical Mistake...

That «H.W. Speechwriter» is just an incompetent way of referring to a speechwriter for GW Bush – whose entire family hates Trump – and *Huffington Post*'s 'journalist' there hid that key fact, when reporting on that speechwriter's comment about the speech: that the comment came from a friend of that inimical family, the Bushes, who hate Trump.

In other words: this 'news' report, simply and uncritically, stenographically, transmitted that particular Bush-family propaganda, against Trump – and this was supposed to be 'news' 'reporting': people such as this 'reporter' get hired in America to write 'news' that gets positioned at the top of one of the nation's major 'news' sites. The second headline-link there was from «Gary Kasparov», but they also didn't indicate that this person happened to be a famous Russian opponent of Russia's current President, Vladimir Putin. The last two of the eight headlines linked-to in that homepage-topper, came from two writers who had been prominent editors at the Democratic Party's neoconservative magazine, *The New Republic*,

which had been one of the leading PR organs for every American invasion, especially for George W. Bush's 2003 invasion of Iraq, which Hillary Clinton also supported.

None of this background-information was supplied to its readers by *Huffington Post*, whose readers presumably are unaware that they're reading (especially atop the front page) political propaganda, instead of political news.

Paul Krugman, in *The New York Times*, at the same time as that *Huff Post* propaganda, [bannered](#) Donald Trump: The Siberian Candidate, and he linked there to (as his article's sources) the rabidly anti-Putin articles that will here be discussed below. All of these articles were written by neoconservatives whose careers have been assisted by some of America's biggest weapons-makers (the profits of which are now booming with 'the new cold war' that those writers had helped to create by their hate-Russia propaganda). Krugman – an economist famous for arguing that producing weapons (and all other industries for war) is just as economically productive as is producing food or art or anything else (or, in other words, that producing bombs is just as good as producing bridges or education) – is now also showing, by this article, that his political views are likewise exclusively neoconservative (i.e., slanted in favor of promoting America's war-industries). And yet, many readers in America consider Krugman to be 'liberal' or even 'progressive'; he is considered to be the *opposite* of a fascist, in America.

If America's readers were well-informed, they'd know that this particular war, the war against Russia, had already become a hot one, to overthrow Russia-friendly leaders in Ukraine and Syria. It started being a hot war, by Obama against Russia, when the Russia-friendly Muammar Gaddafi in Libya was overthrown in 2011 under US leadership, which was supported especially by the [neoconservative](#) Hillary Clinton (who famously exulted at Gaddafi's assassination, «[We came, we saw, he died. Ha, ha!!](#)»).

Earlier, under neoconservative President George W Bush, the hot war against Russia had actually begun by invading in 2003 Russia's then-ally Iraq, after a barrage of neoconservative propaganda in America's press had been aimed against the 'threat' to the US posed (allegedly) by 'Saddam's WMD'. But, in 2002, Barack Obama condemned that invasion in advance (with a keen eye to his upcoming political career to win the Democratic Presidential nomination), just as, in 2012, Obama condemned his opponent Mitt Romney's statement about «*Russia, this is, without question, our number one geopolitical foe*» (when Obama was secretly, like a double-agent – pretending to be friendly toward Russia, while [actually planning war against Russia](#) – already preparing for Romney's 'number one geopolitical foe' to be his own second-term's [chief military and diplomatic target and national enemy](#)). Obama was a gifted deceiver, much more gifted than Hillary. However, now that Hillary Clinton is the Democratic nominee, the intent to conquer Russia is considerably more overt. (After all, the US government's prior propaganda-operation has, by now, even bragged to the world its [propaganda-success](#) against Russia. And they had good reason to be proud: they had [deceived the world](#), and especially deceived the American public, to fear Putin, when it is actually the US itself that has been the [aggressor](#) between the two.)

[To Read complete article on the Strategic Culture Foundation website click here](#)

The original source of this article is [Strategic Culture Foundation](#)

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Eric Zuesse](#)

About the author:

Investigative historian Eric Zuesse is the author, most recently, of *They're Not Even Close: The Democratic vs. Republican Economic Records, 1910-2010*, and of *CHRIST'S VENTRILOQUISTS: The Event that Created Christianity*.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca