

How America's Mass Shooters Now Use Weapons of War

By [Mark Follman](#)

Global Research, June 13, 2016

[Mother Jones](#) 12 June 2016

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Law and Justice](#), [Militarization and WMD](#), [Police State & Civil Rights](#)

America is in a fog of grief, anxiety, and rising [partisan rancor](#) as the nation comes to grips with the deadliest mass shooting in its history. But when it comes to the most basic question of how the massacre took place in Orlando early Sunday morning, the picture couldn't be clearer: America's mass shooters increasingly are using weapons of war.

What the data shows is stark: There have been nine mass shootings in the United States over the past year alone. (The one-year anniversary of the slaughter at Charleston's Mother Emanuel church is this Friday.) In at least eight of these attacks the killers used guns that they obtained legally. (Authorities have yet to disclose the source of the firearms in one case.) And two-thirds of these mass shooters, including the Orlando attacker, wielded AR-15s, AK-47s or the equivalent—semi-automatic rifles that were designed to be used in military battle.

LETHAL WEAPONS

Most mass shooters use legally obtained firearms, as in all but one of the six deadliest attacks since 2012. (The Newtown shooter used stolen guns.)

San Bernardino, California, December 2015

Remington rifle, Smith & Wesson rifle

14 KILLED, 21 WOUNDED



Roseburg, Oregon, October 2015

Glock pistol, Smith & Wesson pistol, Taurus pistol

9 KILLED, 9 WOUNDED



Charleston, South Carolina, June 2015

Glock pistol

9 KILLED, 1 WOUNDED



Washington Navy Yard (DC), September 2013

Remington shotgun

12 KILLED, 3 WOUNDED



Newtown, Connecticut, December 2012

Remington Bushmaster rifle, Glock pistol

26 KILLED, 2 WOUNDED



Aurora, Colorado, July 2012

Glock pistol, Smith & Wesson rifle, Remington shotgun

12 KILLED, 58 WOUNDED



Casualty counts do not include shooters who died in their attacks.

This continues a long-running pattern. According to our [database of mass shootings in America](#) going back to 1982, most of the perpetrators got their guns legally—in at least 65 out of 81 cases, including Orlando. This now includes six of the seven deadliest attacks carried out since 2012, as the accompanying graphic shows. (The graphic is from a new investigation into America’s gun industry that *Mother Jones* will publish online soon.)

In addition to semi-automatic rifles, many mass shooters have used semi-automatic handguns. But perhaps most consequential with regard to their weapons of choice is this: In a majority of cases the attackers used their guns in combination with [high-capacity magazines](#), which can hold 15, 30, or even as many as [100 rounds](#).

Such ammunition devices enable attackers to maximize the number of bullets they can fire before stopping to reload. The perpetrator who struck in Tucson in 2011 [squeezed off 31 shots](#) from a Glock handgun in 30 seconds. In a matter of minutes at Sandy Hook Elementary School, the attacker there used an assault rifle to strafe schoolchildren and their teachers with [more than 150 bullets](#). The killers who struck in San Bernardino fired upwards of [75 shots at their victims](#), and roughly as many at police who pursued them.

It may not be clear for days yet how many rounds were fired inside the Pulse nightclub in Orlando; investigators there face a daunting crime scene to process. But the ghastly body count already tells us more than we need to know. As one veteran ATF special agent [described it](#) to me after the Sandy Hook massacre in 2012, the weaponry taken up by most of America’s mass shooters—in many cases easily purchased by them in stores—is well-suited for their purpose: “It turns a killer into a killing machine.”

The original source of this article is [Mother Jones](#)
Copyright © [Mark Follman](#), [Mother Jones](#), 2016

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Mark Follman](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca