

Hong Kong in the Crosshairs of Global Power and Ideological Struggles

By [Kevin Zeese](#) and [Margaret Flowers](#)
Global Research, August 19, 2019

Region: [Asia](#), [USA](#)
Theme: [Global Economy](#), [Media Disinformation](#)

Hong Kong is one of the most extreme examples of big finance, neoliberal capitalism in the world. As a result, many people in Hong Kong are suffering from great economic insecurity in a city with [93 billionaires, second-most](#) of any city.

Hong Kong is suffering the effects of being colonized by Britain for more than 150 years following the Opium Wars. The British put in place a capitalist economic system and Hong Kong has had no history of self-rule. When Britain left, it negotiated an agreement that prevents China from changing Hong Kong's political and economic systems for 50 years by making Hong Kong a Special Administrative Region (SAR).

China cannot solve the suffering of the people of Hong Kong. This 'One Country, Two Systems' approach means the extreme capitalism of Hong Kong exists alongside, but separate from, China's socialized system. Hong Kong has an unusual political system. For example, half the seats in the legislature are required to represent business interests meaning corporate interests vote on legislation.

Hong Kong is a center for big finance and also [a center of financial crimes](#). Between 2013 and 2017, the number of suspicious transactions reported to law enforcement agencies rocketed from 32,907 to 92,115. There has been a [small number of prosecutions](#), which dropped from a high of 167 in 2014 to 103 in 2017. Convictions dropped to only one person sentenced to more than six years behind bars in 2017.

The problem is neither the extradition bill that was used to ignite protests nor China, the problems are Hong Kong's economy and governance.

The Extradition Bill

The stated cause of the recent protests is an extradition bill proposed because there is no legal way to prevent criminals from escaping charges when they flee to Hong Kong. The bill was proposed by the Hong Kong government in February 2019 to establish a mechanism to transfer fugitives in Hong Kong to Taiwan, Macau or Mainland China.

Extradition laws are a legal norm between countries and within countries (e.g. between states), and since Hong Kong is part of China, it is pretty basic. In fact, in 1998, a [pro-democracy legislator, Martin Lee](#), proposed a law similar to the one he now opposes to ensure a person is prosecuted and tried at the place of the offense.

The push for the bill came in 2018 when a Hong Kong resident Chan Tong-kai allegedly killed his pregnant girlfriend, Poon Hiu-wing, in Taiwan, then returned to Hong Kong. Chan

admitted he killed Poon to Hong Kong police, but the police were unable to charge him for murder or extradite him to Taiwan because no agreement was in place.

The [proposed law covered](#) 46 types of crimes that are recognized as serious offenses across the globe. These include murder, rape, and sexual offenses, assaults, kidnapping, immigration violations, and drug offenses as well as property offenses like robbery, burglary and arson and other traditional criminal offenses. It also included business and financial crimes.

Months before the street protests, [the business community expressed opposition](#) to the law. Hong Kong's two pro-business parties urged the government to exempt white-collar crimes from the list of offenses covered by any future extradition agreement. There was escalating pressure [from the city's business heavyweights](#). The American Chamber of Commerce, AmCham, a fifty-year-old organization that represents over 1,200 US companies doing business in Hong Kong, opposed the proposal.

[AmCham said](#) it would damage the city's reputation:

"Any change in extradition arrangements that substantially expands the possibility of arrest and rendition ... of international business executives residing in or transiting through Hong Kong as a result of allegations of economic crime made by the mainland government ... would undermine perceptions of Hong Kong as a safe and secure haven for international business operations."

Kurt Tong, the top US diplomat in Hong Kong, said in March that the proposal could complicate relations between Washington and Hong Kong. Indeed, the [Center for International Private Enterprise, an arm of NED said](#) the proposed law would undermine economic freedom, cause capital flight and threaten Hong Kong's status as a hub for global commerce. They pointed to a bipartisan letter signed by eight members of Congress, including Senators Marco Rubio, Tom Cotton, and Steve Daines and Members of the House of Representatives, Jim McGovern, Ben McAdams, Chris Smith, Tom Suozzi, and Brian Mast opposing the bill.

Proponents of the bill [responded by](#) exempting nine of the economic crimes and made extradition only for crimes punishable by at least seven years in prison. These [changes did not satisfy big business](#) advocates.

The Mass Protests and US Role

From this attention to the law, opposition grew with the formation of a coalition to organize protests. As [Alexander Rubinstein reports](#),

"the coalition cited by Hong Kong media, including the [South China Morning Post](#) and the [Hong Kong Free Press](#), as organizers of the anti-extradition law demonstrations is called the Civil Human Rights Front. That organization's website [lists](#) the NED-funded HKHRM [Human Rights Monitor], Hong Kong Confederation of Trade Unions, the Hong Kong Journalists Association, the Civic Party, the Labour Party, and the Democratic Party as members of the coalition."

HKHRM alone received more than \$1.9 million in funds from the NED between 1995 and 2013. Major protests began in June.

Building the anti-China movement in Hong Kong has been a long-term, NED project [since 1996](#). In 2012, [NED invested \\$460,000 through its National Democratic Institute](#), to build the anti-China movement (aka pro-democracy movement), particularly among university students. Two years later, the mass protests of Occupy Central occurred. In a 2016 [Open Letter to Kurt Tong](#), these NED grants and others were pointed out and Tong was asked if the US was funding a Hong Kong independence movement.

During the current protests, organizers were photographed meeting with Julie Eadeh, the political unit chief of US Consulate General, in a Hong Kong hotel. They also met with China Hawks in Washington, DC including Vice President Pence, Secretary of State Pompeo, National Security Adviser John Bolton, Senator Marco Rubio and Rep. Eliot Engel, chairman of the House Foreign Affairs Committee. Larry Diamond, a [co-editor of the NED's publication and a co-chair of research](#), has been openly encouraging the protesters. He delivered a [video message of support](#) during their rally this weekend.

Protests have [included many elements of US color revolutions](#) with [tactics such as violence](#) — attacks on bystanders, media, police and emergency personnel. Similar tactics were used in [Ukraine](#), [Nicaragua](#), and [Venezuela](#), e.g. violent street barricades. US officials and media criticized the government's response to the violent protests, even though they have been silent on the extreme [police violence against the Yellow Vests in France](#). Demonstrators also use swarming techniques and sophisticated social media messaging targeting people in the US.

Mass protests have continued. On July 9, Chief Executive Carrie Lam pronounced the bill dead and suspended it. Protesters are now calling for the bill to be withdrawn, Lam to resign and police to be investigated. For more on the protests and US involvement, listen to our interview with K. J. Noh on [Clearing the FOG](#) (available on Monday).

What Is Driving Discontent in Hong Kong?

Image on the right: Makeshift shelters at Tung Chau Street Temporary Market in Sham Shui Po. Photo: Nora Tam



The source of unrest in Hong Kong is the economic insecurity stemming from capitalism. In 1997, Britain and China agreed to leave “the previous capitalist system” in place for 50 years.

[Hong Kong has](#) been ranked as the world's freest economy in the Heritage's [Index of Economic Freedom](#) since 1995 when the index began. In 1990, [Milton Friedman](#) described Hong Kong as the best example of a free-market economy. Its ranking is based on low taxes, light regulations, strong property rights, business freedom, and openness to global commerce.

Graeme Maxton writes [in the South China Morning Post](#):

"The only way to restore order is through a radical change in Hong Kong's economic policies. After decades of doing almost nothing, and letting the free market rule, it is time for the Hong Kong government [to do](#) what it is there for; to govern in the [interests of the majority](#)."

The issue is not the extradition proposal, Carrie Lam or China. What we are witnessing is an unrestricted neo-liberal economy, described as a [free market on steroids](#). Hong Kong's economy relative to China's gross domestic product (GDP) has fallen from [a peak of 27 percent in 1993 to less than 3 percent](#) in 2017. During this time, China has had tremendous growth, including in nearby market-friendly Shenzhen, while Hong Kong has not.

As [Sara Flounders writes](#),

"For the last 10 years wages have been stagnant in Hong Kong while rents have increased 300 percent; it is the most expensive city in the world. In Shenzhen, wages have increased 8 percent every year, and more than 1 million new, public, green housing units at low rates are nearing completion."

Hong Kong has the [world's highest rents](#), a [widening wealth gap](#) and [a poverty rate of 20 percent](#). In China, the poverty rate fell from 88 percent in 1981 to 0.7 percent in 2015, [according to the World Bank](#).

Hong Kong In The Chinese Context

Ellen Brown writes in "[Neoliberalism Has Met Its Match in China](#)," that the Chinese government owns 80 percent of banks, which make favorable loans to businesses, and subsidizes worker costs. The US views China subsidizing its economy as an unfair trade advantage, while China sees long-term, planned growth as smarter than short-term profits for shareholders.

The Chinese model of state-controlled capitalism (some call it a form of socialism) has [lifted 800 million people out of poverty](#) and built a middle class of over 420 million people, growing from four percent in 2002, [to 31 percent](#). The top twelve Chinese companies on the Fortune 500 are all state-owned and state-subsidized including oil, solar energy, telecommunications, engineering, construction companies, banks, and the auto industry. China has the second-largest GDP, and the largest economy based on Purchasing Power Parity GDP, according to the [CIA](#), [IMF](#) and [World Bank](#).

China does have significant problems. There are thousands of [documented demonstrations, strikes and labor actions in China annually](#), serious [environmental challenges](#), inequality and social control through the use of surveillance technology. How China responds to these challenges is a test for their governance.

China describes itself as having an intraparty democracy. The [eight other legal “democratic parties”](#) that are allowed to participate in the political system cooperate with but do not compete with the Communist Party. There are also [local elections](#) for candidates focused on grassroots issues. China views western democracy and economics as flawed and does not try to emulate them but is creating its own system.

China is led by engineers and scientists, not by lawyers and business people. It approaches policy decisions through research and experimentation. [Every city and every district is involved in some sort of experimentation](#) including free trade zones, poverty reduction, and education reform. “There are pilot schools, pilot cities, pilot hospitals, pilot markets, pilot everything under the sun, the whole China is basically a giant portfolio of experiments, with mayors and provincial governors as Primary Investigators.” In this system, Hong Kong could be viewed as an experiment in neoliberal capitalism.

The Communist Party knows that to keep its hold on power, it must combat inequalities and shift the economy towards a more efficient and more ecological model. Beijing has set a date of 2050 to become a “socialist society” and to achieve that, it seeks improvements in [social](#), [labor](#) and [environmental](#) fields.

Where does Hong Kong fit into these long-term plans? With 2047 as the year for the end of the agreement with the UK, US and western powers are working toward preserving their capitalist dystopia of Hong Kong and manufacturing consensus for long-term conflict with China.

How this conflict of economic and political systems turns out depends on whether China can confront its contradictions, whether Hong Kongers can address the source of their problems and whether US empire can continue its dollar, political and military dominance. Today’s conflicts in Hong Kong are rooted in all of these realities.

*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above or below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Kevin Zeese and Margaret Flowers co-direct [Popular Resistance](#) where this article was originally published.

Featured image is from Sky News

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Kevin Zeese](#) and [Margaret Flowers](#), Global Research, 2019

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Kevin Zeese](#) and
[Margaret Flowers](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca