

High Court Judges Defy Reason to Protect Tony Blair

By Craig Murray Global Research, August 01, 2017 Craig Murray 31 July 2017 Region: <u>Europe</u> Theme: <u>Law and Justice</u>, <u>Media</u> <u>Disinformation</u>

There were a number of errors (by me) in this original posting and therefore I have decided to remove it now I have seen <u>the judgment</u> itself. That these errors were in large part caused by erroneous mainstream media <u>reports</u> is a fact, but not an excuse for my being so outraged I rushed in without checking.

In fact, the judgment does accept there is a longstanding crime of waging aggressive war as part of international law, and does not (contrary to the Guardian's report) argue at all that the international law only came into existence recently.

It argues however that international law is only captured in UK Law when this is done specifically through an Act of Parliament. Indeed the judgement goes so far as to state:

"the clear principle that it is for Parliament to make such conduct criminal under domestic law. Parliament deliberately chose not to do so."

This surely is problematic. The judgment states that the UK, deliberately, does not follow international law in its domestic law. So the UK is an institutionalised rogue state. Its internal arrangements allow its rulers, its armed forces and other actors to commit international crimes and flout international law with no fear of domestic repercussion *as a matter of conscious choice*.

It would not be beyond the wit of man to draft domestic legislation making it a crime for those acting in service of the British state to breach international law; it would not be necessary to have separate legislation enacting each piece of international law individually. Separate legislation is however possible and often done – when in the FCO I was often concerned with the enactment of treaty or other international obligations into domestic law, which is generally by secondary legislation.

When Sir Michael Wood, the FCO's chief legal adviser, told Jack Straw it would be illegal to invade Iraq, Straw replied that there was <u>no court</u> that could try the case. The full significance of that did not really strike me until today. It is no accident; the UK is deliberately set up to be psychopathic entity, its elite breaking international law at will, with no fear of retribution.

The original source of this article is <u>Craig Murray</u> Copyright © <u>Craig Murray</u>, <u>Craig Murray</u>, 2017

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Craig Murray

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca