

Helping the Terrorists “Win the Peace”: Washington Seeks to Recruit New [“Moderate Terrorist”] Groups to Fight the Islamic State (ISIS) in Syria

By [Timothy Alexander Guzman](#)

Global Research, November 13, 2015

[Silent Crow News](#) 12 November 2015

Washington has new job openings according to the Secretary of Defense Ashton Carter.

If you are willing to fight ISIS with U.S. supplied weapons, Uncle Sam wants you. U.S. Defense Secretary Ashton Carter told ABC news that Washington was willing to recruit “additional groups” who will supposedly fight the Islamic State after President Obama announced that U.S. Special Forces will be sent to Syria to advise the “moderate Rebels.” Carter said:

In order to have victory stick, you have to have local forces involved who can keep the peace after you’ve helped them win the peace.” Carter also said that “If we find additional groups that are willing to fight ISIL and are capable and motivated, we’ll do more. The president has indicated a willingness to do more, I certainly am prepared to recommend he do more, but you need to have capable local forces — that’s the key to sustainable victory.

Carter mentioned that recruiting new “groups” to supposedly fight the Islamic State although the same strategy has deliberately failed. I say “deliberately failed” because the ultimate goal was to remove President Assad not to fight ISIS.

Since Russia got involved militarily and has been dismantling the Islamic State, the plan to remove Assad has ultimately failed. Carter was also asked if U.S. ground troops will be on the ground in Syria and he replied with “*Absolutely.*” So, the U.S. government has job openings for more groups committed to fight ISIS or will they attempt to remove Assad from power with the support of U.S. ground troops? It is just like a bad Hollywood movie where scenes are predictable. The hypocrisy in fighting the terrorists they created with more terrorists is obvious. The world is clearly seeing what Washington is capable of by funding and arming terror groups that has already devastated many parts of the Middle East.

What does Vietnam and now Syria have in common? Vietnam started with advisors on the ground which resulted in a major loss for the U.S. government. So the Obama administration has ordered Special Forces on the ground to fight their very own Frankenstein, the Islamic State? Washington wants U.S. troops on the ground in Syria but that will eventually lead to an unwinnable war. It will lead to more devastation. For Washington, a Syrian quagmire will sow the seeds of chaos for years to come, and they know this. Their experiences in Iraq, Libya and Afghanistan serve as a history lesson in what not to do. But they will do it. They will enter Syria and create another quagmire.

✖ The New York Times reported that *"President Obama announced on Friday that he had ordered several dozen Special Operations troops into Syria for the first open-ended mission by United States ground forces in that country"* An *"open-ended mission"*? Obama is committing U.S. troops to a war that would last for years although he promised repeatedly not to place boots on the ground. The Obama administration's support of the *"moderate rebels"* who defected into numerous terrorist organizations in the past has provided the Pentagon ammunition to commit U.S. troops to the already volatile situation and will become cannon fodder in an already complex civil war. The New York Times article quoted White House press secretary Josh Earnest who said *"The mission has not changed," said Josh Earnest, the White House press secretary. "These forces," he added, "do not have a combat mission." Earnest continued "the responsibility that they have is not to lead the charge to take a hill, but rather to offer advice and assistance to those local forces about the best way they can organize their efforts to take the fight to ISIL or to take the hill inside of Syria."* The mission for these advisors is to take the fight to ISIS or is it really to direct the rebels to target Syrian government forces by taking *"the hill inside of Syria"*?

Washington and the Pentagon know that the wars they wage are unwinnable. The real strategy is to create never-ending wars for corporate profits whether for the military-industrial complex, big oil corporations and for the expansion of the state of Israel (The Yinon Plan) at the expense of their troops. Once a nation is devastated through a foreign intervention, the nation-state becomes divided (Iraq is an example). Once division becomes a reality, Western involvement in the political and economic sphere becomes apparent. Keep all sides divided and Western corporate vultures descend on its natural resources including its vast oil reserves and natural water supplies (water will be the next hot commodity in the future).

America spreading democracy was never about democracy, it's about hegemonic control over every nation on earth. Napoleon Bonaparte, amilitary and political leader during the French revolution obviously understood what chaos can accomplish for the ruling elite when he said *"The battlefield is a scene of constant chaos. The winner will be the one who controls that chaos, both his own and the enemies."* Washington is seeking to control that chaos that will enrich their corporate and military partners and satisfy their Zionist and Turkish allies in the region. Chaos in a strange fashion is profitable for the West. It is a cynical policy which creates death and destruction at the expense of the people of the Middle East so that Western corporate powers can benefit from the misery it causes. More war equals more weapons production.

Tanks, guns, rifles, rocket-launchers, drones, bombs and bullets are big business for weapons manufacturers. Those who are leading their troops into the battlefield from their air-conditioned offices drinking a fresh brewed cup of coffee never step foot in a war zone, but they have a lot at stake with the decisions they make. Those decisions are made to ensure corporate profits find its way into the pockets of bankers and private investors who are normally based on Wall Street, London and elsewhere.

It is a fact that *"chaos"* is profitable. America's *"democratic ideals and values"* has destroyed many countries since its rise to superpower status through chaos. They achieved that rise not through *"peace and Prosperity"* but by war. Hiring terrorists is as diabolical as you can get.

Placing U.S. soldiers on the ground is called *"mission creep"*. The U.S. military is on the road to another war, but for Washington's insiders it is just business as usual. Create the chaos;

sell it as democracy and everyone within the circle of influence in Washington wins. Global hegemony through chaos is a strategy that works for political power and profits. For them if complete victory is unachievable, chaos is the next best thing.

The original source of this article is [Silent Crow News](#)

Copyright © [Timothy Alexander Guzman](#), [Silent Crow News](#), 2015

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Timothy Alexander Guzman](#)

About the author:

Timothy Alexander Guzman is an independent researcher and writer with a focus on political, economic, media and historical spheres. He has been published in Global Research, The Progressive Mind, European Union Examiner, News Beacon Ireland, WhatReallyHappened.com, EIN News and a number of other alternative news sites. He is a graduate of Hunter College in New York City.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca