

As Health Officials, Media Ramp Up Vaccine Coercion, They Scapegoat the Unvaccinated

By [Children's Health Defense](#)

Theme: [Science and Medicine](#)

Global Research, August 06, 2021

[Children's Health Defense](#) 4 August 2021

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the “Translate Website” drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

Visit and follow us on Instagram at [@crg_globalresearch](#).

Rather than admit defeat in the face of vaccine failure or vaccine refusal, media and government officials are now openly stoking the fires of hostility toward the unvaccinated.

In the global war on [national](#) and [individual](#) sovereignty — for which COVID-19 has been serving as the unlawful [casus belli](#) — two tactics long favored by tyrants have been deployed by government officials and mainstream media to utmost effect, successfully distracting many citizens from the increasingly aggressive attacks on their [civil and human rights](#) and [livelihoods](#).

The first tactic — the sophisticated mobilization of appeals to [fear](#) — has steered many individuals into unquestioning compliance with harsh public health authoritarianism. The second — the promotion of [divide-and-conquer](#) theatrics — has been instrumental in helping build [public support for coercion](#), including [vaccine mandates](#).

After a brief summer respite, fear-mongering is once again in full swing, with headlines screaming about a “highly contagious [mutant version](#) of the coronavirus” (dubbed the “[delta variant](#)”) and yet another seeming rise in “cases.”

What is the most obvious reason for the renewed media hysteria? Demand for [COVID](#) vaccines has [stagnated](#).

Familiar with the propagandist’s tendency to inflate key numbers, investigative journalist Jon Rappoport suggests the proportion of the population refusing the injections is likely [much larger](#) than officials are reporting — and that does not “sit well with the vaccinators-in-charge.”

Moreover, those declining the [experimental](#) shots continue to indicate they [will not](#) change their mind.

On July 30, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention’s (CDC) director, Dr. Rochelle Walensky, [signaled](#) “the war has changed” after [warning](#) “vaccinated people infected with Delta can transmit the virus.”

Two weeks earlier, however, Walensky had a different population in her sights, [describing](#) COVID-19 as a “pandemic of the unvaccinated.”

That comment — and recent remarks by other highly placed figures such as [Alabama Gov. Kay Ivey](#) — indicate that rather than admit defeat in the face of vaccine failure or vaccine refusal, officials intend to ratchet up their dangerous divide-and-conquer rhetoric. Taking off the gloves, they are now openly stoking the fires of hostility toward the unvaccinated.

An illogical narrative

In May, the CDC announced it was [limiting its monitoring](#) of “[vaccine breakthrough cases](#)” — meaning positive [PCR tests](#) in the vaccinated — stating that it would count only “infections [in the vaccinated] that result in hospitalization or death,” which the agency maintains are relatively [few and far between](#).

As COVID—especially Delta variant—surges among fully vaccinated, Brian Hooker, Ph.D., said the more variant deviates from original sequence used for vaccine, the less effective vaccine will be on variant.

SUBSCRIBE [#TheDefender](#): <https://t.co/zL66Edfiw5><https://t.co/ukuh6XIMSu>

— Robert F. Kennedy Jr (@RobertKennedyJr) [August 4, 2021](#)

At the same time, the media began amping up [reports](#) blaming the unvaccinated for the so-called “[Delta variant](#),” furnishing a pretext for public health officials to not only renew their calls for “widespread vaccination and rigid adherence to public health measures” but also to “move the goal posts for target vaccination rates.”

Health providers on the front lines have shared anecdotal evidence with [Children’s Health Defense](#) confirming that officialdom is interested only in new COVID “cases” to the extent that such cases crop up in the unvaccinated.

However, the blame-the-unvaccinated narrative is contradicted by the many “Delta variant” [stories](#) now emerging from numerous locations around the world showing that the fully vaccinated constitute the [majority](#) of delta “cases.”

Estimates range from 75% of new “cases” in [Cape Cod](#) and highly vaccinated [Singapore](#), to anywhere from [50%](#) to [90%](#) in Israel. In [Iceland](#), described by journalist Alex Berensen as a “vaccinators’ paradise,” the nation is reportedly “setting daily records for new infections, mostly among the vaccinated.”

Cover stories

COVID vaccine critics point to this explosion of “[breakthrough cases](#)” in the vaccinated as a sign that manufacturers’ [misleading vaccine efficacy narrative](#) is crumbling.

Although this may be the case, it is important to keep in mind that the highly coordinated mainstream media rarely trumpet a story unless it serves a [propaganda purpose](#), and never more so than in the age of COVID.

Recall, too, that “cases” are determined by [Emergency-Use-Authorized RT-PCR tests](#) that were never intended for diagnostic purposes. As critics have [stated](#) for months, PCR’s Nobel-Prize-winning inventor, Kary Mullis, [repeatedly](#) made the point that “PCR is just a process that allows you to make a whole lot of something out of something.”

The results, said Mullis, make it possible to “find almost anything in anybody” but do not “tell you that you are sick.”

Although it is unclear why they would change their tune, both the [World Health Organization](#) and, just recently, CDC have [admitted](#) that RT-PCR is not reliable for diagnosing SARS-CoV-2.

In addition to offering a rationale for inflaming hostility against the unvaccinated, could the “delta variant” cover story be serving other purposes?

For example, rather than question the dud vaccines, researchers are suddenly publishing analyses of [waning immunity](#) and [vaccine failure](#) — in prestigious journals like The Lancet — that all too predictably prescribe [booster shots](#) and new-and-improved vaccines as solutions.

Recognizing the vaccines were [never even necessary](#) to begin with inevitably raises questions about why governments around the world are so eager to install these regular [operating system updates](#) in their populations.

[Dr. Anthony Fauci](#) has already started incrementally laying the groundwork in the U.S., asserting the [likelihood](#) that “at some time, we may need a booster,” while [Israel](#) has begun administering boosters to the age group that historically has the poorest response to vaccination — those over 60.

A 65-year-old actor who received two doses of the experimental Sinovac vaccine in February and March and a dose of the [Pfizer](#) jab in July died [six days](#) after receiving the third injection.

The media’s alarmism about the delta variant has also served to white out and perhaps disguise the steady [rise](#) in serious [COVID vaccine injuries and deaths](#). In mid-July, Israeli internist Rafael Zioni tweeted out dramatic Ministry of Health data showing that fully vaccinated individuals constituted a majority of COVID [hospitalizations](#) and serious illnesses.

This is the Israeli data for infection breakthrough among vaccinated with 2 shots of Pfizer. Seems that efficacy is close to zero..
pic.twitter.com/F7nkrNVdp0

— rafael zioni (@rzioni) [July 14, 2021](#)

In Sydney, Australia, a [post](#) on Rumble noted 140 of 141 people hospitalized with COVID were fully vaccinated, while the one remaining individual had received one dose. Of those hospitalized, 43 were in intensive care, including eight youth under the age of 30.

Although doctors blame these incidents on COVID infection, these health setbacks could just as well be vaccine adverse events either not recognized or not called out as such.

COVID deaths and vaccine deaths

As [The Defender reported](#) in late July, the CDC-imposed guidelines for reporting COVID deaths represent an ethically questionable departure from the industry standard previously in place, with medical examiners and others suspiciously instructed to define “deaths involving COVID-19” as “deaths with confirmed or [presumed](#) COVID-19.”

Without these skewed reporting rules, an estimated [90.2% fewer fatalities](#) would have been attributed to COVID. [Exaggerating the death toll](#) is a tried-and-true maneuver to hawk more vaccines — in the past, CDC insiders have [advised](#) framing a given influenza season as more “deadly” to generate demand for flu shots.

Even so, the numbers the CDC [reported](#) for July — several thousand deaths “involving” COVID-19 for that month — should have been good news, representing the lowest number of monthly deaths at any point since February 2020.

The July figure was also 31 times lower than the peak of more than 100,000 “confirmed or presumed” deaths reported in January 2021.

CDC admits that among the cumulative fatalities “involving” COVID since 2020 (through July 25, 2021), COVID-19 was the sole cause of death for only [5%](#). For all other deaths “involving” COVID, death certificates cite an average of four additional contributing conditions or causes.

In contrast, the safety of COVID injections has been dubious [from the start](#). Eight months in, the [OpenVAERS Project](#) (an independent group that provides easy access to vaccine injury data from the [U.S. Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System](#)) reminds us the experimental jabs have produced at least “[four times more fatalities](#) than the terrorist attacks on 9/11” — or 15 times more, if whistleblower estimates of [vast undercounting](#) of COVID vaccine-related deaths prove accurate.

OpenVAERS calls the rollout of unlicensed COVID vaccines the “most [disastrous vaccine campaign in American history](#).”

Outrageously, the U.S. government has just purchased an additional [200 million doses](#) of the Pfizer/BioNTech vaccine, expecting taxpayers to continue financing their own destruction.

Rather than change course or cop to the accumulating evidence of widespread harm, officials find it far more politically expedient to stir up animosity against the army of people — the unvaccinated — asking the right questions.

However, as Jon Rappoport [reminds us](#), this is no time to surrender: “If enough people say NO to the shot, it’s over.”

Postscript: In the midst of increasing propaganda designed to instill fear and panic while falsely elevating the safety profiles of COVID vaccines, the push for vaccine mandates is at an all-time high. [Children’s Health Defense](#) has launched a [letter-writing campaign](#) directed to local, state and federal officials spelling out why mandates are wrong for people and wrong for America. Our advocacy portal makes it easy for citizens to contact elected officials at every level of government. [Send a letter](#) to all of your elected officials with just one click.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, @crg_globalresearch. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Featured image is from CHD

The original source of this article is [Children's Health Defense](#)
Copyright © [Children's Health Defense](#), [Children's Health Defense](#), 2021

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Children's Health Defense](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca