

## Guantanamo Detainees are "Not Persons" Entitled to Religious Freedom Unlike US Corporation Hobby Lobby

By <u>Reprieve</u> Global Research, July 09, 2014 <u>Reprieve US</u> 8 July 2014 Region: USA Theme: Crimes against Humanity, Police State & Civil Rights

The Obama Administration is resisting giving religious rights to Guantanamo detainees on the basis that they are "not...'person[s]'" entitled to the protection of the Religious Freedom Restoration Act (RFRA) – unlike US corporation Hobby Lobby.

Responding to attempts by the detainees' attorneys at legal charity Reprieve to ensure that their religious freedoms are recognised, Department of Justice lawyers argue that the US Supreme Court's recent decision that "defines a 'person' to include 'corporations'" makes no difference to their view that Guantanamo detainees remain non-persons.

Last week, the Supreme Court ruled that Hobby Lobby was legally a 'person' entitled to religious freedom under the RFRA. In their submission to the US District Court in Washington, D.C. which is considering the case brought by lawyers for detainees Emad Hassan and Ahmed Rabbani, President Obama's lawyers do not contest that ruling. However, they do take issue with the argument that Guantanamo prisoners should be considered 'people' under the same law.

In <u>court documents filed last night</u> ahead of a hearing tomorrow (Thursday July 10), the DoJ claims that "Guantanamo detainees [...] are not protected "person[s]" within the meaning and scope of RFRA." They note that "In Hobby Lobby, the Supreme Court held RFRA rights extend to for profit

closely held corporations, reasoning in part that the Dictionary Act defines a "person" to include "corporations," but argue that "that opinion cannot be read as extending RFRA rights to [Guantanamo detainees]."

The court will tomorrow hear arguments concerning whether Guantanamo detainees can be denied the right to communal prayer, a key part of their faith during the current Ramadan period.

Cori Crider, an attorney at international human rights organisation <u>Reprieve</u>, which represents Mr Hassan and Mr Rabbani, said:

"It is staggering that the Obama administration is prepared to argue that Guantanamo prisoners aren't people, while accepting that corporations are. I fail to see how the President can stand up and claim Guantánamo is a scandal while his lawyers call detainees non-persons in court. If the President is serious about closing this prison, he could start by recognising that its inmates are people – most of whom have been cleared by his own Government."

1. The next hearing on Mr Hassan and Mr Rabbani's emergency motion is expected at 10am (EST) on Thursday July 10<sup>th</sup> at the DC District Court.

2. For the full court filing, see <u>here</u>.

3. For a full timeline of the force-feeding litigation, see here.

4. The motions filed by Reprieve can be accessed here (Emad Hassan and Ahmad Rabbani)

3. Details of the separate force-feeding case *Dhiab v Obama* can be accessed <u>here</u>.

4. For further information, please contact Reprieve's press office in the US: clemency.wells@reprieve.org.uk / 001 (929) 258 2754 or UK: <u>alice.gillham@reprieve.org.uk</u> / +44 207 553 8160.

The original source of this article is <u>Reprieve US</u> Copyright © <u>Reprieve</u>, <u>Reprieve US</u>, 2014

## **Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page**

## **Become a Member of Global Research**

Articles by: Reprieve

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>

<u>www.globalresearch.ca</u> contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca