

## A Group of Florida Parents Cultured Their Children's Masks and Found Dangerous Bacteria

By Daniel Horowitz Global Research, June 18, 2021 TheBlaze 16 June 2021 Region: <u>USA</u> Theme: <u>Science and Medicine</u>

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the "Translate Website" drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

Visit and follow us on Instagram at @crg\_globalresearch.

\*\*\*

The idea of children, including preschoolers, walking around with bacteria traps on their breathing orifices all day so shocked the conscience that last summer, a bunch of <u>internet</u> <u>parodies</u> were produced illustrating such absurdity. Then, within weeks, most local governments mandated this cruel form of child abuse for an entire year without any study of the side effects. Now a group of parents from the Gainesville, Florida, area have shown that such masks are traps for harmful bacteria that potentially make children much sicker than from COVID — the virus for which the masks were required, but failed to mitigate.

In a press release obtained by TheBlaze and <u>posted at RationalGround.com</u>, six Alachua County, Florida, parents reported the findings of the lab cultures of their children's masks worn in school. The parents sent the six masks to the University of Florida's Mass Spectrometry Research and Education Center after they were worn for five to eight hours, most during in-person schooling by children ages 6 through 11. Although many students across the country likely wore dirty masks indefinitely for numerous days, the face masks studied in this analysis were new or freshly laundered before wearing. One of the masks submitted was from an adult who wore it at work as a cosmetologist.

The resulting report found that five masks were contaminated with bacteria, parasites, and fungi, including three with dangerous pathogenic and pneumonia-causing bacteria.

The lab used a method called proteomics to extract proteins from the masks and sequence them. The analysis detected the following 11 alarmingly dangerous pathogens on the masks:

- Streptococcus pneumoniae (pneumonia)
- Mycobacterium tuberculosis (tuberculosis)
- Neisseria meningitidis (meningitis, sepsis)
- Acanthamoeba polyphaga (keratitis and granulomatous amebic encephalitis)
- Acinetobacter baumanni (pneumonia, bloodstream infections, meningitis, UTIs resistant to antibiotics)
- Escherichia coli (food poisoning)
- Borrelia burgdorferi (causes Lyme disease)

- Corynebacterium diphtheriae (diphtheria)
- Legionella pneumophila (Legionnaires' disease)
- Staphylococcus pyogenes serotype M3 (severe infections high morbidity rates)
- Staphylococcus aureus (meningitis, sepsis)

PATHOGEN	TYPE	DESCRIPTION
acinetobacter baumannii	Bacteria	pneumonia, blood stream infections, meningitis, wound and surgical site Infections and urinary tract infections Resistant to antibiotics and very difficult to treat.
alcelaphine herpesvirus 1	Virus	Natural hosts primarily cow, but is fatal
Borrelia burgdorferi	Bacteria	Causes Lyme disease
corynebacterium jeikeium	Bacteria	infection in bone marrow transplant patients
corynebacterium kroppenstedtii	Bacteria	antibiotic resistant pathogen
cutibacterium acnes	Bacteria	Causes acne, blephartis and endophthalmitis
encephalitozoon cuniculi	Bacteria	Pathogenic in immunocomprimised people
Escherichia coli	Bacteria	Found in lower intestine and can cause food poisoning
francisella tularensis	Bacteria	Causes tularemia, fever, skin ulcers, sore throat and pneumonia
mycobacterium tuberculosis	Bacteria	Causes Tuberculosis
neisseria meningitidis Serogroup A	Bacteria	Extremely pathogenic. Causes meningitis and life threatening sepsis
neisseria meningitidis Serogroup B	Bacteria	Extremely pathogenic. Causes meningitis and life threatening sepsis
neisseria meningitidis Serogroup C	Bacteria	Extremely pathogenic. Causes meningitis and life threatening sepsis
parabacteroides distasonis	Bacteria	Causes infections
porphyromonas gingivalis	Bacteria	Found in the oral cavity causing peridontal disease as well as upper gastroitntestinal tract, respitory infections
Rickettsia rickettsii	Bacteria	Rocky Mountain Spotted Fever
staphylococcus aureus	Bacteria	range of illnesses from minor skin infections to life threatening pneumonia, menigitis and sepsis
streptococcus pneumoniae	Bacteria	Major cause pneumonia
streptococcus pneumoniae serotype 19F	Bacteria	Major cause of pneumonia
streptococcus pyogenes	Bacteria	Causes strep throat
streptococcus pyogenes serotype M3	Bacteria	Causes strep throat

"Half of the masks were contaminated with one or more strains of pneumonia-causing bacteria," according to the release. "One-third were contaminated with one or more strains of meningitis-causing bacteria. One-third were contaminated with dangerous, antibiotic-resistant bacterial pathogens. In addition, less dangerous pathogens were identified, including pathogens that can cause fever, ulcers, acne, yeast infections, strep throat, periodontal disease, Rocky Mountain Spotted Fever, and more."

For a control, the parents submitted a T-shirt worn by one of the children at school and unworn masks. No pathogens were found on the controls.

Obviously, the naysayers will immediately jump on this and criticize it as being a rudimentary study and small sample size. But that is the entire point. Of course, this issue needs further study. But why has this not been done over the course of the entire year by our government or any well-funded institution? How can we mandate such draconian policies without studying the side effects, including the spread of pathogens? Why is this left to helpless parents trying to raise awareness of these concerns?

It's not like these concerns are novel. On March 8, 2020, Dr. Fauci <u>told</u> "60 Minutes" that masks can only block large droplets, they give a false sense of security, *and* they cause

people to get more germs on their hands by fiddling with them. Several weeks later, Surgeon General Jerome Adams punctuated this point about the counterproductivity of wearing masks in public. Appearing on "Fox & Friends" <u>on March 31</u>, Adams said that based on a study that shows medical students who wear masks touch their faces 23 times more often, one has to assume that "wearing a mask improperly can actually increase your risk of getting disease."

A 2014 <u>study</u> of hospital workers wearing surgical masks in a Bangkok hospital found their masks to be saturated with Staphylococcus aureus (found on some of the masks in the Alachua study) and the fungus Aspergillus. Another <u>study</u> of hospital workers in China from 2019 observed that after more than six hours of use, masks worn by medical personnel also contained viruses, including adenovirus, bocavirus, respiratory syncytial virus, and influenza viruses. It doesn't take a rocket scientist to hypothesize that a warm and humid microclimate cultivated by a mask is going to serve as an incubator for all sorts of pathogens. Not surprisingly, studies have <u>shown</u> that pathogen density on masks grows exponentially after two hours of use.

To this day, Fauci and CDC researchers have never answered how those concerns were no longer valid after their political U-turn on masks, given the terrible conditions with which we've witnessed the entire country wearing and reusing masks. The same reason why Fauci said last summer they never planned to embark on a randomized controlled trial of the efficacy of masks is likely why they never studied the side effects of masks either. They didn't want to discover the truth that they themselves originally understood.

These findings are important for two reasons. First, there is a need to ensure that mask mandates are never implemented again. The Boston Globe is already advocating their use for the flu season. Second, as much as the mask mandate has ended for most consumers, workers in many professions are still required to wear them for hours on end without regard for the hazards they pose.

A Florida appeals court has <u>already ruled</u> that the mask mandate in Alachua County is presumptively unconstitutional because it violates bodily autonomy. The risk of masks cultivating and spreading other pathogens is just another reason why something this personal to the body must remain a personal choice.

\*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, @crg\_globalresearch. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Featured image is from The Ron Paul Institute for Peace and Prosperity

The original source of this article is <u>TheBlaze</u> Copyright © <u>Daniel Horowitz</u>, <u>TheBlaze</u>, 2021

## Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

**Become a Member of Global Research** 

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>

<u>www.globalresearch.ca</u> contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca