

Food Shortages: WEF Globalists Promote Diet of Bugs — and Cannibalism

By [Dr. Joseph Mercola](#)

Global Research, August 03, 2022

[Mercola](#)

Theme: [History](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the “Translate Website” drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research’s Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

The World Economic Forum envisions a food system that doesn’t include animal foods or require a large land footprint. In fact, for several years now, the WEF has promoted the idea that we should get used to eating bugs and drinking reclaimed sewage. Both are now being rolled out

In a July 2022 article, The New York Times took the WEF’s dystopian projections to a whole new level, announcing that the time to consider cannibalism is now upon us.

Interpretation: The WEF and its allies are manufacturing food shortages, which in some areas may progress into actual famine, and they want you to know that when that time comes, it’s OK for you to eat your neighbor

In addition to a recent rash of books and TV shows that glorify cannibalism, there’s lab-grown human steak “art,” and vegan meat designed to taste like human flesh. In 2019, a Swedish professor also argued for cannibalism as a more sustainable alternative to eating bugs.

Much of the supposed “inspiration” behind the promotion of unnatural diets is said to come from a desire to save the planet. While that’s admirable, it’s important to realize that the “green” agenda — as it is currently promoted — is nothing but a scare tactic to bring people to the point of accepting living conditions that would otherwise be unacceptable, such as eating a diet of bugs, drinking reclaimed sewage water and even, apparently, cannibalism

A Taste for Cannibalism?

A spate of recent stomach-churning books, TV shows and films suggests we've never looked so delicious — to one another.

[Screenshot, July 23, 2022](#)

Time and again, the World Economic Forum (WEF) and its global collaborators have “predicted” the future with stunning accuracy, sometimes years in advance, and then when the predictions come true they pretend as though they had nothing to do with it.





It's worth remembering, then, that WEF founder Klaus Schwab, during the May 2022 meeting in Davos, clearly stated that the future doesn't just happen, it is “BUILT — by us,” referring to himself and the other attendees in the room. So, make no mistake, they truly believe they have the right to decide the fate of the world, and that you and I have no say in the matter.

That fate was in June 2020 formally announced under the banner of “The Great Reset,” by Schwab himself.¹ This “build back better” scheme involves the complete reorganization and restructuring of all parts of society, including finance, industry, education, “social contracts,” the energy sector and the food system.

As far as the food system is concerned, the WEF envisions a food system that doesn't include animal foods or require a large land footprint. In fact, for several years now, the WEF has promoted the idea that we should get used to eating bugs^{2,3,4} and drinking reclaimed sewage. As just one example, in mid-October 2018, the WEF posted on Twitter:⁵

“Good grub: why we might be eating insects soon.”

Farming's environmental impact by species

	 Insect	 Chicken	 Pig	 Cow
Greenhouse gases released per kg of live weight, g	2	NA	1,130	2,850
Feed required per kg of live weight, kg	1.7	2.5	5	10
Land required per g of protein, m ²	18	51	63	254
Water required per g of protein, li	23	34	57	112

Bugs, Sewage and Cannibalism — The Cabal's Plans for You

The WEF's many predictions are now rapidly turning into reality, and its selfish agendas are, of course, hailed as brilliant and necessary by its media allies. For example, in February 2021, Time magazine⁶ insisted we really ought to eat more bugs to save the planet, and in May 2021, Bloomberg announced that "The Future of Water Is Recycled Sewage, and We'll All Be Drinking It."⁷

Indeed, California has already started its toilet-to-tap transition.⁸ July 23, 2022, The New York Times^{9,10} then took the WEF's dystopian projections to a whole new level, announcing that the time to consider cannibalism is now upon us:

"An image came to Chelsea G. Summers: a boyfriend, accidentally on purpose hit by a car, some quick work with a corkscrew and his liver served Tuscan style, on toast. That figment of her twisted imagination is what prompted Ms. Summers to write her novel, 'A Certain Hunger,' about a restaurant critic with a taste for (male) human flesh.

Turns out, cannibalism has a time and a place. In the pages of some recent stomach-churning books, and on television and film screens, Ms. Summers and others suggest that that time is now ... Can you stomach it?"

The NYT writer, Alex Beggs — who also writes for the food magazine, Bon Appétit — goes on to list several recent TV shows and films featuring cannibalism in a romanticized light. Well, how else do you brainwash people — especially young people — into accepting the unacceptable if not by glamorizing it through the magic of tell-a-vision? And it's working. A Reddit message board dedicated to the Showtime series "Yellowjackets" has 51,000 members.

"The show's tension is in the knowledge that you know cannibalism is coming, but when?

And why?" Beggs writes. The show, no doubt, mirrors a tension the elitists of the world actually want to emerge in real life.

"What in the world are you talking about?" you may ask. Let me put it bluntly and not beat around the bush: The WEF and its allies are manufacturing food shortages, which in some areas may progress into actual famine, and they want you to know that when that time comes, it's OK for you to eat your neighbor.

You'll be saving the planet, and yourself. Cannibalism is a "win" for the world, so don't be squeamish. Heck, you might even enjoy it, and there's no need to be riddled with useless guilt. You're still a good person.

That's the subliminal message being broadcast through these articles, books and TV shows that normalize cannibalism, and it's not by accident. Need I remind you that the NYT deputy managing editor, Rebecca Blumenstein, is a WEF member?¹¹ She knows what's coming.

And then, of course, there's the fact checkers insisting The NYT "did not publish an article that normalizes cannibalism,"¹² which is basically proof that it did just that. Those of us who read it did not misunderstand its unstated purpose. The New York Times has also written glowing tributes to Marina Abramovic, whose "art" involves graphic references to cannibalism.¹³

Lab-Grown Human Steak

In addition to books and TV shows that glorify cannibalism, there's lab-grown human steak, and vegan meat designed to taste like human meat. Starting with the former, in December 2020, steak grown from human cells was featured as "art" at the Design Museum in London, U.K.¹⁴

The creator of the "Ouroboros Steak" — a reference to the ancient alchemical symbol of the snake that devours its tail and is reborn from itself — claimed the installation was a critique against the meat industry, and arose from spending a year "imagining how climate change might impact the future of food consumption."¹⁵

He was reportedly upset about the fact that "the focus quickly became centered on accusations that we were promoting cannibalism." At the time, The New York Times reported:¹⁶

"'Ouroboros Steak' examines, but does not promote, auto-cannibalism as a satirical take on the increasing demand for meat products around the world, which scientists have warned will likely contribute to carbon emissions and reduced biodiversity.

The designers hoped that shocking audiences with the suggestion would trigger an examination of environmental responsibility and the clean-meat industry, which has promoted itself as producing 'kill-free' food, although most companies heavily rely on fetal bovine serum harvested during the slaughter of pregnant cows for cell cultivation."

While the designers may have had pure intentions, the end result is still something that benefits the depraved agenda of the global elite. It's also worth noting that livestock —

raised in a regenerative fashion, and not in concentrated animal feeding operations (CAFOs) — actually have a very positive impact on the climate.

Are They Trying to Normalize Cannibalism? Absolutely

Taking the human steak idea a step further, a company called BiteLabs claims to be selling artisanal salami made from lab-grown celebrity flesh.¹⁷ According to their website, they intend to collect biopsy samples from celebrities, isolate the muscle cells, and then grow the celebrity meat using a proprietary bioreactor.

The lab-grown flesh is then cured, dried, aged and spiced according to Italian tradition. It's unclear whether any celebrities have signed up to become salami, but the company does appear to be real. New York Grub Street wrote an article about the startup in 2014.¹⁸ IFL Science¹⁹ followed up in January 2022, noting that "It's perfectly possible" to create salami from cloned celebrity meat.

Other examples of an ongoing effort to normalize cannibalism include a 2018 article discussing the benefits of teenage blood plasma,²⁰ said to have rejuvenating effects. A 2.5-liter order was said to cost about \$8,000 at the time. In a bit of predictive programming, the film "Soylent Green" — in which the protagonist realizes the government food being handed out is made from humans — was set in the year 2022.²¹ And then, there's Katy Perry (below).

Vegan Burger Made to Taste Like Human Flesh

Continuing the parade of cannibalism-normalizing trends, in June 2022, a vegan burger said to "taste like human meat" won a silver award at the annual Cannes Lions festival of Creativity.^{22,23} The human meat burger, made from soy, mushrooms, wheat protein, plant-based fats and a secret spice mix, debuted during a 2021 Halloween festival in Stockholm, Sweden.

The video above was the ad for that event. While they state that "no humans were injured in the development of this product," one obviously wonders how they came up with the taste profile for human meat in the first place.

Perhaps they invented it, and it actually doesn't taste anything like human flesh. Who'd be able to tell the difference? Either way, this is yet another example where the idea of cannibalism is embraced and lauded.

'Cannibals Against Climate Change'

Coincidentally, another Swede, professor Magnus Soderlund, made headlines in 2019 by suggesting "humans should become cannibals to fight climate change, because eating human flesh is more 'sustainable' than the meat industry."²⁴ He even insisted cannibalism was a more sustainable option than a diet of insects. While his concept didn't grow legs right then and there, it seems someone, somewhere, took note of his insane ideas.

While cannibalism has indeed featured on and off throughout human history, it was typically — although with some notable exceptions — a measure of last resort. People ate family members and neighbors to survive famine.²⁵

To suggest that the most advanced human civilization in known memory start eating each other “to save the environment” is unreasonable in the extreme. We have regenerative farming methods that would ease most of our environmental concerns. There’s absolutely no reason to jump from industrial farming all the way to cannibalism.

Green Agenda Seeks to Normalize Lowered Standard of Living

Much of the supposed “inspiration” behind the promotion of unnatural diets is said to come from a desire to save the planet. While that’s admirable, it’s important to realize that the entire “green” agenda — as it is currently promoted — is nothing but a ruse, a scare tactic, to bring people to the point of accepting living conditions that would otherwise be unacceptable, such as eating a diet of bugs, drinking reclaimed sewage water and even, apparently, cannibalism.

The green agenda is based on cherry-picked flawed ideas, such as the idea that nitrogen fertilizer is a pollutant that must be reined in by eliminating farming. Without farmers, what will we eat? The technocratic, transhumanist-loving cabal’s answer: Weeds, bugs and, possibly, each other.

It sounds wild and crazy, but they really do want to get rid of as many people as possible, and no strategy is ethically off-limits, be it the promotion of abortion, the elimination of fossil fuels without having a workable replacement, the mandating of experimental gene transfer injections, insect diets, reclaimed sewage water or cannibalism.

By the way, a number of U.S. states have also adopted alkaline hydrolysis²⁶ as an alternative to cremation, where dead bodies are dissolved and the water from the process is flushed down the sewer. What could possibly go wrong by combining the flushing of water used to dissolve human remains into the sewer and then repurposing that same water into drinking water? Even if mostly symbolic, this too is a form of cannibalism.

Why Are the Elitists’ ‘Answers’ so Gross?

If you’re like most, you’re probably wondering why everything the technocratic cabal presents as “the answer” to our global woes is so darn gross and dehumanizing. The simple answer is that we’re not human to them. We’re a commodity, like cattle, that exist for their benefit and exploitation. They’re “above” the rest of us. We may not have a caste system, officially, but there are two classes in this world.

Before farmers realized that mad cow was created by the feeding of bovine parts back to cattle, this practice was commonplace. And, frankly speaking, the technocratic elitists see us the same way. Why not feed useless eaters back to the ones that still have some worker-bee value? To them, that’s just plain rational.

It’s efficient, and technocracy is based in large part on the efficiency of any given system. Robots are more efficient than humans, hence robots are the better choice. Artificial intelligence is more efficient than the human intellect, and hence they want to merge with it. What to do with useless humans, is the question. And the answer is to get rid of them, in

whatever way works, without raising the ire of too many peasants.

Celebrities Embrace Bug Diet

For now, the normalization of cannibalism is still in its infancy. But the fact that bugs are on the menu — now, today — is undeniable. Celebrities, of course, have a role to play in any successful social engineering project, and in the video above, the actress Nicole Kidman takes one for the team.

“Three million people in the world eat bugs, and I’m one of them,” she says, wolfing down a number of different insects, some live and others fried for a crispy crunch. You can bet your bottom dollar that the fried ones were fried in seed oils. My skepticism of her genuineness aside, research has concluded that celebrities can indeed “persuade people to eat insects.”

As reported by PsychReg.org:²⁷

“Using celebrity endorsements in adverts for insect-based foods can increase people’s willingness to include insects in their diet, finds new research from BI Norwegian Business School (BI), Chuo University, Miyagi University, and Oxford University.

To combat and prevent a global food crisis, we need to explore alternative protein sources. The UN has urged people to consider the consumption of insects as they are nutritious, sustainable, and readily available worldwide. However, many struggles with the concept of eating insects ...

The findings showed that celebrities’ perceived trustworthiness, knowledge about insect-based foods, and appropriateness are crucial factors in increasing people’s willingness to eat insects.

However, different genders responded differently: For men, ads featuring actors or athletes were most effective, while only actors effectively influenced women. In comparison, musicians did not appear to be as influential. Using musicians in ads made women less willing to consume insect-based foods ...

‘Our findings demonstrate that celebrity endorsement can be a very effective strategy to increase consumer interest in eating more insects, as long as the right celebrity is targeted at the right gender.’”

Obviously, this research is not languishing in a hidden drawer. The technocratic Great Reset adherents are putting the findings to work. Examples of celebrities espousing the deliciousness and healthiness of bugs include Drew Barrymore, Robert Downey Jr., celebrity chef Gordon Ramsay, Salma Hayek and Angelina Jolie, just to name a few.²⁸

Other Types of ‘Cannibalism’

While cannibalism is now being approached in a more head-on manner, humans have been ingesting and taking in other humans in other ways for many years. For example, human aborted fetal cells are frequently used in the development of vaccines.

And, while human cells are not present in the final product, DNA fragments and cell proteins can still be present. To learn more about this process, see [this article](#). A short excerpt reads

as follows:²⁹

“Apart from any moral conflict one might have over the use of aborted fetuses for vaccine production, we need to remember that DNA from the aborted fetuses actually ends up in vaccines as a contaminant ...

Independent research³⁰ has found that vaccines manufactured in human fetal cell lines contain ‘unacceptably high levels of fetal DNA fragment contaminants.’ These fragments, while in trace amounts, are still biologically active once injected into the body of another individual via vaccine.

Vaccines elicit systemic immune activation and inflammatory responses, which increases the likelihood of foreign DNA uptake into the host’s genome. And in fact, it has been found that fetal cell DNA can spontaneously integrate into the genome of the vaccinated person.”

Other products that use aborted fetus parts in their development include cosmetics, pharmaceutical drugs and flavor enhancers found in many foods and beverages.³¹ Is the use of aborted fetal cells in these kinds of products justifiable?

When Ends Justify the Means, Bad Things Happen

Surprisingly, according to Human Life International (HLI),³² a supposedly pro-life, Catholic organization, it is justifiable if it’s “in the service of humanity.” HLI believes vaccines are in the service of humanity, so therefore the use of aborted fetal cells in the development of vaccines is acceptable. Cosmetics, however, cannot save lives, so therefore, the “disgusting practice” of using fetuses in the creation of cosmetics should be opposed.

We have good, solid solutions for our environmental concerns. Regenerative agriculture — which includes and indeed requires livestock — is the way to go if we really want to clean up the globe, reduce water consumption and normalize weather.

It seems to me that this is really splitting hairs. HLI is basically saying that the ends justify the means, even if otherwise deemed abhorrent and potentially unhealthy (as injecting another person’s DNA fragments can be). By that rationale, cannibalism could be justified in the name of environmental protection (as some people insist), and I really don’t think we ought to go there.

As mentioned earlier, we have good, solid solutions for our environmental concerns. Regenerative agriculture — which includes and indeed requires livestock — is the way to go if we really want to clean up the globe, reduce water consumption and normalize weather.

The notion that our only way out is a diet of insects and cannibalism is foolishly ignorant in the extreme, and needs to be opposed at every turn. Those are not foundational solutions in the least. They are tools to enslave, denigrate and dehumanize humanity, invented by people who see every steak on your plate as something that has been stolen from theirs.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global

Research articles.

Notes

¹ [WEforum June 3, 2020](#)

² [WEF July 12, 2021](#)

³ [NewsWars March 22, 2021](#)

⁴ [Twitter WEF January 21, 2021](#)

⁵ [Twitter WEF October 14, 2018](#)

⁶ [Time February 26, 2021](#)

⁷ [Bloomberg May 19, 2021](#)

⁸ [LA Times July 22, 2022](#)

⁹ [The New York Times July 23, 2022 \(Archived\)](#)

¹⁰ [Twitter NYT July 23, 2022](#)

¹¹ [Real Clear Politics May 23, 2022](#)

¹² [Misbar Fact Check July 25, 2022](#)

¹³ [New York Times Novemer 18, 2011 \(Archived\)](#)

^{14, 15, 16} [The New York Times December 7, 2020 \(Archived\)](#)

¹⁷ [Bitelabs.org](#)

¹⁸ [Grub Street February 27, 2014](#)

¹⁹ [IFL Science January 18, 2022](#)

²⁰ [The Times September 8, 2018](#)

²¹ [Maajid Nawaz Substack July 27, 2022](#)

²² [Washington Examiner June 28, 2022](#)

²³ [NY Post June 28, 2022](#)

²⁴ [Daily Mail September 9, 2019](#)

²⁵ [Vox July 22, 2015](#)

²⁶ [Cremation Association Alkaline Hydrolysis](#)

²⁷ [Psychreg.org February 1, 2022](#)

²⁸ [Buzzing Substack April 1, 2022](#)

²⁹ [Think Love Healthy July 6, 2017](#)

³⁰ [Issues Law Med 2015; 30\(1\): 47-70](#)

³¹ [J&J Ranch August 24, 2021](#)

³² [HLI January 7, 2022](#)

Featured image is from Mercola

The original source of this article is [Mercola](#)
Copyright © [Dr. Joseph Mercola](#), [Mercola](#), 2022

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Dr. Joseph Mercola](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca