

Global Warming On Trial: US Senator Inhofe Calls For Investigation Of UN IPCC

Climate change alarmists engaged in desperate whitewash, but scandal is not going away

By <u>Paul Joseph Watson</u> Global Research, November 24, 2009 <u>Prison Planet</u> 23 November 2009 Theme: <u>Environment</u>, <u>United Nations</u> In-depth Report: <u>Climate Change</u>

In response to the astounding revelations arising out of the hacked CRU emails, Senator Jim Inhofe has stated that unless something is done within the next seven days, he will lead the call for a rigorous investigation into mounting evidence that top climate scientists conspired to manipulate data to hide evidence of global cooling while engaging in academic witch hunts to eliminate scientists skeptical of man-made climate change.

Speaking on the Americas Morning Show earlier today, Inhofe, Ranking Member of the Senate Environment and Public Works Committee, said the new revelations proved what he has been warning about for over four years, that politicians and bias-driven climate scientists affiliated with the UN IPCC have been fraudulently "cooking the science" to conform to their agenda.

"If nothing happens in the next seven days when we go back into session a week from today that would change this situation, I will call for an investigation," said Inhofe. "Cause this thing is serious, you think about the literally millions of dollars that have been thrown away on some of this stuff that they came out with."

Asked what he would call for an investigation of, Inhofe responded, "On the IPCC and on the United Nations on the way that they cooked the science to make this thing look as if the science was settled, when all the time of course we knew it was not."

Meanwhile, even some pro-man made global warming advocates have conceded that an investigation is necessary.

Bob Ward, director of policy and communications at the Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment at the London School of Economics, <u>told the London</u> <u>Guardian</u>that only a rigorous investigation could clear the names of those accused of manipulating the data, admitting that the emails "created the impression of impropriety," which is a lot further than most have gone in accepting the damning nature of the hacked data.

Indeed, the British Met Office performed the equivalent of a child sticking his fingers in his ears by merely attempting to dismiss the emails altogether, without even explaining what was meant when scientists at CRU talked about pulling "tricks" to "hide the decline" in temperatures.

A spokesman at the Met Office, which jointly produces global temperature datasets with the Climate Research Unit, said there was no need for an inquiry. "If you look at the emails, there isn't any evidence that the data was falsified and there's no evidence that climate change is a hoax. It's a shame that some of the sceptics have had to take this rather shallow attempt to discredit robust science undertaken by some of the world's most respected scientists. The bottom line is that temperatures continue to rise and humans are responsible for it. We have every confidence in the science and the various datasets we use. The peerreview process is as robust as it could possibly be. It's no surprise, with the Copenhagen talks just days away, that this has happened now."

As James Delingpole of the Telegraph highlights, alarmists are not going to be effected by the scandal, because they will allow nothing whatsoever to corrupt their religious belief system. "They've made up their minds and no quantity of contrary evidence, however devastating, is going to shake their considered position of "Nyah nyah nyah. Got my fingers in my ears. Not listening. The world IS warming and it's man's fault. Must tax carbon now...."

However, there seems little doubt that this bombshell will go a long way to derailing, or at least delaying the agenda for a <u>global carbon tax that will be collected by the very same</u> <u>elitists aggressively pushing the fraud</u> of global warming.

The original source of this article is <u>Prison Planet</u> Copyright © <u>Paul Joseph Watson</u>, <u>Prison Planet</u>, 2009

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Paul Joseph Watson

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

<u>www.globalresearch.ca</u> contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca