

## Gaddafi Death: A Bullet to the Head is the Culmination of NATO's Criminal War

By <u>Finian Cunningham</u> Global Research, October 22, 2011 22 October 2011 Region: <u>Middle East & North Africa</u> Theme: <u>Crimes against Humanity</u>, <u>US</u> <u>NATO War Agenda</u> In-depth Report: <u>NATO'S WAR ON LIBYA</u>

The shocking images of Muammar Gaddafi being hauled while dazed, confused and bloodsoaked on to the back of a pick-up truck by gun-toting opposition fighters cannot disguise the awful reality – the Libyan leader was lynched on the street, executed in a squalid form of summary "justice".

His murder by NATO-backed militants is in many ways a fitting end to a seven-month campaign of criminal war and atrocities by the US, Britain, France, Canada and other western powers.

After several weeks of military siege of Gaddafi's hometown of Sirte, during which civilians were bombarded by NATO warplanes, the Libyan leader was dragged from a drainage pipe before being fatally shot. The involvement of NATO in this climactic act of savagery cannot be denied.

Apparently, a convoy carrying Gaddafi attempting to flee from Sirte was attacked by NATO jets – various reports say British and French jets – rendering him to the hands of the NATO-backed fighters on the ground.

Mobile phone images showed 69-year-old Gaddafi being manhandled, barely able to stay on his feet. His vest was a bloody mess. It is not clear whether his injuries were incurred during the earlier NATO air attack on his convoy or at the hands of his captors. But moments later, similar images show his lifeless body with a gunshot to the left side of the head. His captors also claimed that he had been shot in the upper body with a 9mm handgun. Just before his killing, one of Gaddafi's captors was seen brandishing a handgun at his head.

The NATO-backed Transitional National Council is now contradicting the version of events told by its fighters, claiming – somewhat incredibly – that the former Libyan leader was shot in crossfire between soldiers loyal to Gaddafi and the TNC's fighters.

Washington has subsequently called for an "open and transparent" inquiry into how Gaddafi was killed. Such a call can be seen as a cynical attempt to obfuscate the appalling fact that NATO is an accessory to a war crime – the cold-blooded murder of a defenceless prisoner.

Certainly, the initial reaction of Western leaders and media could not contain their glee at the news of Gaddafi's brutal slaying.

The Financial Times declared that his "timely death" opened up a new beginning for the

North African country; the Daily Telegraph crowed how Gaddafi was hauled from "a sewer" and given "a bullet to the head". The New York Times intoned that Gaddafi's killing "vindicated" Obama's war strategy in Libya, while the Christian Science Monitor asserted that "Gaddafi death gives NATO its 'mission accomplished' moment in Libya" and went on to ponder if it provided "a model for future US interventions".

Obama, Cameron, Sarkosy and Merkel were quick to glorify the execution.

German Chancellor Angela Merkel said: "The path is now finally clear for a fresh political start, in peace. Germany is relieved and very happy about this," she added.

US President Barack Obama called the death a "momentous day in the history of Libya".

Britain's David Cameron also could not restrain his satisfaction, declaring that he was "proud of the role played by Britain in Libya's liberation". Cameron appeared to excuse the roadside execution: "I think today is a day to remember all of Colonel Gaddafi's victims... We should also remember the many, many Libyans who died at the hands of this brutal dictator and his regime."

Few people would deny that Muammar Gaddafi's 42-year rule was without allegations of human rights violations. Indeed, that did not stop Western leaders cosying up to Gaddafi at times when it suited them. Former British Prime Minister Tony Blair flew to Tripoli to have several secret meetings with Gaddafi and on one occasion lobbied on behalf of investment bank JP Morgan.

Whatever crimes Gaddafi is alleged to have committed does not mitigate the fact that he was summarily executed by NATO-backed forces and that this appalling extrajudicial killing was greeted by Western leaders with approval and applause.

His murder marks the lawlessness and barbarity with which Western governments are now overtly operating in pursuit of their foreign policy objectives.

The squalid demise of Gaddafi is reminiscent of Iraq's Saddam Hussein. He too was at one time feted by Western leaders when, like Gaddafi, it suited their self-serving interests. But when their mercurial interests dictated, he too was crushed and discarded. Like Gaddafi, Saddam Hussein was captured and dragged from a hole in the ground. But at least in the case of Saddam, the Western powers felt obliged to go through a sham court prosecution before he was lynched. No longer, it seems, are Western governments restrained by sham niceties in their method of discarding opponents. A bullet to the head on the side of the road will do.

Finian Cunnningham is Global Research's Middle East and East Africa correspondent

cunninghamfin@yahoo.com

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright  $\[mathbb{C}]$  Finian Cunningham, Global Research, 2011

Articles by: Finian Cunningham

## About the author:

Finian Cunningham has written extensively on international affairs, with articles published in several languages. Many of his recent articles appear on the renowned Canadian-based news website Globalresearch.ca. He is a Master's graduate in Agricultural Chemistry and worked as a scientific editor for the Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge, England, before pursuing a career in journalism. He specialises in Middle East and East Africa issues and has also given several American radio interviews as well as TV interviews on Press TV and Russia Today. Previously, he was based in Bahrain and witnessed the political upheavals in the Persian Gulf kingdom during 2011 as well as the subsequent Saudi-led brutal crackdown against pro-democracy protests.

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>

<u>www.globalresearch.ca</u> contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca