

# From White to Black: The Colours of Brexit

By [Dr. Binoy Kampmark](#)

Region: [Europe](#)

Global Research, December 17, 2016

*While the shattering Brexit vote of June had a deservedly chilling impact in Brussels and other European capitals, the grey suits have been busy pushing various lines on the consequences Britain faces for leaving the European Union. The technocrats in Europe will be making sure they make things as difficult as possible. Back in London, rhetoric and deflection is in heavy supply.*

Various multinational companies find the notion of uncertainty certain economic death. Japanese and US firms, for instance, have sought clarity on what passporting arrangements will exist in a post-Brexit order. So far, they have gotten little other than poorly minted assurances.

The defect of those assurances lies in the inability on the part of officials in London to know exactly what the EU will do. The EU, in turn, is also wondering what that position will manifest. To make war, it is always wise to know the strategy of your opponent.

As the *Economist* reports, the view in Europe on Britain's logistical quandary has become "the sexiest file in town". It is daring, it is challenging, and it seems to some, near hopeless. The hopeless element is not incurred because of pessimism; it merely seems that all sides are having each other on, mixing the bag of seduction with that of indecency.

Everyone is accusing the other of feeding uncertainty. EU officials have been accused of creating it for not clarifying the position of British expats in Europe; Donald Tusk of the European Council has said in kind that the British decision to leave the EU was the cause of all the headaches, in turn causing EU expats in Britain troubling concern.

"Would you not agree," he claimed supremely in a note released on Twitter, "that the only source of anxiety and uncertainty is rather the decision on Brexit?"<sup>[1]</sup> When officials need a worthy scapegoat, the unruly outcome of the democratic will always be there.

Theresa May's government has been telling the British public that there will be no "soft" or "hard" Brexit, but a "red, white, and blue Brexit." That particularly statement, made during a visit to attend the Gulf Co-operation Council, was a weak retort to the mooted idea that a "grey Brexit" was circulating as an idea.

The idea of a more ambiguous greying Brexit has its roots in the offices of the chancellor, Philip Hammond, and Brexit secretary, David Davis. (The May cabinet these days is an uncertain one.) In what started looking like projections from a set of colour crayons, variants of Brexit were being thrown around from the lightest form ("white Brexit") which would supposedly not defeat the referendum's aim while keeping Britain in the EU market system, to that of the darkest ("black Brexit"), which would terrify those providing financial services.

The greyer variant would entail an analogous arrangement with that of Canada: limits on immigration favouring skilled migrants would take place alongside access to various parts of the free zone.<sup>[2]</sup>

May's response to the crayon version of Brexit was to steer the cause back to the bromides of false patriotism, and perhaps false hope. "I'm interested in all these terms that have been identified – hard Brexit, soft Brexit, black Brexit, white Brexit, grey Brexit – and actually what we should be looking for is a red, white, and blue Brexit."

Such flag-driven terms are meaningless, vacuous, even silly. The consistency of what May's version of Brexit is vague, and again suggests a different message for a different audience. There is no need to define the strategy, merely the outcome. "That is the right deal for the United Kingdom, what is going to be the right relationship for the UK with the European Union once we've left."

On that score, the Labour opposition did, at the very least, secure a promise from May that her government publish the Brexit plan before the formalities of Article 50 of the Lisbon Treaty are triggered. That plan is bound to spawn a new industry, creating specialists on how to evacuate from a tightly bound, financial and social compact.

The plan, for the moment, remains shrouded. As Italy's Europe minister, Sandro Gozi, explained, the case in London seemed "far from clear". As was the starting basis for negotiations. "It seems there are disagreements and divisions within the cabinet. There are many uncertainties."

May's message to Michel Barnier, charged with the task of Brexit from the EU side, will be a different one from that directed to British audiences. There are few choices on the table, with Barnier insisting that "time will be very short" for the negotiation period. "It's clear that the period of actual negotiations will be shorter than two years. All in all, there will be less than 18 months to negotiate."<sup>[3]</sup>

Barnier's promises have verged on threatening, though they have been delivered with tepid calm. For one, he is busying himself identifying a common position with all of the 27 remaining members in the EU towards Britain. This should be completed by the end of January.

The unmistakable emphasis here is that of inferiority: the British decision to leave, Barnier promises, will be saddled with consequences, placing the country in a position worse than it would be if it remains. "Being in the EU comes with rights and benefits. The single market and its four freedoms are indivisible. Cherry picking is not an option."

Barnier might have also reflected on the other side of the European problem: the populist challenge to grey, bureaucratic technocracy; the need for institutional reform that does more than utter financial messages and praise the God Market or Civil Servant King. The May government may well be struggling with its strategy on exit, but the mandarins on the continent should be equally troubled by a strategy that is failing to curb a far deeper, inner rage.

*Dr. Binoy Kampmark was a Commonwealth Scholar at Selwyn College, Cambridge. He lectures at RMIT University, Melbourne. Email: [bkampmark@gmail.com](mailto:bkampmark@gmail.com)*

Notes

[1] <https://www.ft.com/content/4a211c30-dadd-32b6-8479-5d9adef0e5e3>

[2] <https://www.theguardian.com/politics/2016/dec/06/theresa-may-calls-for-red-white-and-blue-brex-it>

[3] <https://www.theguardian.com/politics/2016/dec/06/uk-will-have-under-18-months-to-negotiate-deal-says-eus-brexit-broker>

The original source of this article is Global Research  
Copyright © [Dr. Binoy Kampmark](#), Global Research, 2016

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Dr. Binoy  
Kampmark](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)