

# The Multiple Hands of British Intelligence. “The Real British Empire is A Nasty Beast”

From Dodgy Dossiers to the Sacking of Whitlam: The British Empire Stands Exposed

By [Matthew Ehret-Kump](#)

Global Research, June 22, 2020

[Strategic Culture Foundation](#) 18 June 2020

Region: [Europe](#)

Theme: [History](#), [Intelligence](#)

*In my last article, I reviewed the case of Gough Whitlam's firing at the hands of the Queen's Governor General Sir John Kerr during a dark day in November 1975 which mis-shaped the next 45 years of Australian history. Today I would like to tackle another chapter of the story.*

*I used to believe as many do, in a story called “the American Empire”. Over the last decade of research, that belief has changed a bit. The more I looked at the top down levers of world influence shaping past and present events that altered history, the hand of British Intelligence just kept slapping me squarely in the face at nearly every turn.*

Who controlled the dodgy Steele dossier that put Russiagate into motion and nearly overthrew President Trump? [British Intelligence](#).

How about the intelligence used to justify the bombing of Iraq? [That was British Intelligence too.](#)

How about the Clash of Civilizations strategy used to blow up the middle east over decades? [That just so happened to be British Intelligence's own Sir Bernard Lewis.](#)

How about the CFR takeover over of American foreign policy during the 20th century? [That is the British Roundtable Movement in America](#) (created as Britain's Chatham House in America in 1921).

Who did Kissinger brag that he briefed more than his own State Department at a May 10, 1981 Chatham House seminar? [The British Foreign Office](#) (1).

How about William Yandall Elliot who trained a generation of neocon strategists who took over American foreign policy after the murder of JFK? Well, [he was a Rhodes Scholar and we know what they are zombified to do.](#)

How about the financial empire running the world drug trade? [Well HSBC is the proven leading agency of that game](#) and the British Caymen islands is the known center of world offshore drug money laundering.

Who ushered in the Cold War? [Churchill.](#)

Where did the nouveaux riche oligarchs go after Putin kicked them out of Russia? [Back to their handlers in London.](#)

What about the creation of ‘too big to fail’ banks that took over the world over the past decades? [That was launched by the City of London’s Big Bang of 1986](#)

Who created Saudi Arabia and the state of Israel in the 20th century (as well as both nations’ intelligence agencies?) [The British.](#)

What was the nature of the Deep State that Presidents Lincoln, Garfield, McKinley, Harding, FDR, and JFK combatted within their own nations?

What the heck was the American Revolution all about in the first place?

I could go on, but I think you get my point.

### The Disrupted Post-WWII Potential

Franklin Roosevelt described his deep understanding of British operations in America, telling his son in 1943:

“You know, any number of times the men in the State Department have tried to conceal messages to me, delay them, hold them up somehow, just because some of those career diplomats over there aren’t in accord with what they know I think. They should be working for Winston. As a matter of fact, a lot of the time, they are [working for Churchill]. Stop to think of ‘em: any number of ‘em are convinced that the way for America to conduct its foreign policy is to find out what the British are doing and then copy that!” I was told... six years ago, to clean out that State Department. It’s like the British Foreign Office....”

Where the British Empire certainly adapted to the unstoppable post-WWII demands for political independence among its colonies, it is vital to keep in mind that no empire willfully dissolves or “gives its slaves freedom” without a higher evil agenda in mind. Freedom is fought for and not given by empires which never had a reason to seek humility or enlightenment required for freedom to be granted.

In the case of the post-war world, the deliverance of political freedom among colonies of the “former British Empire” was never accompanied by an ounce of economic freedom to give that liberation any meaning. Although it took a few years to iron out America’s anti-colonial impulses over the deaths of such figures as JFK, Malcolm X, MLK and RFK, eventually the rebellious republic was slowly converted into a dumb giant on behalf of the “British brains” controlling America’s Deep State from across the ocean.

### The Case of Africa and the Crown Agents

Take the case of Africa as a quick example: Over 70% of the mineral control of African raw materials, mining, and refining are run by companies based in Britain or Commonwealth nations like Canada, South Africa or Australia managed by an international infrastructure of managers called “Crown Agents Ltd” ([founded in 1833 as the administrative arm of the Empire](#) and which still runs much of Africa’s health, and economic development policies [to this day](#)).

Crown Agents was originally set up as a non-profit with the mandate to manage British Empire holdings in Asia and Africa and its charter recognizes it as [“an emanation of the Crown”](#). While it is “close to the monarchy” it is still outside governmental structures

affording it the ability to get its hands dirtier than other “official” branches of government (resulting in the occasional case of World Bank debarment [as happened in 2011](#)).

In 1996 Crown Agents was privatized as ‘Crown Agents for Overseas Government and Administration’ where it became active in Central and Eastern Europe with its greatest focus on Ukraine’s economic, energy and health management. The agency is partnered with the World Bank, UN and Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation and acts as a giant holding company with one shareholder called the Crown Agents Foundation based in Southwark London.

A big part of Crown Agents’ program is designed to [embed Africa](#) with “green energy grids” [as part of the anti-BRI OSOWOG Plan](#) (surnamed “Sun Never Sets Plan”) announced by Modi in 2018.

As outlined in the 2016 report [New Colonialism: Britain’s Scramble for African Energy and Mineral Resources](#):

“101 companies listed on the London Stock Exchange (LSE) — most of them British — have mining operations in 37 sub-Saharan African countries. They collectively control over \$1 trillion worth of Africa’s most valuable resources. The UK government has used its power and influence to ensure that British mining companies have access to Africa’s raw materials. This was the case during the colonial period and is still the case today.”

As we can see by this most summary overview of the modern imperial looting operations of Africa, the spirit of Cecil Rhodes is alive and well. This will take on an additional meaning as we look at another aspect of Rhodes’ powerful legacy in the 20th century.

### The British Takeover of American Intelligence

Although many falsely believe that Britain was replaced with an American Empire after WWII, the sad truth on closer inspection is that British assets embedded in America’s early deep state (often Rhodes Scholars and Fabian Society assets tied to the Council on Foreign Relations/[Chatham House of America](#)) were behind a purge of leaders loyal to [FDR’s vision for the post-colonial world](#). These purges resulted in the dismantling of the OSS months after FDR died, and the formation of the CIA in 1947 as a new weapon to carry out coups, assassinations and subversions of leaders within America and abroad seeking economic independence from the British Empire. This history was outlined brilliantly by Cynthia Chung in her paper [Secret Wars, Forgotten Betrayals, Global Tyranny: Who is Really in Charge of the U.S. Military](#).

[The Five Eyes grew out of these British imperial operations](#) which essentially followed the mandate set out by Cecil Rhodes in his [7th Will](#) calling for a new global British Empire and recapturing of the lost colony. In his will, Rhodes asks:

“Why should we not form a secret society with but one object the furtherance of the British Empire and the bringing of the whole uncivilised world under British rule, for the recovery of the United States, and for the making the Anglo-Saxon race but one Empire...”

Later on in his will Rhodes stated: “Let us form the same kind of society, a Church for the extension of the British Empire. A society which should have its members in every part of

the British Empire working with one object and one idea we should have its members placed at our universities and our schools and should watch the English youth passing through their hands just one perhaps in every thousand would have the mind and feelings for such an object, he should be tried in every way, he should be tested whether he is endurant, possessed of eloquence, disregarding of the petty details of life, and if found to be such, then elected and bound by oath to serve for the rest of his life in his Country. He should then be supported if without means by the Society and sent to that part of the Empire where it was felt he was needed."

Among the four Anglo-Saxon members of the Five Eyes that have the Queen as the official head of state (Britain, Canada, Australia and New Zealand), all feature irrational forms of government structured entirely around Deep State principles organized within two opposing forms of social organizing: democratic and oligarchical... with the true seat of power being oligarchical.

Because this peculiar self-contradictory form of government is so little understood today, and because its structure has made Britain's globally extended empire so successful, a few words should be devoted to it now.

#### A House Divided Against Itself...

In the case of Westminster-modelled Parliamentary systems, Senates represent the House of Lords, while Houses of Commons (for the Commoners) represent the elected parts of government. A prime minister selected by the governing party is assumed to be that nation's leader, but unlike republican forms of government, instead of the "buck stopping there" (at least legally speaking), it is precisely there that the true sphere of power only begins to be felt.

Here parliamentary/quasi-democratic systems projected for public consumption find themselves enshrined within a much more shadowy and Byzantine world of Governor Generals (acting as the heads of state) who give Royal Assents to all acts and wielding the infinite prerogative powers of the Queen (aka: the "Fount of All Honors"). In the British Imperial system, hereditary power is seen as the source of all authority for all aspects of government, military, and economic- whereas in republican forms of government that authority is seen as deriving from the consent of the governed.

Where rights are "granted by the sovereign" within hereditary governments, republican forms of government recognize correctly that rights are fundamentally "inalienable" to humanity (in principle though not always in practice as the troubled history of America can attest).

By being essentially the legal "cause" of all authority among every branch of the British official and unofficial corridors of power, an obvious absurdity strikes which the empire would prefer plebs not think too seriously about: The queen and her heirs cannot themselves be UNDER any law, since they "cause" the law. This means that the queen, her heirs and anyone whom she delegates authority to literally have "licenses to kill". The queen cannot be taken to court and she has no need of a passport or even a drivers' license... since these items are issued by her crown's authority alone. Within the logic of British legal systems, she cannot be held legally accountable for anything which the Crown has done to anyone or any nation of the world.

Although much effort goes into portraying the Crown's prerogative powers as merely symbolic, they cover nearly every branch of governance and have occasionally been used... although those British spheres of influence where they most apply are usually so self-regulating that they require very little input from such external influence to keep them in line.

These powers were first revealed publicly in 2003 and in an article titled '[Mystery Lifted on the Prerogative Powers](#)', the London Guardian noted that these powers include (but are not limited to):

"Domestic Affairs, the appointment and dismissal of ministers, the summoning, prorogation and dissolution of Parliament, Royal assent to bills, the appointment and regulation of the civil service, the commissioning of officers in the armed forces, directing the disposition of the armed forces in the UK (and other Commonwealth nations), appointment of Queen's Counsel, Issue and withdrawal of passports, Prerogative of mercy. (Used to apply in capital punishment cases. Still used, eg to remedy errors in sentence calculation), granting honours, creation of corporations by Charter, foreign Affairs, the making of treaties, declaration of war, deployment of armed forces overseas, recognition of foreign states, and accreditation and reception of diplomats."

When a [2009 bill was introduced](#) into parliament proposing that these powers be limited, a Privy Council-led Justice Ministry review concluded that such limitations would "'dangerously weaken" the state's ability to respond to a crisis' and the bill was promptly killed.

Acting on Provincial levels, we find Lieutenant Governors who (in Canada) happen to be members of the Freemasonic Knights of St John of Jerusalem (patronized by the Queen herself).

All figures operating with these authorities within this strange Byzantine world are themselves a part of, or beholden to figures sworn into the Queen's Privy Council- putting their allegiance under the total authority of the Queen and her heirs, rather than the people or nation in which that subject serves and lives. If this is hard to believe, then take the time to listen to Canadian Prime Minister Justin Trudeau's oath upon entering the Privy Council to get a visceral taste of this medieval policy in action (every cabinet member, Prime Minister and opposition leader must take this oath if they are to be granted intelligence briefings from her majesty's intelligence services.)

Take note that not even once does the welfare of the people or the nation arise in this oath.

#### Standing Defiant Against Natural Law

Despite these un-natural power structures, history has shown that from time to time, good leaders have found themselves in executive positions of high office. As rare as they are, such anomalies occurred in the cases of Canada's Prime Ministers Wilfrid Laurier (1896-1911) and John Diefenbaker (1957-1963), Quebec Premiers Paul Sauvé (1959), Daniel Johnson Senior (1967-68), and Australia's Gough Whitlam (1972-1975). Yet when these anomalies arise and such figures trespass beyond their acceptable sphere of action into policy territories reserved only for the governing elite, then more often than not a Rhodes Scholar-run coup occurs [Laurier 1911 (2), [Diefenbaker 1963](#)], an untimely death strikes [Sauvé 1959 and [Johnson 1968](#)] or a sacking by the Queen's Governor General happens

[Whitlam 1975].

In all aforementioned cases, Democratic institutions that are premised around the concept that all citizens are made equal and free in the image of a creator are never long tolerated within the cage of a system of oligarchism premised upon the belief that only one person is sovereign and her/his word is absolute law for all slaves, and minions of the ruling bloodline.

As Gough Whitlam [discovered in 1975](#), the real British Empire is a nasty beast, and probably one which should have gone extinct a couple of centuries ago. Unfortunately, until this moment, history has been tainted by more than a few disruptions of progressive leaders who sacrificed their comfort, careers, and often their lives to resist this stubborn parasite which would rather suck its host dry than admit that the system of organization upon which it is based is an abomination to natural law and morality.

\*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above or below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

*Matthew J.L. Ehret is a journalist, lecturer and founder of the Canadian Patriot Review. He can be reached at [matt.ehret@tutamail.com](mailto:matt.ehret@tutamail.com)*

## Notes

(1) Kissinger stated at that event: "The British were so matter-of-factly helpful that they became a participant in internal American deliberations, to a degree probably never practiced between sovereign nations... In my White House incarnation then, I kept the British Foreign Office better informed and more closely engaged than I did the American State Department... It was symptomatic".

(2) After his 1911 coup coordinated by the early members of the Round Table Movement, the Ontario Orange Men and forces around Quebec's Henri Bourassa, Wilfrid Laurier lamented the loss of Canada's sovereignty saying: "*Canada is now governed by a junta sitting at London, known as 'The Round Table', with ramifications in Toronto, in Winnipeg, in Victoria, with Tories and Grits receiving their ideas from London and insidiously forcing them on their respective parties.*" [O.D. Skelton, *The Life of Sir Wilfrid Laurier*, p. 510]

The original source of this article is [Strategic Culture Foundation](#)  
Copyright © [Matthew Ehret-Kump](#), [Strategic Culture Foundation](#), 2020

---

**[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)**

**[Become a Member of Global Research](#)**

Articles by: [Matthew Ehret-Kump](#)

not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)