

# French Ambassador: US 'Rules-based Order' Means Western Domination, Violating International Law

By [Ben Norton](#)

Global Research, November 24, 2022

[Multipolarista](#) 21 November 2022

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [History](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name.

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

\*\*\*

*France's ex US Ambassador Gérard Araud criticized Washington for frequently violating international law and said its so-called "rules-based order" is an unfair "Western order" based on "hegemony." He condemned the new cold war on China, instead calling for mutual compromises.*

France's former ambassador to the United States, Gérard Araud, has publicly criticized Washington, saying it frequently violates international law and that its so-called "rules-based order" is actually an unfair "Western order."

The top French diplomat warned that the United States is engaged in "economic warfare" against China, and that Europe is concerned about Washington's "containment policy," because many European countries do not want to be forced to "choose a camp" in a new cold war.

Araud condemned US diplomats for insisting that Washington must always be the "leader" of the world, and stressed that the West should work with other countries in the Global South, "on an equal basis," in order "to find a compromise with our own interests."

He cautioned against making "maximalist" demands, "of simply trying to keep the Western hegemony."

Araud made these remarks in a November 14 panel discussion titled "[Is America Ready for a Multipolar World?](#)", hosted by the Quincy Institute for Responsible Statecraft, a think tank in Washington, DC that advocates for a more restrained, less bellicose foreign policy.

Gérard Araud's credentials could hardly be any more elite. A retired senior French diplomat, he served as the country's ambassador to the United States from 2014 to 2019. From 2009 to 2014, he was Paris' representative to the United Nations.

Before that, Araud served as France's ambassador to Israel, and he previously worked with NATO.

He was also appointed as a "senior distinguished fellow" at the [Atlantic Council, NATO's notoriously belligerent think tank](#) in Washington.

This blue-blooded background makes Araud's frank comments even more important, as they reflect the feelings of a segment of the French ruling class and European political class, which is uncomfortable with Washington's unipolar domination and wants power to be more decentralized in the world.

## The 'rules-based order' is actually just a 'Western order'

In a shockingly blunt moment in the panel discussion, Gérard Araud explained that the so-called "rules-based order" is actually just a "Western order," and that the United States and Europe unfairly dominate international organizations like the United Nations, World Bank, and International Monetary Fund (IMF):

To be frank, I've always been extremely skeptical about this idea of a 'rules-based order.'

Personally, for instance, look, I was the permanent representative to the United Nations. We love the United Nations, but the Americans not too much, you know.

And actually when you look at the hierarchy of the United Nations, everybody there is ours. The Secretary General [António Guterres] is Portuguese. He was South Korean [Ban Ki-moon]. But when you look at all the under secretaries general, all of them really are either American, French, British, and so on. When you look at the World Bank, when you look at the IMF, and so on.

So that's the first element: this order is our order.

And the second element is also that, actually, this order is reflecting the balance of power in 1945. You know, you look at the permanent members of the Security Council.

Really people forget that, if China and Russia are obliged to oppose [with] their veto, it is because frankly the Security Council is most of the time, 95% of the time, has a Western-oriented majority.

So this order frankly – and you can also be sarcastic, because, when the Americans basically want to do whatever they want, including when it's against international law, as they define it, they do it.

And that's the vision that the rest of the world has of this order.

You know really, when I was in – the United Nations is a fascinating spot, because you have ambassadors of all the countries, and you can have conversations with them, and the vision they project of the world, their vision of the world, is certainly not a 'rules-based order'; it's a Western order.

And they accuse us of double standards, hypocrisy, and so on and so on.

So I'm not sure that this question about the 'rules' is really the critical question.

I think the first assessment that we should do will be maybe, as we say in French, to put ourselves in the shoes of the other side, to try to understand how they see the world.

Araud argued that if the international community is serious about creating a "rules-based order," it must entail "integrating all the major stakeholders into the managing of the world, you know really bringing the Chinese, the Indians, and really other countries, and trying to build with them, on an equal basis, the world of tomorrow."

"That's the only way," he added. "We should really ask the Indians, ask the Chinese, the Brazilians, and other countries, really to work with us on an equal basis. And that's something - it's not only the Americans, also the Westerners, you know, really trying to get out of our moral high ground, and to understand that they have their own interests, that on some issues we should work together, on other issues we shouldn't work together."

"Let's not try to rebuild the Fortress West," he implored. "It shouldn't be the future of our foreign policy."

## French diplomat criticizes US new cold war on China

Gérard Araud revealed that, in Europe, there is "concern" that the United States has a "containment policy" against China.

"I think the international relationship will be largely dominated by the rivalry between China and the United States. And foreign policy I think in the coming years will be to find the modus vivendi ... between the two powers," he said.

He warned that Washington is engaged in "economic warfare" against Beijing, that the US is trying "basically to cut any relationship with China in the field of advanced chips, which is sending a message of, 'We are going to try to prevent you from becoming an advanced economy.' It's really, it's economic warfare."

"Really on the American side is the development of economic warfare against China. It's really cutting, making impossible cooperation in a very important, critical field, for the future of the Chinese economy," he added.

Araud pointed out that China is not just "emerging"; it is in fact "re-emerging" to a prominent geopolitical position, like it had for hundreds of years, before the rise of European colonialism.

He stressed that many countries in Asia don't want to be forced to pick a side in this new cold war, and are afraid of becoming a zone of proxy conflicts like Europe was in the first cold war:

Asia doesn't want to be the Europe of the Cold War. They don't want to have a bamboo curtain. They don't want to choose their camp.

Australia has chosen its camp, but it's a particular case. But Indonesia, Thailand, the Philippines, they don't want to choose their camp, and we shouldn't demand they choose their camp.

So we need to have a flexible policy of talking to the Chinese, because talking is also a way of reassuring them, trying to understand their interests, also to define our interests not in a maximalist way, of simply trying to keep the Western hegemony.

Araud challenged the idea that the United States must be the unipolar “leader” of the world, stating:

The Americans entered the world, in a sense, being already the big boy on the block. In 1945, it was 40% of the world’s GDP.

Which also may explain what is American diplomacy. The word of American diplomats, the word of American diplomacy is ‘leadership.’

Really, it’s always striking for foreigners, as soon as there is a debate about American foreign policy, immediately people say, ‘We have to restore our leadership.’ Leadership. And other countries may say, ‘Why leadership?’

### West must ‘try to see the world from Beijing’

Gérard Araud similarly criticized Western media outlets for their cartoonishly negative coverage of China. The top French diplomat called on officials to “try to see the world from Beijing”:

When you look at the European or Western newspapers, you have the impression that China is a sort of a dark monster which is moving forward, never committing a mistake, never really facing any problem, and going to the domination of the world – you know, the Chinese work 20 hours a day, they don’t want a vacation, they don’t care, they want to dominate the world.

Maybe that if we will try to see the world from Beijing, really we will consider certainly that all the borders of China are more or less unstable, or threatened, or facing unfriendly countries, and that’s from the Chinese point of view.

Maybe they want to improve their situation. It doesn’t mean that we have to accept it, but maybe to see, to remember, that any defensive measure of one side is always seen as offensive by the other side.

So let’s understand that China has its own interests. You know, even dictatorships have legitimate interests. And so let’s look at these interests, and let’s try to find a compromise with our own interests.

Araud went on to point out that the US government is constantly militarily threatening China, sending warships across the planet to its coasts, but would never for a second tolerate Beijing doing the same to it:

When I was in Washington, just after the [hawkish anti-China] [speech of Vice President Pence](#) to the Hudson [Institute] in October 2018, I met a lot of specialists on China in Washington, DC, but when I was trying to tell them, you know, your [US] ships are patrolling at 200 miles from the Chinese coast, at 5000 miles from the American coast, what would be your reaction if Chinese ships were patrolling at 200 miles from your coast?

And obviously my interlocutors didn't understand what I meant. And that's the question, you know, really trying to figure out what are the reasonable interests of the other side.

Araud stressed that China "is not a military threat" to the West.

French diplomat: Western sanctions on Russia are causing us to 'inflict pain on ourselves'

With this new cold war between the United States and China, Gérard Araud explained, "in this context, Russia is a bit like Austria-Hungary with Germany before the First World War, is a bit doomed to be the 'brilliant second' of China."

While Araud harshly denounced Russia's February 2022 invasion of Ukraine, he also criticized the Western sanctions on Moscow, which he cautioned, "on the European side, it is inflicting to ourselves some pain."

He warned that Europe is in a "dead end" with Russia, "because as long as the war in Ukraine will go on, and my bet unfortunately is that it may go on for a long time, it will be impossible for the Europeans, and the Americans in a sense, but also for the Europeans to end the sanctions on Russia, which means that our relationship with Russia may be frozen for an indefinite future."

"And I think it's very difficult to have diplomatic activity [with Russia] in this situation," he added.

*You can watch the full panel discussion hosted by the Quincy Institute below:*

\*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

*Featured image: France's Ambassador to the US Gérard Araud with President Barack Obama in the White House in 2016 (Source: Multipolarista)*

The original source of this article is [Multipolarista](#)

Copyright © [Ben Norton](#), [Multipolarista](#), 2022

---

**[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)**

**[Become a Member of Global Research](#)**

Articles by: [Ben Norton](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants

permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)